

**TONE AND PREFIXATION: A PARADIGM SHIFT IN NEGATION  
IN ORSU DIALECT OF IGBO**

**Dr. Ebele Deborah Uba**

Department of Linguistics, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka  
Email: ed.uba@unizik.edu.ng

&

**Justice Ekenedirichukwu Adioha**

Department of Linguistics, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka  
Email: je.adioha@stu.unizik.edu.ng

**Abstract**

There are some concepts in linguistics like negation among others, which are a sine-qua-non for everyday human communication. The implication of this existence is its universal nature as all languages of the world adopt a unique negative particle. GHI has been established as the negative particle used in the Igbo language, which is usually a type of affix known to be suffix. Hence, this paper aims at revealing the peculiar strategy used by Orsu dialect of the Igbo language in achieving negation for various constructions. The researchers contracted about five different native speakers for the data using a voice recorder. The native speakers were in the age bracket of 50-70 years comprising of three men and three women, as the young native speakers don't speak the Orsu dialect anymore. The researchers visited the native speakers severally in order to get an authentic equivalent of the sentences written down in Standard Igbo. Having researched on the Orsu dialect of Igbo, we observed that the dialect basically adopts tone variation as a strategy for marking negation. Then, the prefix gla/dri/gri which is usually used only for the formation of the subjunctive sentence is also a sign of consonant cluster, and an unusual situation in Igbo to mark negation. This claim is in line, and can be justified by Obiamalu's (2013) paper on the role of tone in Igbo negation in Nneewi and Onicha lects. The researchers, therefore, register that tone as well as vowel lengthening and prefixation are the paramount strategies for negation in Orsu.

**Keywords:** Orsu, Negation, Tone, Prefix, Consonant cluster.

**Introduction**

Negation as a concept in linguistics is basically known to be the denial of an assertion. Every language employs a unique strategy for marking negation. Languages like English adopts 'not', German 'nicht', Swedish 'inte', Standard Igbo '-ghi' to mention but a few. Lyons (1977) sees negation as a denial of a position, proposition or a predication that a proposition is false. Crystal (2008) posits that negation is a process or construction in any grammatical semantic analysis which expresses the negation of a sentence.

Furthermore, Mgbemena (1982) defines negation as a process which affirmative sentences are change to negative constructions. According to Miestamo (2005), standard negation is the basic way a language has for negating sentences. To Obiamalu (2013), negation is usually seen as a subcategory known as polarity and that this polarity in question bifurcates into affirmation and negation of a sentence construction. The Igbo language employs two negation marking strategies, namely- affixation and tonal prosody. Though in Standard Igbo, għi having no inherent tone, is used as the general negative marker, but Obiamalu demonstrates that high tone plays more important role in Igbo negation than the negative suffix -għi. In this paper we shall demonstrate that tone is also evident in Orsu and that the gla/gri/dri is only used for making subjunctive sentences or statements. This hence, presents a shift away from the norm '-ghi' as negative marker in Igbo.

**Background Information**

Orsu is a Local Government Area and a tribe in the Imo State of Nigeria. Orsu is also the name of the dialect of its people. Furthermore, Orsu is an Igbo sub-group located West of Orlu town to South of Ozubulu, North of Oguta and in other areas around Oru, Orsu, Orlu, Njaba, Ihiala, Nnewi South and Oguta Local Government Areas. However, it is noted that the unique Orsu dialect over the years, tends to face endangerment as its speakers shift to the central Igbo. The Orsu dialect spoken by the Orsu

people of Orsu constituency cuts across Orlu Local Government as a result of political divisions. Some of the villages seem to have factions of the dialect spoken as the Okporo and Amaifeke speakers speak alike.

### Methodology

A descriptive framework is adopted for this study. The data for this research were elicited from Orsu native speakers who were born and raised in the Orsu community. Those native speakers selected as consultants comprised of three men and three women, who are within the age bracket of 50 and 70 years. Adults of such age were consulted (rather than the younger ones) for the research because the young native speakers no more speak the Orsu dialect. According to our investigation, they shy away from speaking Orsu because of the way some people despise the dialect and its name. So, currently, these younger ones speak Orlu dialect, which is predominant around the area. In order to get authentic data, the researchers visited the native speakers of Orsu in their community severally with a compiled list of sentences written down in Standard Igbo, which they were asked to provide their equivalents in Orsu dialect. With the use of a voice recorder, the researchers recorded the data supplied by their Orsu dialect consultants.

### Literature Review

Basically, as stated earlier, the standard Igbo employs the –ghị suffix for negation. But this goes contrary to other variants of the Igbo language though some dialects also adopt this same -ghị suffix. In trying to ascertain how negation works in the different dialects of the Igbo language, scholars like Ezenwafor (2017) investigates negation marking in Etulo language of Benue State, Nigeria which is done descriptively. The researcher presents that the language uses two (2) negative particles (ba...) and (...loo), which usually occur at the final positions of the sentences they appear in. The researcher carefully examined different constructions they appear in such as imperative and interrogative constructions, complex clauses and negative words.

#### Examples:

- |     |                       |     |           |     |                           |     |           |     |
|-----|-----------------------|-----|-----------|-----|---------------------------|-----|-----------|-----|
| 1a. | Inyani                | li  | inwunda   | 1b. | Inyani                    | li  | inwinda   | ba  |
|     | PN                    | COP | beautiful |     | PN                        | COP | beautiful | NEG |
|     | 'Inyani is beautiful' |     |           |     | 'Inyani is not beautiful' |     |           |     |

For the achievement of polar question in the negation form, ...loo is employed

- |     |                    |     |        |     |                       |     |        |       |
|-----|--------------------|-----|--------|-----|-----------------------|-----|--------|-------|
| 2a. | Adi                | gie | angwcc | 2b. | Adi                   | gie | angwcc | loo   |
|     | PN                 | eat | yam Q  |     | PN                    | eat | yam    | NEG Q |
|     | 'Did Adi eat yam?' |     |        |     | 'Didn't Adi eat yam?' |     |        |       |

Furthermore, Okoro (2016) investigates the morpho-syntax of negation in Egbema Igbo in Ohaji Egbema Local Government Area of Imo State. Okoro (2016) discovers that there is no uniform pattern of marking negation in Ohaji-Egbema, instead she points out that the verb forms as negative auxiliary verbs such as 'kwe' and 'eje'. Okoro (2016) also adds that the negative particle in Egbema could be prefixal or suffixal.

#### Examples:

- |     |                         |     |                                |
|-----|-------------------------|-----|--------------------------------|
| 3a. | O riri nri              | 3b. | O riye nri                     |
|     | (3Scl past eat food)    |     | (3scl pre. eat neg food)       |
|     | He/she ate food         |     | He/she did not eat food        |
| 4a. | Eze je eje afia         | 4b. | Eze eje eje afia               |
|     | Eze Ant pr going market |     | Eze Fr aux neg go market       |
|     | Eze will go the market. |     | Eze will not go to the market. |

Prior to this aforementioned research, Ndimele (1985) studies negation generally from the view of the standard Igbo which is a morpho-syntactic study. Ndimele (1985) analyzes and compares some of the major variants of the Igbo language like the Echie, Nnewi, Igbouzo, Ohuhu, Onicha. He wraps up the strategies for achieving negation in Igbo as negative inflectional affixes, inherent negative auxiliary verbs, tonal alternation and constructive focus.

Some of the examples by Ndimele (1985) are:

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <p><b>5a.</b>    Q        gbara egwu<br/>         3SC- dance-pt        dance<br/>         He/she danced.</p>  | <p><b>5b.</b>    Q        gbaghi        egwu<br/>         3SC    dance-neg        dance<br/>         He/she did not dance.</p>                 |
| <p><b>6a.</b>    Q        sara                    efere<br/>         3PS    wash-rvpst        plate<br/>         He/she washed                plate</p> | <p><b>6b.</b>    Q        saghi                    efere<br/>         3PS    wash-neg        plate<br/>         He/she didn't wash plates.</p> |

Following Ndimele's outlined strategies, which are always employed for negation; Obiamalu (2013) carefully investigates negation from the point of view of tone as being paramount in negation when compared to suffixes or prefixes being added to a verb. It is here that the researcher points out Nneewi and Onicha dialects have suffixless negative particle. Obiamalu (2013) argues Ndimele's (1995,2004,2009) position that a floating low tone plays the role of negation where there is no suffix marker. Obiamalu concludes that a floating high tone is what we have in Igbo which is borne by the default agreement marker. The researcher's position is backed up by myriads of data from these dialects with illustrations.

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <p><b>7a.</b>    Q̇        yà                    èlì        nní<br/>         3S       ANT        NOM-eat        food.<br/>         'S/He will eat food'.</p> | <p><b>7b.</b>    Q̇                    ya        é-li                    nní<br/>         3S       ANT.NEG        NOM-eat                food<br/>         'S/He will not eat'</p> |
|--|--|

Obiamalu (2013) posits that in contrast to Ndimele's view, the subject takes a low tone, and the auxiliary verb takes a high tone for negation. In further research, Enweonye and Egwuekwe (2015) examine negation in Amaiyi dialect of the Igbo Language. Also, they find out the negation opposes or contradicts the meaning of a sentence. Their findings reveal that -hi, -he, -hu, -ne, -na, -behi, behu, -behu, -behe in contrast to the standard Igbo negation strategies. They therefore, conclude that there is a different strategy in Amaiyi lect, just we have it different in Orsu Igbo.

Sanusi and Oyewole (2019) study the syntactic position of negation markers in Idoma and Tiv which they title a deviation from the language universal validity. The researchers aimed at comparing two languages with regards to their negation strategies. After a holistic study by the researchers through interviews, it was assumed that the negative marker occurs before the main verb of the S.V.O structure. For an effective analysis, the researchers employed the principles and parameter theory to conclude that there are similarities in the syntax of negative markers in Idoma and Tiv languages.

The study is actually different in many regards as so far in Igbo language there has been no attestation to the presence of a prefix to be a negative particle. This is the gap this paper tries to fill especially now that the Orsu dialect is running towards extinction.

**Data Presentation and Analysis**  
**Negation in Orsu Lect**

Having gotten the relevant data for analysis, this section will present and analyze some of the different strategies for determining the negative participles such as: the past tense and future tense, factative and imperative constructions, negative words and subjunctive sentences. Analysis will follow after each heading.

**Past Tense**

- 8a. Obi gàrà áhía  
Obi go-rVPST Market  
'Obi went to market'
- 8b. Obi é jē áhía  
Obi NOM-go-NEG market  
'Obi did not go to market'
- 9a. Ada zàrà úlò  
Ada sweep- rVPST house  
'Ada swept the house'
- 9b. Ada á zà. úlò  
Ada NOM – sweep-NEG house  
'Ada did not sweep the house'
- 10a. Nduka gbàrà m égbè  
Nduka shoot – rVPST 1S gun  
Nduka shoot a gun at me.
- 10b. Nduka á - gbā m egbe  
Nduka NOM – shoot-NEG 1S gun  
'Nduka did not shoot a gun at me'
- 11a. Mama zù rù ji n'áhiá  
Mama buy – rVPST yam  
'Mama bought yam'
- 11b. Mama á zūó jí n'áhiá  
Mama NOM – buy-NEG yam in the market  
'Mama did not buy yam in the market'
- 12a. Oke tàrà èfè m  
Rat eat – rVPST clothe 1S  
'Rat ate my clothe'
- 12b. Òké á tā èfè m  
Rat NOM – bite-NEG clothe 1S  
'Rat didn't eat my clothe'

Negation for the past tense in Orsu as clearly seen is predominantly based on tone. The default agreement is usually a high tone, and then, the vowel that is inherent in the verb will either be a downstep or low tone. Also, all the verbs are usually lengthened. This concept of lengthening could be traced to Eme (2008), where she examines the parameters of intersegmental co-ordination. Furthermore, lengthening is an example of articulatory parameter. In (11b), there is a case of labialization. This is also found in Igbo dialect.

**Future Tense**

- 13a. Okonkwọ gà èjé úlòúkà  
Okonkwọ FUT AGR –go church  
'Okonkwọ will go to church'
- 13b. Okonkwọ á -gā èjé úlòúkà  
Okonkwọ AGR – FUT -NEG NOM – go Church  
'Okonkwọ will not go to the Church'
- 14a. Adaeze gà ègbú òkúkò  
Adaeze FUT AGR kill chicken  
'Adaeze will kill chicken'

14b. Adaeze á gā égbú òkúkò  
 Adaeze AGR FUT – NEG NOM – Kill chicken  
 'Adaeze will not kill chicken'

15a. Chizoba gà à lú m  
 Chizoba FUT AGR – Marry 1S  
 'Chizoba will marry me'

15b. Chizoba á gā á-lú ìm  
 Chizoba AGR FUT – NEG NOM – marry me  
 'Chizoba will not marry me'

The negation is demonstrated by tone which rests on the FUTURE maker in the dialect which is 'ga'. This 'ga' is usually a downstep tone which is also part of the infinitive construction 'á-ga'. This is in agreement to the rule of downstep, which states that downstep must follow a high tone.

### Factative Sentence

16a. Ézèúkwú màrà rímā  
 Ézèúkwú be-beautiful-FACT beautiful  
 'Ézèúkwú is beautiful'

16b. Ézèúkwú á -mā rímā  
 Ézèúkwú NOM -be beautiful-NEG beautiful  
 'Ézèúkwú is not beautiful'

17a. Ñkèrín gbàrà àgbà  
 Nkem be- huge- FACT huge  
 'Nkem is huge'

17b. Ñkèrín á – gbā àgbà  
 Nkem NOM- be huge-NEG huge  
 'Nkem is not huge'

18a. Obi nwèrè égō  
 Obi be -FACT money  
 'Obi has money'  
 OR  
 'Obi is rich'

18b. Obi é- nwēē égō  
 Obi NOM – have-NEG money  
 'Obi does not have money'

The factative sentence construction in its negative form adopts fully the strategy of the past tense negation strategy. The paramount presence of labialization is always present when an [u] sound comes after the consonant of the root verb.

### Imperative Construction

19a. Gbù ó ázù áhù  
 Kill – IMP fish DEM  
 'Kill that fish'

19b. É gbú lé ázù áhù  
 PRO kill PERF-NEG fish DEM  
 'Don't kill that fish'

20a. Zàà ùlò Papa Amaka  
 Sweep – IMP house father Amaka  
 'Sweep Amaka's father's house'

- 20b.    Á       zā       là       úlò   Papa Amaka  
PRO – sweep   PERF-NEG   house   Papa Amaka  
'Don't sweep   Amaka's father's house'

Orsu dialect uses the same strategy as the standard Igbo for negative equivalence of imperative construction.

### Negation Words

21.            'Nothing'  
S.I        Ọ nweghi  
Orsu       E nwèè or Èénwèrè
22.            'Never'  
S.I        Émeghi ya émé  
Orsu       Èémē ya émé
23.            'No'  
S.I        Mba  
Orsu       Òlò

In example (21), the E which is a nominalizing prefix bears a gliding tone, falling tone to be precise and lengthening of the vowels which are also both low tone. The same is applicable to example (22) with regards to /e/ which is before the downstep tone on the root. Example (23) has a gliding tone on the first vowel of the word.

### Subjunctives

- 24a.    Úmùákà        má        ákwúkwó  
Children        know        book  
'Children that are intelligent'
- 24b.    Úmùákà        glá        á - mǎ        ákwúkwó  
Children        NEG    NOM – know        book  
'Children that are not intelligent'
- 25a.    'Ndí        ínē        nwéré        égō  
PLU    mothers    be    money  
'Mothers that are rich/have money'
- 25b.    'Ndí        ínē        grí é        nwé        égō  
PLU    mothers    NEG    NOM – have    money  
'Mothers that are not rich'
- 26a.    Ónyé        má        íhé  
Somebody    know    something  
'Somebody that is intelligent'
- 26b.    Ónyé        drí        ámā        íhé  
Somebody    NEG        NOM –know something  
'Somebody that is not intelligent/fool'

This section on the negation of subjunctive construction is the salient of all others as it is very significant. There is a deviation of the norm on suffixation and tone. Here, the affix marking negation is a prefix according to the Orsu dialect. This prefix comes in three (3) forms gla, dri, gri which are usually high toned 'gri' has a nasalization on the /i/. These three (3) forms are inherently descriptive in nature. They can also be used interchangeably. The 'na' which is a construction that introduces a clause, is being deleted by this dialect. Then, a replacement of gla for ghi assumes the initial position of the verb.

### Summary and Conclusion

The study investigated negation in Orsu dialect which can be said to be an endangered dialect spoken in the Orlu senatorial district of Imo State. The study has shown the different strategies adopted by the Orsu dialect speakers for negation rather than the normal '-ghi' known as negation particle in Igbo. It

was observed that the Orsu speakers adopt three forms 'gla', 'dri' and 'gri' for negation under prefixation in addition to vowel lengthening. Again, the researchers observed that tone is basically used for negation in the Orsu dialect, while the gla, dri and gri are only used in the subjunctive sentence construction. Having noted these forms of negation in the Orsu dialect of Igbo, we conclude by saying that further investigations will be of importance especially in the area of subjunctive sentences in the dialect. Finally, the study, having shown a paradigm shift from the negation norm in Igbo, we encourage more researches on negation in other dialects of Igbo, which would help identify some other negation peculiarities in the Igbo language.

#### **List of Abbreviations**

S.I - Standard Igbo	NEG - Negation
AGR- Agreement	FUT- Future
NOM- Nominalizing Prefix	Nom- Nominative
rVPST – Rv Past	PRO- Pronoun
PERF NEG- Negative Perfection	PLU- Plural
FACT- Factive	

#### **References**

- Crystal D. (2008): A dictionary of linguistics and phonetics vol. 4. Oxford: Blackwell publishers.
- Enweonye C. and Egwuekwe F. U. (2015). A contrastive study of negation in Amayi and standard variety of Igbo. UNIZIK journal of Arts and Humanities.
- Ezenwafor C. I. Negation Marking strategies in Etulo. IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR – JHSS) Vol. 22. (October 2017)
- Lyons J. (1977). Semantics: Cambridge University Press.
- Mgbemene A. U (1982). Simple grammatical negatives in Igbo: A surface structure study. Igbo Journal of SPILC 66-77
- Miestamo, M (2005). Standard negation. The negation of declarative verbal main clauses in a typological perspective, Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Ndimele, O. (2006). Negation Marking in Igbo. In O, Ndimele (ed) Language and Culture in Nigeria: A Festschrift of Okon. Aba: NINLAN PP 939 - 956.
- Obiamalu G. O (2013) On negation and V, movement in Igbo: A minimalist perspective. In SKASE Journal of Theoretical Linguistics (online). Vol. 11. Nos 2. 2014.
- Obiamalu. G. O (2013). On the Role of Tone in Igbo negation. Journal of West African Languages X L. 2 (2013).
- Okoro N. O. Morpho – Syntax of Negation in Egbema Igbo. Journal of Nigerian Languages and Culture Vol. 16 No. 2. 2015/2016.
- Sanusi I. O and Oyewole L. D (2019). The syntactic position of Negative Markers in Idoma and Tiv: A deviation from Language Universal Validity. Journal of Universal Language.
- Strmelj L. (2017). On syntactic and morphological negation in biblical English: A diachronic Study. Jezikoslovlje 18.1.