THE IMPACT OF EFFECTIVE COMMUNICATION ON HUMAN RELATIONS IN THE WORKPLACE: A STUDY OF DANGOTE GROUP NIGERIA

Abalogu, Divine Maduka PhD
Department of Religion and Human Relations
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State
dm.abalogu@unizik.edu.ng
+2348066672095
&
Okafor, Chiamaka Gloria
Department of Religion and Human Relations
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State
amakagloria200@gmail.com
&
Nwokedi, Godswill Okechukwu
Department of Religion and Human Relations
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State
godswillnwokedi@gmail.com

Abstract
This study explores the role of communication as a fundamental tool for fostering human relations within the workplace, using the Dangote Group as a case study of the Nigerian work environment. Effective communication is essential for building strong relationships among employees and between management anSd staff, which ultimately enhances productivity and workplace harmony. The study employs qualitative research methods, utilizing surveys and interviews to gather data from employees across various departments within the Dangote Group. The findings reveal that poor communication often leads to misunderstandings, reduced morale, and conflicts, whereas effective communication fosters cooperation, trust, and a sense of belonging among employees. The research highlights the challenges faced by the Dangote Group in maintaining effective communication, including cultural differences, hierarchical barriers, and inadequate communication channels. Recommendations for improvement include the implementation of regular communication training programs, the promotion of open dialogue, and the adoption of modern communication tools. The study concludes that enhancing communication practices within the Dangote Group is crucial for improving human relations and driving overall organizational performance in Nigeria.
Keywords: Communication, Human Relations, Workplace, Nigerian, Organizations.

Introduction
Man is a social being and, as such, needs to interact with fellow human beings to survive. This creates room for communication and relationships to exist among people within a locality. Relationships are an innate and integral part of human nature. Consequently, man builds relationships through communication, which transcends from the family to the workplace, religious organizations, and the entire human society. This interaction forms the basis of human relations. However, effective human relations cannot occur without communication. Willcox (2020) asserts that human interaction involves communication, which is the process of sending messages from one person to another and receiving feedback. Communication involves talking, listening, writing, and reading, as well as actions such as rolling one's eyes, winking, or waving. Willcox (2020) affirms that communication is the essence of human relations, making it a vital tool for effective human relations in the workplace.

Human relations are essential in every organization. To achieve organizational goals, there must be effective human relations facilitated by communication among all staff in the organization. According to

Ogunbameru (2008), in recent years, it has become widely accepted that business growth is driven not by organizational strategies alone but by the people who develop and implement such strategies. These individuals achieve this by maintaining effective human relations through communication within the organization. This underscores Omolawal's (2021) assertion that effective communication is the greatest asset any enterprise could have. Therefore, a business enterprise's capability to enhance human relations between top management officials, junior staff, and casual workers through all available communication channels is becoming indispensable and inevitable. Such improvements in communication enable the organization to effectively manage its human resources, motivate workers, and unlock their potential for achieving organizational goals. This also leads to increased job satisfaction among employees.

This study focuses on the Dangote Group of Companies, a leading conglomerate in Nigeria, as a case study for understanding the impact of effective communication on human relations in the workplace. The Dangote Group, known for its diverse workforce and significant role in Nigeria's economic development, provides an ideal setting to examine how communication can foster collaboration, trust, and productivity. The motivation behind this research is to investigate barriers to effective communication in Nigerian workplaces and propose solutions to address this challenge. While the workplace should ideally be a space where human relations are fully expressed, contemporary issues often hinder this. This study seeks to identify these barriers and recommend pragmatic approaches to restore effective communication. Addressing this issue will not only enhance the operations of organizations like the Dangote Group but also contribute to the broader growth and development of society.

Communication as a Concept
Communication is a term that can be viewed from different perspectives. It is a term that changes its meaning from one author to another and from one environment to another. The commonest definition of communication is that of Little (2007) which sees the term simply as the exchange of ideas between one person and another. This definition is anchored on the belief that communication is a process whereby one person stimulates ideas in another person's mind. This is as it has to do with interpersonal human communication. But other types of communication exist in forms of intra-personal, verbal, non-verbal, intentional, un-intentional, organizational, group, traditional and digital et cetera.

Miller (2009) defines communication as the process by which information is exchanged and understood by two or more people usually with the intention to motivate on influence behaviour. Obamiro (2008) states that communication refers to the exchange of information between a sender (source) and a receiver (destination) so that it is received understood and leads to action. Tsai and Shuang-Shii (2009) define communication as 'any means by which a thought is transferred from one person to another'. McGraw-Hill (2001) defines communication as the process by which any person or a group shares and impacts information with/to another person (or group) so that both people (and groups) clearly understood one another. Emuze and James (2013) define communication as 'the process which involves all acts of transmitting messages to channels which link people to the languages and symbolic which are used to transmit such messages. It is also the means by which such messages are received and stored. It includes the rules, customs and conventions which define and regulate human relationship and events'. In its simplest form, however, Banihashemi (2011) communication is the transmission of a message from a source to a receiver or the process of creating shared meaning. Cheryl (2013) identifies more than twenty conceptually different definitions of communication in the literature. For the purpose of this study, a review of some of the definitions which it is hoped will throw light into the various orientations and assist in the development of tentative conclusions as regards the nature and dimension of communication is necessary.

One of the conceptualizations is that of Nelson and Pollack (2007) which view communication as an ability to convey in the simplest form information or ideas which the recipient can easily understand; and an ability on the part of the recipient to reciprocate in such a way that we can easily understand him.

Communication according to the above definitions is seen as a verbal activity that exists between the sender (encoder) and the receiver (decoder). The emphasis is on the ability of the communicating parties to share information, idea, attitude and, or message. Communication is said to have been accomplished or successful when the message between the encoder and the decoder is interpreted uniformly even where they have to disagree in their thinking about the substance of the communication. Obi (2007) summarizes the central theme of this perspective in the following expressions; the essential features of communication is that one person infers from the behaviour of another what ideas or feeling the other person is trying to convey. He then reacts not to the behavior as such but to the inferred idea or feeling. The other person then reacts to his response in terms of the idea or feeling that the meaning behind it.

The second conceptualization is that of Scheidel (2001) which views communication as the process by which information is passed between individuals and/or organizations by means of previously agreed symbols. In this regard, communication is viewed in its broad sense involving an encoding process which encompasses ideation and media decision as well as its interpretation by the receiver which involves a decoding process. This conceptualization places emphasis on the relationship between objects, the thought processes involved in interpreting these objects and symbols and signs used in expressing a given thought or message.

The third conceptualization is that of Chapel and Reed (2008) which view communication in terms of the outcome of the communication process. This conceptualization stems from the belief that communication involves the active sharing of information such that a common attitude towards a subject or action can be seen and felt in the participants. Vickers articulated this line of argument as quoted in Brutt (2006) that the test of a successful communication is what happens at the receiving end. In this regard, communication is essentially a persuasive activity which requires a common language, a common skill in using the language, a common fund of ideas and assumptions for it to be successful.

The fourth conceptualization is that which views the term as a social process that relates to the functioning of groups, organization and the larger society. Brutt (2006) agrees with this conceptualization when they argued that communication needs not be seen as a process occurring between any sender and potential recipient but in relation to the social system in which it occurs and the particular function it performs in that system.

Theoretical Framework
Social Exchange Theory
Social Exchange Theory (SET), developed by Peter Blau in 1964, provides a framework for understanding how communication impacts human relations within the workplace. This theory posits that human interactions are based on reciprocal exchanges, where individuals seek to maximize benefits and minimize costs. In the workplace, communication serves as the currency in these exchanges, facilitating cooperation, trust, and organizational harmony (Blau, 1964). In the Nigerian work environment, where cultural diversity and hierarchical organizational structures dominate, Social Exchange Theory becomes relevant in explaining how effective communication fosters positive relationships between management and employees. The mutual benefits of clear communication such as the sharing of information, task clarification, and conflict resolution can lead to enhanced trust, a sense of belonging, and increased employee engagement. In contrast, poor communication results in high relational costs, including misunderstandings, decreased morale, and a breakdown in cooperation.

Communication as a Social Exchange
In Nigerian workplaces, communication can be viewed as a social exchange that involves the flow of information between individuals at different levels of the organization. Employees and management engage in communication expecting certain rewards such as clarity, direction, and support in return for their contribution of time, effort, and commitment. According to Social Exchange Theory, the balance of

these exchanges determines the quality of relationships within the organization (Cropanzano and Mitchell, 2005). For instance, when management communicates openly and transparently with employees, it increases the likelihood of trust being reciprocated. Employees feel more valued and understood which encourages them to be more loyal and productive. On the other hand, when communication is lacking or ineffective, employees may perceive this as a cost, leading to disengagement, reduced morale, and potential conflicts.

Relevance in Nigerian Workplace Culture
The Nigerian work environment presents unique communication challenges due to the country's cultural and linguistic diversity, as well as the prevalence of hierarchical structures. Social Exchange Theory provides insight into how these factors influence communication and human relations within organizations.

Cultural Sensitivity in Communication: Nigeria's multicultural workforce necessitates communication that is culturally sensitive. Social Exchange Theory suggests that when employees from different ethnic and cultural backgrounds are provided with clear and inclusive communication, they are more likely to feel respected and reciprocate with loyalty and commitment. Conversely, communication that overlooks cultural diversity can create misunderstandings and disengagement, increasing relational costs within the organization (Thomas et al., 2016).

Hierarchical Communication Structures: Many Nigerian organizations adhere to hierarchical systems where communication tends to flow from the top down. In such environments, Social Exchange Theory explains how the imbalance in communication flow can lead to relational issues. When employees are not given opportunities to provide feedback or participate in decision-making processes, they may feel undervalued, resulting in disengagement. Organizations that encourage two-way communication, where employees are allowed to voice their opinions and concerns, tend to foster better relationships and reduce relational costs (Blau, 1964).

Application of Social Exchange Theory to Nigerian Workplace Communication
Trust and Cooperation: Trust is a central component of Social Exchange Theory and plays a crucial role in Nigerian workplaces. Effective communication fosters trust by ensuring that employees understand their roles, feel included in organizational processes, and are aware of expectations. This, in turn, enhances cooperation among employees and between management and staff. Trust built through open communication encourages employees to reciprocate with increased effort, loyalty, and engagement (Emerson, 1976).

Conflict Resolution and Clarity: Social Exchange Theory helps explain how communication serves as a conflict resolution tool in the workplace. In Nigerian organizations, where communication may be hindered by hierarchical structures and cultural differences, clear and consistent communication can bridge gaps and resolve conflicts. For example, when employees are uncertain about their tasks or feel that they are not receiving sufficient information, conflicts and misunderstandings are more likely to arise. Effective communication minimizes these issues, leading to more harmonious relations and greater productivity (Cropanzano and Mitchell, 2005).

Obstacles to Effective Communication in Dangote Group of Companies Workplace
Communication consists of various components, including the sender, encoding, message, medium, decoding, receiver, and feedback. When barriers emerge within any of these components, achieving complete clarity of meaning and understanding becomes challenging. These barriers can obstruct, filter, or distort the message during encoding and transmission, as well as during decoding and reception. Key barriers include:

Environmental Barriers

Environmental barriers relate to the characteristics of the organization and its surroundings. Examples include competition for attention and time between senders and receivers. Concurrent demands can lead to misinterpretation of messages. Although the receiver may hear the message, true understanding may be absent due to insufficient attention. Listening is a process that combines physical, emotional, and intellectual engagement to seek meaning and comprehension. T. Obumneme (Personal communication, 27th June, 2024) states that effective listening occurs only when the receiver accurately interprets the sender's messages. Consequently, without active listening, the receiver may struggle to grasp the intended message. Time constraints also act as a barrier, as limited time prevents the sender from thoroughly contemplating and structuring the message and restricts the receiver's capacity to analyze the message and derive its meaning. Sanchez and Guo (2005) identify additional environmental barriers, such as the organization's management philosophy, multiple hierarchical levels, and the power dynamics between senders and receivers.

Management philosophy can either facilitate or hinder effective communication. Managers uninterested in fostering upward communication or disseminating information downwards can create procedural and organizational obstacles. A rigid adherence to the chain of command demonstrates a lack of attention and concern for employees, indicating a managerial approach that restricts communication flow. R. Imo (Personal communication, 3rd July, 2024) opines that when subordinates encounter indifferent administrators, they may become reluctant to communicate upward in the future, as their communications are often disregarded. Moreover, managerial philosophy not only influences internal communication but also affects interactions with external stakeholders. Power dynamics can further complicate the transmission of messages. A strained supervisor-subordinate relationship can disrupt both the flow and content of information. Additionally, a staff member's past experiences may inhibit open communication due to fears of negative repercussions. For example, a poor supervisor-subordinate relationship can prevent a subordinate from reporting issues with a project due to fear of reprisal.

Another environmental barrier leading to miscommunication is the use of specialized terminology that may be unfamiliar to the receiver or when messages are particularly complex. According to I. Ogbonna (Personal communication, 17th July, 2024) communication can break down between individuals using different terminologies, as they may attach varying meanings to identical words, resulting in misunderstandings. In contemporary complex organizational systems, staff and technical experts often develop and utilize specialized language comprehensible only to similar professionals, making it difficult for those outside the field to understand the message.

Personal Barriers

Personal barriers emerge from an individual's perspectives, beliefs, and values, shaped by socio-economic backgrounds and prior experiences. These factors influence how messages are encoded and decoded. According to Longest, Rakich, and Darr (2000), individuals may consciously or unconsciously engage in selective perception or be affected by emotions such as fear or jealousy. For instance, I. Chinedu (Personal communication, 10th July, 2024) states that certain cultural norms, such as "do not speak unless spoken to" or "never question elders," can inhibit communication. Conversely, some individuals may accept all messages at face value, failing to filter out incorrect information. Others may distort messages for personal gain, intentionally transmitting misleading information. Without shared experiences, fully grasping another's message can be challenging. Additionally, one's beliefs, values, and biases can distort or block communication. Preconceived notions and biases develop from differing personalities and backgrounds.

Two other personal barriers include the tendency to maintain the status quo and the evaluation of the sender to determine whether to retain or filter out messages. For instance, B. Onwuachusim (Personal communication, 28th July, 2024) remarks an administrator might ignore complaints from a receptionist

because of a perception that the receptionist tends to exaggerate issues. However, distinguishing between exaggerations and legitimate messages is essential. The status quo occurs when individuals prefer the current state, deliberately filtering out unpleasant information. For example, an administrator may withhold information about impending layoffs to avoid disorder. Finally, a lack of empathy insensitivity to the emotional states of senders and receivers serves as a significant obstacle to effective communication. Empathy involves understanding another's perspective, and Eisenberg (2010) emphasizes that a lack of empathy can severely hinder communication.

Language Barriers
Language barriers represent one of the most significant obstacles to effective workplace communication, as employees may possess varying language skills, impacting organizational operations. Such barriers can lead to ineffective communication, substantial business risks, and concerns. Mookim (2022) notes that language barriers can also impede organizations from expanding internationally unless they operate in countries where a common language is utilized. Furthermore, Mohd (2022) explains that the use of terminology in different languages can also pose a linguistic barrier, with unfamiliar terminologies complicating communication. Although languages may be theoretically comparable across different dialects and accents, variations in meaning and interpretation can lead to misunderstandings. Accents may further complicate comprehension, resulting in poorly delivered messages. Miskin and Dongarkar (2022) support this notion, highlighting that linguistic barrier can arise even among coworkers when two individuals with limited communication skills fail to understand each other. Additionally, jargon represents another facet of language barriers. Desrosier (2019) argues that while jargon is relevant for peer-to-peer interactions, allowing professionals to recognize one another and communicate ideas effectively, it can also lead to isolation. Glassdoor (2021) affirms that although jargon can facilitate communication within specific industries, it may confuse outsiders due to the myriad variations and meanings. Birt (2023) also suggests that utilizing jargon or highly technical language can obscure messages, making it challenging for individuals to grasp essential information.

Cultural Barriers
Some employees may struggle to assimilate into the culture of an organization. Individuals from diverse cultural backgrounds often possess different communication styles, beliefs, and expectations, which can hinder mutual understanding. Destine (2022) supports this notion by highlighting that people from various cultures tend to communicate differently, employing distinct body language, vocal tones, and vocabulary. This divergence can complicate interactions among employees from different cultural backgrounds. For instance, Glassdoor (2021) provides an example where strong eye contact might be perceived as aggressive and disrespectful in one culture, while in another, it is viewed as a sign of engagement and interest in the conversation. If the varied interpretations of such behaviors are not acknowledged, misunderstandings can arise. Moreover, harboring misconceptions about specific cultures or groups can severely impact workplace culture, manifesting in various ways that undermine inclusivity and obstruct effective communication among coworkers (Employment Hero, 2022). It's also important to note that cultural differences can exist even among employees within the same country, as regional language variations and generational communication styles can create additional challenges in the workplace.

Physical Barriers
Physical barriers, including noisy work environments, limited access to communication technologies, and insufficient privacy, can impede effective communication among employees. These obstacles can distract employees, leading to decreased productivity and increased frustration. Physical barriers can also hinder meaningful conversations and successful collaboration. Additionally, Lee (2022) points out that the arrangement of a workspace can contribute to communication difficulties. Poor working conditions such as extreme temperatures, noisy machinery, inadequate lighting, remote locations, or hierarchical office layouts with locked doors can negatively impact employees, causing them to feel intimidated about

approaching management with questions or suggestions. Another significant physical challenge is distance. With the rise of remote work, employees may find themselves separated by hundreds or thousands of kilometers from their colleagues, which can complicate communication unless effective systems are established to maintain connectivity (Grammarly, 2021).

Implications of Ineffective Communication in Dangote Group of Companies Workplace
Reduced Productivity
Communication barriers can negatively affect various aspects of productivity. Chron (2020) notes that insufficient communication among staff may prevent the successful completion of tasks that require collaboration. Furthermore, communication issues between management and staff can lead to misinformation, fueling rumors and resistance, ultimately delaying task completion. A lack of relevant information can also decrease productivity and employee engagement. Poor communication may result in vague instructions or unclear expectations, leaving employees uncertain about their roles, tasks, or deadlines, which can cause confusion and inefficiency. Similarly, employees may unknowingly repeat tasks if they are not informed about current projects, wasting valuable time and resources. Wells (2017) asserts that inadequate communication can hinder productivity, as employees may lack access to the people and resources necessary to complete their jobs, diminishing their motivation and enthusiasm. Krause (2019) further supports this, noting that when workers cannot access reliable sources of information, their drive to perform well decreases, resulting in reduced productivity. Additionally, these communication breakdowns can extend project completion times, potentially harming a company's reputation.

Furthermore, B. Onwuachusim (Personal communication, 28th July, 2024) employee motivation and engagement may also suffer when they feel undervalued or unheard due to communication barriers. Disengaged employees are less likely to be productive and may withhold their best efforts. Effective communication encourages the exchange of ideas and fosters creativity, but when communication barriers exist, employees may hesitate to share their thoughts, leading to missed opportunities for innovation. Moreover, persistent communication issues can lead to frustration and stress, negatively affecting both productivity and job satisfaction.

Misunderstandings
This arise when the sender's intended message is not accurately interpreted by the receiver. Ambiguous instructions, unclear messages, or jargon that not all employees understand can cause misinterpretation, leading to errors and inefficiency. According to C. Okafor (Personal communication, 11th July, 2024) nonverbal cues like body language, facial expressions, and tone of voice also play a key role in communication, and misreading these cues can result in misunderstandings. In some cases, information may be deliberately distorted or withheld as it moves through the organizational hierarchy. Employees might feel reluctant to engage in open dialogue with their superiors, leading to mixed messages and misalignment concerning project goals and expectations. In the digital era, much of workplace communication occurs through technology emails, instant messaging, and video calls where the subtleties of face-to-face interaction are absent, increasing the likelihood of misunderstandings. Cultural differences within a diverse workplace also contribute to communication breakdowns, as employees from various backgrounds may interpret gestures, words, or expressions differently. Intouch (2023) emphasizes that cultural differences among employees can lead to misunderstandings and miscommunication, particularly when they are unfamiliar with each other's cultural norms and practices. Additionally, language barriers can create further challenges, as idiomatic expressions or slang might be misunderstood by non-native speakers, leading to miscommunications.

Low Employee Morale
Low employee morale refers to dissatisfaction, disengagement, and a general negative attitude within a workforce (Half, 2023). Communication barriers can significantly contribute to low morale. According to

Grossman (2022), employees thrive when they feel connected and valued within an organization. When employees face communication issues, such as feeling unheard or having their ideas dismissed, they may feel unappreciated by their colleagues or superiors, leading to demotivation. Language barriers and other communication difficulties can also foster social isolation, particularly for employees who do not share the same language or cultural background as their peers, further reducing morale. According to B. Nwokeoma (Personal communication, 20th August, 2024) overcoming communication challenges, whether verbal or written, can be stressful and unpleasant for employees, particularly when miscommunication leads to confusion and frustration. Repeated exposure to these barriers can negatively impact employees' mental health, increasing stress, anxiety, and burnout, ultimately affecting their performance. Low morale also diminishes job satisfaction, causing employees to seek alternative employment. Although it may seem like a minor issue, disengaged employees can have a significant impact on a business through absenteeism, lack of enthusiasm, and turnover. Van Rensburg (2021) adds that disengaged employees tend to exert minimal effort across their work duties, neglecting to maintain open communication. This disengagement can also influence the client experience, as low employee morale can result in poor customer interactions, negatively affecting the organization's overall performance (Wells, 2017).

Ineffective Teamwork
Effective communication is essential for successful teamwork. Communication barriers can disrupt collaboration and coordination among team members, reducing efficiency and synergy. Chron (2020) notes that while effective communication fosters teamwork, poor communication can create a toxic work environment, where employees may refuse to engage or struggle with understanding the appropriate communication channels within the team, leading to breakdowns in collaboration. A lack of clarity regarding roles and responsibilities is one common issue. According to K. Chukwubuikem (Personal communication, 25th June, 2024) when communication is unclear, team members may not fully understand their duties within the group, leading to duplicated efforts, neglected tasks, or overlooked responsibilities. This can also hinder the sharing of critical information and feedback, impeding decision-making and causing team members to rely on outdated or incomplete information. Coordination among team members is crucial for effective teamwork, but communication barriers may result in conflicting schedules or deliverables. Problem-solving efforts also suffer, as poor communication prevents the exchange of the ideas and perspectives needed to devise optimal solutions. Miscommunication can cause tension and conflict among team members, creating a hostile work environment that reduces team morale. When team members feel alienated due to communication barriers, they may become disengaged, losing motivation to contribute actively to team goals. Additionally, poor communication can result in missed opportunities for skill development and mutual learning, as team members fail to share valuable insights and experiences.

Client Dissatisfaction
Communication barriers can also impact interactions with clients and customers. Employees who struggle to communicate effectively with clients may cause misunderstandings, dissatisfaction, and a negative impression of the organization. Miscommunication is a common source of client dissatisfaction, especially when clients do not receive the information they need, are given incorrect instructions, or face difficulties expressing their concerns. Slow response times to client inquiries or complaints can further aggravate the situation, leading clients to perceive the organization as unresponsive or uncaring. According to I. Ikem (Personal communication, 10th July, 2024) language barriers are another significant challenge, particularly in multicultural workplaces or when dealing with international clients. When clients and employees struggle to understand each other due to language differences, miscommunication and dissatisfaction are likely to occur. Similarly, the use of technical jargon or industry-specific terms that clients do not understand can lead to confusion and frustration. According to I. Ojide (Personal communication, 23rd June, 2024) clients may feel disconnected if they are unable to comprehend important information about products, services, or company initiatives. Inconsistent communication

between employees or across different channels can also confuse clients. When clients receive conflicting information, they may lose trust in the organization, resulting in dissatisfaction and, ultimately, damage to the business's reputation.

Remedial Approaches to Resolving Communication Barriers in the Workplace

Implementing effective communication skills at work can be challenging, especially in today's modern era where technology brings both opportunities and obstacles. However, several approaches can help overcome communication barriers and foster open, transparent, and effective communication channels.

Transparent Communication

Promoting open and honest communication is one of the most effective ways to overcome communication barriers. Employees are more likely to engage in meaningful discussions when they feel safe expressing their ideas without fear of judgment. Open communication reduces misunderstandings and improves the flow of information. Organizations can achieve this by fostering a culture of openness where workers are encouraged to voice their thoughts and give feedback. According to Wong (2020), cultivating trust is the key to creating a safe environment where employees feel comfortable expressing themselves. Encouraging two-way communication is also essential, as it enables employees to provide feedback, share ideas, and ask questions while actively listening to others' concerns. Leaders should lead by example by being open, honest, and trustworthy to promote transparent communication.

Provision of Communication Training

Organizations should invest in communication skills training for employees at all levels to enhance communication effectiveness. According to C. Okoro (Personal communication, 1st August, 2024) training can include topics such as active listening, assertiveness, and conflict resolution, creating a more responsive work environment. Cross-cultural communication training is particularly useful for overcoming cultural barriers, helping employees adapt to different communication styles in diverse workplaces. Conflict resolution strategies taught in these training programs help employees address issues before they escalate, strengthening relationships and teamwork. Training also equips employees with the skills needed to communicate their ideas clearly, reducing the likelihood of communication barriers.

Use of Multiple Communication Channels

Using a variety of communication channels increases the likelihood that employees will receive and understand important information. Different messages may require different modes of communication, such as emails, instant messaging, video conferencing, or face-to-face meetings (Martic, 2023). Providing several communication options accommodates different preferences, whether employees favor written, visual, or verbal information. This practice can also address language barriers, offering multilingual translations or content tailored to various linguistic and cultural backgrounds. By using multiple communication channels, organizations ensure that messages are communicated effectively and reduce the chances of misunderstanding.

Empathy and Emotional Intelligence

Encouraging empathy and emotional intelligence in the workplace can significantly improve communication. Herrity (2022) emphasizes that emotional intelligence enables employees to regulate their emotions and respond thoughtfully in difficult situations. Empathy fosters understanding and consideration of others' viewpoints, helping to bridge communication gaps. Workers who empathize with their colleagues' perspectives are more sensitive to different communication styles, reducing misunderstandings caused by individual or cultural differences. Moreover, empathy helps in managing conflict constructively, as employees aim to resolve disputes by addressing the underlying emotions involved. Birt (2023) notes that promoting emotional intelligence and empathy in conflict resolution reduces communication barriers and fosters a positive work environment.

Conclusion

Communication serves as a crucial tool in fostering strong human relations within Nigerian workplaces, forming the foundation of organizational success. The analysis of communication practices emphasizes that clear, consistent, and culturally sensitive communication is key to building a cohesive work environment. In Nigeria, where ethnic, linguistic, and cultural diversity presents unique challenges and opportunities, effective communication is essential for promoting workplace harmony and productivity. By aligning organizational goals with employee actions, communication ensures that everyone understands their roles and responsibilities. It also bridges hierarchical gaps, fostering mutual respect and collaboration between management and staff, which reduces the likelihood of conflicts and misunderstandings, contributing to a harmonious and productive workplace. The multicultural nature of Nigeria necessitates communication strategies that are inclusive and respectful of cultural differences. Incorporating diverse perspectives in the workplace fosters a sense of belonging and equity, improving morale and driving innovation. Varied viewpoints enhance problem-solving capabilities, contributing to more effective decision-making processes. Additionally, Nigeria's dynamic economic environment requires organizations to remain adaptable and responsive to change. Effective communication keeps employees informed about developments, enabling them to adjust swiftly and maintain productivity. Consequently, investing in comprehensive communication systems and training programs is essential to building a resilient workforce that can navigate challenges and seize opportunities. Despite these benefits, challenges such as inadequate infrastructure, varying literacy levels, and limited access to communication technologies persist. Organizations and policymakers must work together to address these barriers, ensuring that communication tools and training are accessible to all employees, regardless of their location or educational background. In conclusion, communication plays an indispensable role in enhancing human relations within Nigerian workplaces. By prioritizing effective communication, organizations can cultivate a motivated, inclusive, and adaptable workforce, driving sustained success and contributing to the broader economic development of the country. The Nigerian experience illustrates the universal importance of communication as a vital component of any thriving workplace.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this research, the following recommendations are made:
i. Organizations should develop clear and comprehensive communication strategies that align with their goals and values. These strategies should provide guidelines for both internal and external communication to ensure consistency and clarity at all levels.
ii. Regular training programs should be established to improve the communication skills of employees at all levels. These programs should cover topics such as active listening, effective writing, public speaking, and cultural sensitivity, tailored to Nigeria's diverse workplace environment.
iii. Modern communication tools and platforms, such as intranets, instant messaging apps, and video conferencing solutions, should be adopted to bridge geographical gaps and facilitate real-time communication, especially for organizations with remote or dispersed teams.
iv. Given Nigeria's linguistic diversity, organizations should promote the use of multiple languages in communication. Providing translations and employing multilingual staff will ensure that messages are clearly understood by all employees, regardless of their primary language.
v. Organizations should establish robust feedback systems that enable employees to express their opinions and concerns, anonymously if necessary. Regular surveys, suggestion boxes, and open forums can help management address issues promptly and enhance employee engagement.

References

Banihashemi, S. A. (2011). The Role of Communication to Improve Organizational Process. *European Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences,* 1, (1) 13-24.

Birt, J. (2023). *Common communication barriers (with examples and tips).* Retrieved on 14/7/2024, from https://www.indeed.com/career-advice/career-development/common-communication-barriers-with-examples

Brutt, G. J. (2006). *Languages of wider communication.* London: Edward Arnold.

Chapel U. & Reed, T. (2008). *Effective communication skills and human interaction.* Enugu: Fidgina Global.

Cheryl, H. (2013). Communicating for results: A guide for business and the professions interscience. *Management Review*, 2, 2, 1513-2231.

Chinedu, I. (2024). Personal communication, 10th July, 2024.

Chukwubuikem, K. (2024). Personal communication, 25th June, 2024.

Desrosier, J. (2019). *Jargon is a barrier: Why it's important to keep it simple*. Retrieved on 7/6/2024, from https://www.govloop.com/community/blog/jargon-is-a-barrier-why-its-important-to-keep-it-simple/

Destine, F. (2022). *Facing challenges in the workplace? Learn how to communicate*. Retrieved on 10/6/2024, from https://www.entrepreneur.com/leadership/facing-challenges-in-the-workplace-learn-how-to-communicate/435202

Employment Hero. (2022). *7 communication barriers at work you should know*. Retrieved on 6/6/2024, from https://employmenthero.com/blog/communication-barriers-in-the-workplace/

Emuze, F. & James, M. (2013). Exploring communication challenges due to language and cultural diversity on South African construction sites. *Acta Structilia*, 20, 1, 44-65.

Glassdoor (2021). *Barriers to communication in the workplace and ways to help*. Retrieved on 8/6/2024, from https://www.glassdoor.com/blog/guide/barriers-to-communication-in-the-workplace/

Grammarly, K. (2021). *Examples of Effective Communication in the Workplace*. Retrieved on 7/6/2024, from https://www.grammarly.com/business/learn/barriers-to-effective-communication/

Grossman, D. (2022). *Effects of poor communication in the workplace.* Retrieved on 6/6/2024, from https://www.yourthoughtpartner.com/blog/poor-communication-in-the-workplace

Guo, K. L. (2003). A study of the skills and roles of senior level healthcare managers. *The Healthcare Manager*, *22* (2), 152–158.

Herrity, J. (2022). *Empathy in the workplace: Its Important and how to show it*. Retrieved on 14/6/2024, from https://www.indeed.com/career-advice/career-development/empathy-in-the-workplace

Ikem, I. (2024). Personal communication, 10th July, 2024.

Imo, R. (2024). Personal communication, 3rd July, 2024.

Intouch. (2023). *How to overcome communication barriers at the workplace*. Retrieved on 6/6/2024, from https://keepmeintouch.io/how-to-overcome-communication-barriers-at-the-workplace/

Krause, L. (2019). *The Effects of poor communication in the workplace*. Retrieved 6/6/2024, from https://www.thealternativeboard.com/blog/the-effects-of-poor-communication-in-the-workplace

Lee, J. (2022). *Communication barriers in the workplace: How to knock them down*. Retrieved on 7/6/2024, from https://www.zenefits.com/workest/communication-barrriers-in-the-workplace/

Little, P. (2007). *Update on communication: Theories and principles.* Jos: LECAPS.

Longest, B. B., Rakich, J. S., & Darr, K. (2000). *Managing health services organizations*. Baltimore: Health Professions Press.

Martic, K. (2023). *Top 13 communication barriers and how to tackle them*. Retrieved on 6/6/2024, from https://haiilo.com/blog/communication-barriers/

McGraw-Hill, (2001). *Defining Communication*. New York: McGraw-Hill Higher Education.

Miller, K. (2009). *Organizational communication*. Belmont, CA: Thomson Wadsworth.

Miskin, V. S., & Dongarkar, R. P. (2022). Barriers to effective communication in the workplace and measures to eliminate such barriers from the organization. *International Journal of Innovations in Engineering Research and Technology*, *6, 8, 63-74.*

Mohd Ghani, M. H., Muhamad Zamani, N. F., Rozman Azram, A. A., Rahmat, N. H., Omar, S. K., & Md Sharif, N. F. (2022). An Investigation of Perceptual, Verbal and Non-Verbal Barriers in the Workplace. *International Journal of Asian Social Science*, *12,* (9), 347-359.

Nelson, E.C. & Pollack, T. (2007). *Communication among Scientists and Engineers.* Lexington: Hacut Press.

Nwokeoma, B. (2024). Personal communication, 20th August, 2024.

Obamiro, J. K. (2011). *Management principles and strategies*. Lagos: Pumak.

Obi, I. V. (2007). *Communication in English for Tertiary Institutions.* Onitsha: Chambers.

Obumneme, T. (2024). Personal communication, 27th June, 2024.

Ogbonna, I. (2024). Personal communication, 17th July, 2024.

Ogunbameru, A. (2008). Management of human resources through effective human relations in an organization. *Journal of Management Sciences*, 7, 9, 12-34.

Ojide, I. (2024). Personal communication, 23rd June, 2024.

Okafor, C. (2024). Personal communication, 11th July, 2024.

Okoro, C. (2024). Personal communication, 1st August, 2024.

Omolawal, A. (2021). Human relations theory: implications for effective human resource management. *Ilorin Journal of Human Resource Management (IJHRM)*, 5, 1, 1-9

Onwuachusim, B. (2024). Personal communication, 28th July, 2024.

Scheidel, T. M. (2001). *Speech communication and human interaction*. London: Scott Foreman and Company.

Van Rensburg, R. (2021). *8 Barriers to workplace communication.* Retrieved on 6/6/2024, from https://coggno.com/blog/barriers-to-workplace-communication/

Wells, K. (2017). *6 Effects of poor communication in business for the workplace*. Retrieved on 7/6/2024, from https://fsd.servicemax.com/2017/11/08/6-business-impacts-poor-communication/

Willcox, G. (2020. *Understanding human relations*. Retrieved on April 29, 2024, from www.vadym pastukh/shutterstock.com