THE CHALLENGES OF NATION-BUILDING: A HISTORICAL EVALUATION OF NIGERIA'S EXPERIENCE

Adiele, Ikechukwu J. Ph.D
Department of Political, Abia State University, Uturu
+2348055083565
Iykeadiele1@gmail.com
&
Ikechukwu Emmanuel Uvere Ph.D
Department of History and International Studies, Gregory University, Uturu,
Abia State, Nigeria
+2348063240375, 2348121298775
i.uvere@gregoryuniversityuturu.edu.ng

Abstract
Nation-building remains a fundamental challenge in Nigeria, a multi-ethnic society where political stability, social cohesion, and economic development have been persistently undermined. Since gaining independence in 1960, Nigeria has struggled to foster a unified national identity due to deep-rooted ethnic divisions, political instability, corruption, economic disparities, and security threats. These issues have continued to obstruct efforts toward national integration and sustainable development. This study seeks to examine the obstacles impeding Nigeria's nation-building process and explore viable solutions to address them. Adopting a qualitative research methodology, the study relies on secondary data sources, including scholarly articles, government reports, and historical accounts, to analyze the complexities of Nigeria's political and socio-economic landscape. The research is anchored in the Social Contract Theory, which emphasizes the role of governance in ensuring collective progress and national unity. The study highlights the significance of good governance, inclusive economic policies, and institutional reforms in overcoming the nation's challenges. Findings indicate that weak democratic institutions, ethnic-based political alignments, and socio-economic inequalities have significantly hindered national cohesion. Furthermore, governance failures have exacerbated mistrust among citizens, weakening the prospects for sustainable nation-building. The study concludes that achieving a cohesive national identity requires deliberate efforts toward political inclusion, equitable resource distribution, and enhanced security measures. It recommends strengthening democratic institutions, promoting civic education, and fostering inter-ethnic dialogue to bridge existing divides. Ultimately, a renewed commitment to transparency, accountability, and inclusive development is imperative for advancing Nigeria's nation-building aspirations.
Keywords: Nation-Building, Ethnicity, Political Stability

Introduction
Nation-building is a fundamental process aimed at fostering a shared national identity, political unity, and institutional stability within a state[1]. It entails the deliberate efforts of governments and societies to promote cohesion, develop strong institutions, and create a collective sense of belonging among diverse groups. However, in Nigeria, the nation-building process has been fraught with complexities arising from historical, political, and socio-economic challenges[2].

Nigeria's colonial experience significantly shaped the trajectory of its nation-building efforts. The 1914 amalgamation, which merged the Northern and Southern Protectorates, brought together diverse ethnic, religious, and cultural groups under a single political entity[3]. However, this union was largely driven by administrative and economic interests rather than the organic integration of the different groups. Consequently, deep-seated ethnic and regional divisions persisted, creating structural weaknesses that have continually hindered national unity.

Despite numerous post-independence policies designed to promote national integration—such as the establishment of the National Youth Service Corps (NYSC), the Federal Character Principle, and unity

schools—Nigeria continues to grapple with ethno-regional conflicts, governance failures, corruption, and insecurity[4]. Political competition is often defined by ethnic and religious affiliations rather than national interest, weakening the prospects for collective national identity. Additionally, weak institutions and governance deficits have further exacerbated socio-political fragmentation, making the goal of nation-building increasingly elusive.

This paper critically examines the historical and contemporary challenges impeding Nigeria's nation-building efforts. It explores viable strategies for addressing these issues, emphasizing the need for inclusive governance, institutional reforms, and policies that promote unity and socio-economic equity. By analyzing the underlying factors that have shaped Nigeria's nation-building trajectory, the study seeks to contribute to the discourse on fostering sustainable national cohesion and development.

Conceptual Framework in Nation-Building: A Historical Assessment of the Nigeria's Experience
To provide a clearer understanding of the challenges associated with nation-building in Nigeria, it is essential to define key concepts that shape the discourse. These concepts—nation-building, ethnicity, political stability, governance, and security—are fundamental to examining Nigeria's historical experiences and contemporary struggles with national integration.

1.   Nation-Building
Nation-building refers to the process of fostering a collective national identity, strengthening political institutions, and promoting socio-economic development[5]. It involves integrating diverse ethnic and religious groups into a unified national framework that upholds shared values and common citizenship[6]. In Nigeria, the colonial legacy of indirect rule and the amalgamation of 1914 contributed to political fragmentation, making nation-building a daunting task[7]. Efforts such as the National Youth Service Corps (NYSC) and the Federal Character Principle were introduced to promote national cohesion, yet ethnic divisions persist[8].

2.   Ethnicity
Ethnicity is a social construct that defines a group of people based on shared ancestry, language, culture, and traditions[9]. In Nigeria, ethnic identity often supersedes national loyalty, leading to deep-seated divisions[10]. Colonial policies reinforced ethnic differences by promoting regionalism and uneven development[11]. Post-independence politics further institutionalized ethnicity, with political parties and governance structures often organized along ethnic lines[12]. Ethnic-based marginalization has fueled conflicts, secessionist agitations, and a weak sense of national unity[13].

3.   Political Stability
Political stability is a crucial element of nation-building, as it provides a conducive environment for democratic governance, economic development, and social harmony[14]. Nigeria's history has been marked by political instability, including military coups, electoral fraud, and ethno-political conflicts[15]. The Nigerian Civil War (1967–1970) was a direct consequence of political and ethnic tensions, underscoring the fragility of national unity[16]. Even in the democratic era, political instability persists due to weak institutions, corruption, and elite-driven manipulation of ethnic and religious sentiments[17].

Theoretical Framework
The Social Contract Theory, as developed by philosophers like Thomas Hobbes, John Locke, and Jean-Jacques Rousseau, provides a useful lens for analyzing Nigeria's nation-building challenges[18]. The theory posits that individuals cede certain freedoms to a government in exchange for protection, order, and collective benefits[19]. The Social Contract Theory, as developed by Thomas Hobbes, John Locke, and Jean-Jacques Rousseau, offers a valuable perspective for understanding the challenges of nation-building in Nigeria. At its core, the theory explains that individuals willingly relinquish certain freedoms to a governing authority in exchange for security, order, and collective benefits. However, the effectiveness of this contract depends on the government's ability to uphold its responsibilities.

Thomas Hobbes viewed the state of nature as chaotic and violent, where life was "solitary, poor, nasty, brutish, and short." To escape this anarchy, people agreed to surrender their absolute freedoms to a

sovereign ruler who would, in turn, ensure law and order. In Nigeria, this principle is evident in the need for a strong central government to combat insecurity, enforce laws, and maintain territorial integrity. However, the government's failure to provide security, as seen in the persistence of Boko Haram attacks, banditry, and communal violence, signals a breach of this social contract. When citizens feel unprotected, discontent grows, leading to political instability and calls for better governance.

John Locke, on the other hand, argued that individuals do not surrender all their rights but rather form a government to protect their life, liberty, and property. In this view, a government derives legitimacy from the consent of the people and must uphold justice, fairness, and the rule of law. In Nigeria, institutions such as the electoral system and the judiciary are meant to safeguard these rights. However, instances of police brutality, electoral fraud, and human rights violations—such as those highlighted during the #EndSARS protests—demonstrate that the government has often failed to honor its side of the contract. When citizens perceive their leaders as corrupt and unaccountable, trust in the system erodes, further hindering nation-building efforts.

Jean-Jacques Rousseau introduced the concept of popular sovereignty, emphasizing that government must reflect the general will of the people. In this ideal system, individuals sacrifice certain freedoms not to an absolute ruler but to a democratic government that ensures equality and representation. In Nigeria, democratic institutions, including the National Assembly and local councils, are designed to serve this function. However, when leaders manipulate policies for personal gain, suppress dissent, or fail to address citizens' concerns, people become disillusioned with the system. This alienation often manifests in protests, separatist movements, and calls for restructuring, further complicating the nation-building process.

For the social contract to function effectively in Nigeria, the government must prioritize security, justice, and inclusive governance. Leaders must rebuild trust by addressing corruption, strengthening institutions, and ensuring that all citizens, regardless of ethnicity or region, feel represented. Only through these measures can Nigeria overcome its historical challenges and foster a truly unified and stable nation.

In the Nigerian context, the failure of successive governments to fulfill their part of the social contract—ensuring security, justice, and equitable development—has led to widespread discontent and ethno-political agitation[20]. Weak state institutions, corruption, and governance deficits have further eroded public trust in the Nigerian state, making nation-building an uphill task[21].

Colonial Legacy and Nation-Building in Nigeria:
The Amalgamation of 1914 and the Social Contract Theory
The amalgamation of Northern and Southern Nigeria in 1914 by the British colonial government was a unilateral decision that disregarded the socio-cultural diversities among the various ethnic groups. From the perspective of social contract theory, particularly as articulated by thinkers such as Thomas Hobbes, John Locke, and Jean-Jacques Rousseau, a legitimate political authority emerges from the consent of the governed. However, in the case of Nigeria, the colonial authorities imposed a state structure without consulting or securing the voluntary agreement of the indigenous peoples.

Locke's version of the social contract argues that a just government must be based on the will of the people, protecting their rights and promoting the common good. The arbitrary merging of distinct political entities under British rule undermined this principle, as Nigerians were not given the opportunity to negotiate the terms of their coexistence. This absence of consensus led to a fragile national identity, with different ethnic groups maintaining allegiance to their pre-colonial structures rather than to the imposed colonial state. The artificial statehood imposed by amalgamation created enduring tensions, as it forced together groups with historically distinct governance structures, economic systems, and cultural values.

Rousseau's concept of the general will further emphasize the need for a collective agreement among the people for a state to be legitimate. However, in Nigeria's case, the British decision to amalgamate was

driven by administrative convenience and economic benefits for the colonial authorities rather than by the collective will of the people[22]. As a result, the post-colonial state inherited a structural imbalance, with various ethnic groups and regions struggling to assert their distinct identities within a framework they had no role in creating.

Indirect Rule, Ethnic Fragmentation, and the Social Contract Theory
The policy of indirect rule implemented by the British further complicated Nigeria's nation-building process. This system reinforced ethnic and regional divisions, as colonial authorities governed through traditional rulers who were often co-opted to serve British interests. Social contract theorists emphasize that political legitimacy is derived from a government's ability to represent and uphold the collective interests of its people. However, under indirect rule, the social contract was effectively between the colonial authorities and traditional elites, rather than between the Nigerian people and their government[23].

Hobbes' Leviathan suggests that people surrender some of their freedoms to a sovereign authority in exchange for security and order. However, in Nigeria's case, the colonial government did not provide a unifying sovereign authority that upheld the collective interests of the people. Instead, it entrenched a system that favored certain ethnic groups over others, exacerbating distrust and fostering a fragmented political culture. This legacy continued into the post-independence era, where ethnic and regional divisions persisted as significant barriers to national unity.

Locke's theory highlights the importance of a government that is accountable to the people. Yet, under indirect rule, the colonial government was accountable only to the British Crown, with local Nigerian rulers acting as intermediaries who often prioritized their personal and ethnic interests over the broader national interest. This created a governance structure that lacked legitimacy in the eyes of many Nigerians, making post-colonial nation-building efforts highly challenging.

Furthermore, Rousseau's emphasis on participatory democracy contrasts sharply with the indirect rule system, where the people had little or no say in governance. The exclusion of Nigerians from political decision-making during the colonial era weakened the foundation for democratic governance in the post-independence period. Instead of fostering national identity and unity, indirect rule institutionalized ethnic favoritism and deepened regional divisions, thereby undermining the prospects for a cohesive Nigerian state.

Viewed through the lens of social contract theory, Nigeria's colonial legacy was marked by a fundamental absence of legitimate political agreements among its diverse ethnic groups. The amalgamation of 1914 imposed a state structure without the consent of its people, violating Locke's principle that legitimate governance must emerge from the will of the governed. Similarly, the system of indirect rule further entrenched ethnic and regional divisions, contradicting Rousseau's ideal of a social contract based on collective participation and general will.

The continued challenges of national unity, ethnic rivalries, and governance crises in Nigeria can thus be traced to the colonial-era disruption of a natural social contract among Nigerians. The failure to build an inclusive and consensual state from the outset has had long-term consequences, making nation-building an ongoing struggle.

Ethnic Politics, Political Instability, Economic Disparities, and Security Challenges: A Social Contract Perspective
Social contract theory, as developed by thinkers like Thomas Hobbes, John Locke, and Jean-Jacques Rousseau, provides a framework for analyzing the relationship between the state and its citizens. This theory posits that political legitimacy and stability emerge from an implicit agreement where individuals surrender some freedoms to a governing authority in exchange for protection and societal order. However, in Nigeria, ethnic politics, political instability, economic disparities, and security challenges have consistently undermined this contract, leading to governance crises and a fragile national identity.

1.   **Ethnic Politics and National Integration**
a.   **Ethnic Rivalries and Political Competition**

Since independence, ethnic competition for political power has continuously eroded efforts to create a unified national identity[24]. According to Hobbes, the absence of a strong central authority leads to a state of nature characterized by conflict and insecurity. Nigeria's First Republic collapsed due to intense ethnic rivalries, leading to military coups and civil unrest. The Nigerian Civil War (1967–1970) further fragmented national unity[25], highlighting the failure of the post-independence state to establish a political framework that all ethnic groups could consider legitimate.

Locke's view on government emphasizes the need for institutions that reflect the will of the people. However, in Nigeria, the political elite manipulated ethnic divisions for their own gain, preventing the formation of a national consensus. Rousseau's concept of the "general will" argues that true governance must serve the collective interest rather than sectional or ethnic interests. Yet, Nigeria's political landscape has been dominated by ethnic competition, which undermines the social contract by prioritizing sectional advantages over national unity.

b.   **Federal Character Policy and its Limitations**

The Federal Character Principle was introduced to ensure equitable representation of all regions in government appointments and resource distribution[26]. However, rather than fostering national unity, it has often been criticized for promoting mediocrity and reinforcing ethnic divisions. From a social contract perspective, a just government must allocate resources and opportunities in a way that ensures the well-being of all citizens. Yet, the Federal Character system has been exploited to reward political loyalty rather than competence, violating Locke's principle that government must be based on merit and public trust.

Rousseau emphasized that policies should be designed to strengthen national cohesion, but in Nigeria, the Federal Character policy has had the opposite effect. By institutionalizing ethnic quotas rather than merit-based appointments, the government has weakened its ability to serve as a neutral arbiter, further eroding the legitimacy of the state in the eyes of many citizens.

2.   **Political Instability and Corruption**
a.   **Military Interventions and Governance Challenges**

Nigeria's history of military coups disrupted democratic governance and weakened state institutions[27]. Hobbes argued that a strong, centralized authority is necessary to prevent anarchy, but Nigeria's repeated transitions between military and civilian rule created a cycle of instability that prevented the establishment of enduring governance structures.
Locke's idea of the social contract stresses the importance of government legitimacy through popular consent. However, Nigeria's military regimes ruled through coercion rather than democratic legitimacy, often suspending constitutions and suppressing political opposition. This breach of the social contract deepened public distrust in government and hindered long-term nation-building efforts.

b.   **Corruption and Institutional Weaknesses**

Corruption remains a fundamental obstacle to nation-building in Nigeria[28]. According to Locke, government exists to protect life, liberty, and property, yet Nigeria's political elites have consistently diverted public resources, leading to inadequate infrastructure, poor social services, and widespread poverty. This has fueled public resentment and weakened national cohesion.

Rousseau's theory highlights the need for accountability in governance. However, in Nigeria, corruption has created a system where power is used for personal enrichment rather than for the common good. The social contract is continuously violated when public officials fail to act in the best interests of the people, eroding trust in the government and exacerbating socio-economic inequalities.

3. Economic Disparities and the Resource Control Debate
a. Unequal Economic Development

Economic inequality has been a major source of discontent in Nigeria, particularly in the oil-rich Niger Delta[29]. Locke's theory of government emphasizes the protection of property rights and equitable distribution of resources. However, in Nigeria, oil wealth has been concentrated in the hands of a few, while host communities suffer from environmental degradation and lack of basic infrastructure. This failure to ensure equitable resource distribution has led to demands for greater regional autonomy and resource control.

Rousseau's principle of the general will suggest that national policies should reflect the interests of all citizens. Yet, in Nigeria, economic policies have disproportionately favored some regions while neglecting others. This economic imbalance undermines the social contract by creating a system where the state is perceived as serving certain groups at the expense of others, thereby fueling ethnic tensions and secessionist agitations.

b. The Role of Economic Policies in Nation-Building

Various government programs aimed at addressing poverty and economic disparity, such as revenue allocation policies, have had limited success due to corruption and poor implementation[30]. The failure to deliver on these economic policies violates the social contract, as citizens expect the government to provide the necessary conditions for economic security. Locke's theory asserts that when a government fails to protect the welfare of its people, it loses legitimacy. In Nigeria, widespread economic grievances have fueled instability and weakened national unity.

4. Security Challenges and Their Impact on Nation-Building
a. Insurgency and Separatist Movements

The rise of insurgent groups like Boko Haram and separatist movements like the Indigenous People of Biafra (IPOB) poses a serious threat to Nigeria's unity[31]. Hobbes argued that the state exists to prevent a "war of all against all," yet Nigeria's weak governance structures have allowed these groups to thrive. The failure to address socio-economic grievances has created fertile ground for insurgency, with many groups capitalizing on public frustration with government failures.

Locke believed that citizens have a right to revolt against a government that fails to protect their interests. In Nigeria, the persistence of security challenges indicates a failure of governance, as many citizens in affected regions feel abandoned by the state. Rousseau's idea of the general will suggests that government policies should address the needs of all citizens, but the state's inability to curb insurgency and separatist violence has deepened national discontent.

b. Weak Law Enforcement and Governance Failures

The inability of Nigeria's security agencies to effectively combat insurgency, banditry, and communal clashes has further weakened the legitimacy of the state[32]. Hobbes' social contract model argues that individuals surrender certain freedoms in exchange for security and order. However, when a state fails to provide security, the contract is broken, leading to increased lawlessness and public distrust.

Locke's emphasis on the rule of law suggests that a functional government must enforce justice fairly and effectively. Yet, in Nigeria, security forces are often accused of human rights abuses, corruption, and inefficiency, further eroding public confidence in the state. Rousseau's notion of participatory governance indicates that inclusive decision-making could help address security concerns, yet many Nigerian communities feel excluded from policymaking, exacerbating their grievances.

From a social contract perspective, Nigeria's challenges in nation-building stem from a persistent failure of governance to uphold the principles of legitimacy, equity, and security. Ethnic politics has fragmented national identity, military coups have disrupted democratic governance, corruption has weakened institutions, economic disparities have fueled regional tensions, and security failures have undermined state authority.

Conclusion

Nation-building in Nigeria has been a complex and ongoing challenge, largely influenced by historical, political, economic, and security-related factors. The colonial legacy of the 1914 amalgamation created an artificial state without considering the deep-rooted ethnic and cultural differences among its diverse populations. The British policy of indirect rule further entrenched ethnic and regional loyalties over a unified national identity, leaving behind a fractured political landscape that continues to shape governance in Nigeria today.

Ethnic divisions remain a significant obstacle to national integration. Since independence, Nigeria's political structure has been dominated by ethnic competition, often leading to electoral violence, policy biases, and sectional favoritism. The collapse of the First Republic and the Nigerian Civil War are testaments to the dangers of ethnic rivalries in governance. Although policies such as the Federal Character Principle were introduced to promote inclusivity, they have sometimes reinforced mediocrity and ethnic-based politics rather than fostering genuine national unity.

Political instability and corruption have further hindered the process of nation-building. Multiple military coups disrupted democratic governance, preventing the implementation of long-term policies needed for national development. Even in democratic settings, governance failures and widespread corruption have eroded public trust in government institutions. The mismanagement of public funds has led to inadequate infrastructure, poor service delivery, and worsening socio-economic conditions, exacerbating public frustration and division.

Economic disparities also pose a major challenge to national unity. Nigeria's wealth distribution is highly uneven, with oil-rich regions like the Niger Delta feeling marginalized despite contributing significantly to national revenue. Similarly, the economic gap between the North and South has fueled grievances, leading to social unrest and the rise of insurgent groups. While government policies have attempted to address these disparities through revenue allocation and poverty alleviation programs, corruption and poor implementation have hindered their effectiveness.

Security threats, including insurgency, banditry, and separatist movements, further weaken Nigeria's nation-building efforts. The rise of groups like Boko Haram and the Indigenous People of Biafra (IPOB) reflects deep-seated socio-economic and political frustrations. Weak law enforcement, poor governance, and a lack of comprehensive security strategies have allowed these threats to persist, undermining national stability and trust in government institutions.

Recommendations

1. Promoting Inclusive Governance: Ensuring fair representation of all ethnic groups in government to foster national unity.
2. Strengthening Institutions: Reforming key institutions to enhance transparency, accountability, and good governance.
3. Economic Development and Poverty Reduction: Addressing economic inequalities through targeted development policies.
4. Security Sector Reform: Enhancing the capacity of security agencies to combat terrorism, insurgency, and communal conflicts.
5. Civic Education and National Orientation: Promoting a culture of national consciousness through education and media campaigns.

Endnotes

1.   Anthony D. Smith, National Identity (Reno: University of Nevada Press, 1991).

2.   Toyin Falola and Matthew Heaton, A History of Nigeria (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008).

3.   James S. Coleman, Nigeria: Background to Nationalism (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1958).

4.   Eghosa E. Osaghae, Crippled Giant: Nigeria Since Independence (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1998).

5.   Karl W. Deutsch, Nationalism and Social Communication: An Inquiry into the Foundations of Nationality (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1966).

6.   Toyin Falola and Matthew Heaton, A History of Nigeria (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008).

7.   Ernest Gellner, Nations and Nationalism (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1983).

8.   Eghosa E. Osaghae, Crippled Giant: Nigeria Since Independence (London: Hurst & Company, 1998).

9.   Anthony D. Smith, The Ethnic Origins of Nations (Oxford: Blackwell, 1986).

10.   Benedict Anderson, Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism (London: Verso, 1983).

11.   J. I. Elaigwu, "The Impact of Existing Inter-Governmental Financial Relations on Effective Service Delivery at the Grassroots in Nigeria," Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Sciences 3, no. 1 (2013): 1–18.

12.   Emmanuel O. Ojo, "Federalism and the Search for National Integration in Nigeria," African Journal of Political Science and International Relations 3, no. 9 (2009): 384–395.

13.   B. Iwuagwu, "The Effect of State Interference in Local Government," Journal of Politics and Administration 2, no. 1 (2011): 30–42.

14.   Claude Ake, Democracy and Development in Africa (Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press, 1996).

15.   Warren Krafchik and Joachim Wehner, "The Role of Parliament in the Budget Process," South African Journal of Economics 66, no. 4 (1998): 512–541.

16.   Larry Diamond, Developing Democracy: Toward Consolidation (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1999).

17.   Peter Mbah, "Executive-Legislative Relations in Nigeria: The Presidency and the Assembly, 1999–2006," Nigerian Journal of Social Sciences 4, no. 1 (2007): 187–195.

18.   Jean-Jacques Rousseau, The Social Contract (London: Penguin Books, 1762).

19.   John Locke, Two Treatises of Government (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1689).

20.   Thomas Hobbes, Leviathan (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1651).

21.   Okwudiba Nnoli, Ethnic Politics in Africa (Dakar: CODESRIA, 1998).

22.   Chinua Achebe, There Was a Country: A Personal History of Biafra (New York: Penguin Books, 2012).

23.   Larry Diamond, Class, Ethnicity, and Democracy in Nigeria (Syracuse: Syracuse University Press, 1988).

24.   Claude Ake, A Political Economy of Africa (London: Longman, 1981).

25.   Michael Watts, The Politics of Oil in Nigeria's Niger Delta (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2008).

26.   John Campbell, Nigeria: Dancing on the Brink (Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 2013).

27.   Ifeanyi Aghedo and Oarhe Osumah, "The Boko Haram Uprising," African Security Review 21, no. 3 (2012): 24–39.

28.   International Crisis Group (ICG), The Roots of Nigeria's Security Crisis (Brussels: ICG, 2019).

29.   Rotimi T. Suberu, Federalism and Ethnic Conflict in Nigeria (Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace Press, 2001).

30.   Abdul Raufu Mustapha, Ethnic Structure, Inequality, and Governance of the Public Sector in Nigeria (Geneva: UNRISD, 2006).

31.   Peter P. Ekeh, "Colonialism and the Two Publics in Africa: A Theoretical Statement," Comparative Studies in Society and History 17, no. 1 (1975): 91–112.

32.   Richard L. Sklar, Nigerian Political Parties: Power in an Emergent African Nation (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1963).