

Null Controllability of Semi-Linear Differential Systems of NonLocal Initial Conditions with Distributed Delays in the Control in Banach Spaces

Paul Anaetodike Oraekie

Paul Anaetodike Oraekie, PhD, is of the Department of Mathematics,
Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Uli Campus,
Anambra State, Nigeria
Email: drsirpauloraekie@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In this work, a Semi linear Differential System of Non Local Initial Conditions with Distributed Delays in the Control in Banach spaces of the form

$$x^1(t) = Ax(t) + f(t, x(t)) + \int_{-h}^0 [d_\theta H(t, \theta)] u(t + \theta)$$

$$x(0) + g(x) = x_0$$

is presented for controllability analysis. Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for the System to be null controllable are established. Use is made of the Unsymmetric Fubini theorem and Schauders' fixed point theorem to establish results. Conditions are also placed on the perturbation f which guarantee that if the linear control base system is proper and if the uncontrolled linear system is uniformly asymptotically stable, then the Semilinear Differential System is nullcontrollable with constraints.

Keywords: null-controllability, semi-linear, distributed delays, nonlocal initial conditions, Banach spaces

1. INTRODUCTION

Controllability and Null Controllability of nonlinear systems represented by differential and Integrodifferential equations in Banach Spaces have been investigated extensively by many authors; **Balachandran, K. Anandhi (2004), Y.K.Chang, J.J. Nieto(2009), Oraekie,P.A(2017)**.A method is to transform the controllability problem into a fixed point problem for an appropriate operator in a function space. However, **Balachandran and Kim(2003)** pointed out that controllability results are only true for ordinary differential Systems in finite-dimensional spaces if the corresponding operator semi groups are

compact. **Xue, X (2008)** studied the existence of integral solutions for a nonlinear differential equations with nonlocal initial conditions through Hausdorff measure of no compactness in the separable and uniformly smooth Banach spaces. In his work, **Xue, X (2008)** dropped the compactness of semi group. The semi group in his work is a contraction semi group satisfying equicontinuity, which is a special case of a strongly continuous semigroup. With respect to controllability, it is known from the of **Hermes and J.P. La Salle (1969)** that if the linear ordinary control system

$$\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t) + B(t)u(t) \tag{1}$$

Is proper and if the free system

$$\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t) \tag{2}$$

Is uniformly asymptotically stable, then system (1) is null controllable with constraints. A similar result was obtained by **Chukwu (1980)** for the delay system of the form

$$\dot{x}(t) = L(t, x_t) + B(t)u(t) + f(t, x_t, u(t)) \tag{3}$$

where, $L(t, \phi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(t)\phi(-t_k) + \int_{-r}^0 A(t, s)\phi(s)ds.$

Shinba (1985) studied the nonlinear infinite delay system of the form

$$\dot{x}(t) = L(t, x_t) + B(t)u(t) + \int_{-\infty}^0 A(\theta)x(\theta)d\theta + f(t, x_t, u(t)) \tag{4}$$

And showed that system (4) is Euclidean null controllable if the linear base system

$$\dot{x}(t) = L(t, x_t) + B(t)u(t) \tag{5}$$

Is proper and the free system

$$\dot{x}(t) = L(t, x_t) + B(t)u(t) + \int_{-\infty}^0 A(\theta)x(\theta)d\theta \tag{6}$$

is uniformly asymptotically stable, provided that f satisfies some growth conditions.

Onwuatu (1993), studied the neutral systems with infinite delay of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}D(t, x_t) = L(t, x_t) + B(t)u(t) + \int_{-\infty}^0 A(\theta)x(t + \theta)d\theta + f(t, x_t, u(t)) \tag{7}$$

$x(t) = \phi(t); t \in (-\infty, 0]$

where, $L(t, \phi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(t)\phi(-t_k) + \int_{-r}^0 A(t, s)\phi(s)ds.$

He developed sufficient computable criteria for the null controllability of system (7).

While **Oraekie (2018)** studied the nonlinear infinite neutral systems with Multiple Delays in the Control of the form:

$$\frac{d}{dt}D(t, x_t) = L(t, x_t) + \sum_{j=1}^m B_j u(t - h_j) + \int_{-\infty}^0 A(\theta)x(t + \theta) d\theta + f(t, x_t, u(t)) \dots \dots \tag{8}$$

He developed sufficient computable criteria for the null controllability of the system (8).

*His results extend those of **Hermes and Salle (1969)**, **Chukwu (1980)**, **Sinba (1985)** and **Onwuatu (1993)** to nonlinear infinite neutral systems with multiple delays in the control.*

In this paper, therefore, we consider the null controllability of the Semilinear Differential Systems of Nonlocal Initial Conditions with Distributed Delays in the Control in Banach Spaces of the form:

$$x^1(t) = Ax(t) + \int_{-h}^0 [d_\theta H(t, \theta)] u(t + \theta) + f(t, x(t)) \tag{9}$$

$$x(0) + g(x) = x_0$$

with the main objective of investigating the null controllability of the system(8).

Here, the state $x(\cdot)$ takes value in a Banach Space $X = R^n$ with the norm $|\cdot|$;

the operator A generates a strong continuous not necessarily compact, semigroup $T(t)$ in X .

And the control function $u(\cdot)$ is given Lebsgue square integrable functions $L_2(J, U)$;

there is a Banach Space of admissible control functions with U a Banach Space. $H(t, \theta)$ is an

$n \times n$ matrix function continuous at t and of bounded variation in θ on $[-h, 0]$, $h > 0$

for each $t \in [t_0, t_1]$; $t_1 > t_0$.

The functions $f: J \times X \rightarrow X$, $g: C(J, X) \rightarrow X$ are continuous. Here, $x_0 = x(0)$ is a given

element in X , $C(J, X)$ denotes the Banach space of continuous functions $x(\cdot) : J \rightarrow X$

with the norm $\|x\| = \sup\{|x(t)|, t \in J\}$.

The nonlocal initial condition is a generalization of the classical initial condition, which

was motivated by physical phenomena. The pioneering work on nonlocal conditions is due to

Byszewski (1991) followed by **Fu. X. Ezzinbi(2003)**.

2. Preliminaries and Notations

Consider the following dynamical system(9) given as

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= Ax(t) + \int_{-h}^0 [d_\theta H(t, \theta)] u(t + \theta) + f(t, x(t)) \\ x(0) + g(x) &= x_0 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

If $T(t, t_0): B \rightarrow B$, $t > t_0$ is defined by $T(t, t_0)\phi = x_t(t_0, \phi)$ and the solution $x(t)$ of

system(11) with the initial complete state $y_{t_0} = \{x_0, u_0\}$ is of the following form

(see **Klamka(1978)** as contained in **Klamka(1980)**):

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= T(t)[x_0 - g(x)] + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)f(s, x(s))ds \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s) \int_{-h}^0 [d_\theta H(t, \theta)] u(t + \theta)ds \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Where $T(t-s)$ is the state transition of the following linear homogeneous system

$$x^1(t) = Ax(t) \quad (13)$$

The third term in the right – hand side of system(12) contains the values of the control $u(t)$ for $t < t_0$, as well as for $t > t_0$. The values of the control $u(t)$ for $t \in [t_0 - h, t_0]$ enter into the definition of initial complete state y_{t_0} . To separate them, the third term of

system(12) must be transformed by changing the order of integration..Using the Unsymmetric Fubini theorem, we have the following equalities:

$$x(t) = T(t)[x_0 - g(x)] + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)f(s, x(s))ds + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H_\theta} \left(\int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)H(l, \theta)u(l+\theta)dl \right) \quad (14)$$

$$\Rightarrow x(t) = T(t)[x_0 - g(x)] + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)f(s, x(s))ds + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H_\theta} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t+\theta} T(t-s)H(l-\theta, \theta)u(l-\theta+\theta)dl \right) \quad (15)$$

$$= T(t)[x_0 - g(x)] + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)f(s, x(s))ds + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H_\theta} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t+\theta} T(t-s)H(l-\theta, \theta)u(l)dl \right) \quad (16)$$

$$\Rightarrow x(t) = T(t)[x_0 - g(x)] + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)f(s, x(s))ds + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H_\theta} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t_0} T(t-s)H(l-\theta, \theta)u_{t_0}(l)dl \right) + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H_\theta} \left(\int_{t_0}^{t+\theta} T(t-s)H(l-\theta, \theta)u(l)dl \right) \quad (17)$$

Where the symbol d_{H_θ} denotes that the integration is in the Lebesgue – Sieltjes senes with respect to the variable θ in the function $H(l, \theta)$.

Let us introduce the following notation

$$H_t(l, \theta) = \begin{cases} H(l, \theta), & l < t, \theta \in R \\ 0, & l > t, \theta \in R \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

Thus, $x(t)$ can be expressed in the following form:

$$\Rightarrow x(t) = T(t)[x_0 - g(x)] + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)f(s, x(s))ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H_\theta} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t_0} T(t-s) H(l-\theta, \theta) u_{t_0}(l) dl \right) \\
 & + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H_\theta} \left(\int_{t_0}^t T(t-s) H_t(l-\theta, \theta) u(l) dl \right) \tag{19}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using again the Unsymmetric Fubini theorem, the equality (19) can be rewritten in a more convenient form as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) = T(t)[x_0 - g(x)] & + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s) f(s, x(s)) ds \\
 & + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H_\theta} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t_0} T(t-s) H(l-\theta, \theta) u_{t_0}(l) dl \right) \\
 & + \int_{t_0}^t \left(\int_{-h}^0 T(t-s) d_\theta H_t(l-\theta, \theta) \right) u(l) dl \tag{20}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now let us consider the system (20) – the exact mild solution of the system(8) for $t = t_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t_1) = T(t_1)[x_0 - g(x)] & + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} T(t_1-s) f(s, x(s)) ds \\
 & + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H_\theta} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t_0} T(t_1-s) H(l-\theta, \theta) u_{t_0}(l) dl \right) \\
 & + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left(\int_{-h}^0 T(t_1-s) d_\theta H_{t_1}(l-\theta, \theta) \right) u(l) dl \tag{21}
 \end{aligned}$$

2.1 BASIC SET FUNCTION AND PROPERTIES.

Definition 2.1.1 (Reachable Set)

The reachable set of the system(9) denoted by $R(t_1, t_0)$ is given as:

$$R(t_1, t_0) = \left\{ \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left(\int_{-h}^0 T(t_1-s) d_\theta H_{t_1}(l-\theta, \theta) \right) u(l) dl : u \in U; |u_j| \leq 1; j = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\}$$

where $U = \{u \in L_2([t_0, t_1], R^m)\}$.

Definition 2.1.2 (Target Set)

The target set for the system(9) denoted by $G(t_1, t_0)$ is given as:

$$G(t_1, t_0) = \{x(t_1, x_0, u) : t_1 \geq \tau > t_0, \text{ for some fixed } \tau \in [t_0, t_1] \text{ and } u \in U\}.$$

Definition 2.1.3 (Attainable Set)

The attainable set for the system(9) denoted by $A(t_1, t_0)$ is given as:

$$A(t_1, t_0) = \{x(t_1, x_0, u) : u \in U ; |u_j| \leq 1 ; j = 1, 2, \dots, m \}; U = \{u \in L_2([t_0, t_1], R^m)\}.$$

Definition2.1.4 (Controllability Grammian or Map)

The controllability grammian or map of the system(9)denoted by $W(t_1, t_0)$ is given as

$$W(t_1, t_0) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left(\int_{-h}^0 T(t_1 - s) d_{\theta} H_{t_1}(l - \theta, \theta) \right) \left(\int_{-h}^0 T(t_1 - s) d_{\theta} H_{t_1}(l - \theta, \theta) \right)^T$$

Where T denotes matrix transpose.

$$\text{If } Y(t_1) = \int_{-h}^0 T(t_1 - s) d_{\theta} H_{t_1}(l - \theta, \theta) \tag{22}$$

$$\text{Then, } W(t_1, t_0) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} Y(t_1) Y^T(t_1) \text{ and } W^{-1}(t_1, t_0) = \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\int_{t_0}^{t_1} Y(t_1) Y^T(t_1)} \tag{23}$$

Definition2.1.5 (Properness)

The system (9)is said to be proper on an interval $[t_0, t_1]$ if

$$C^T \int_{-h}^0 T(t_1 - s) d_{\theta} H_{t_1}(l - \theta, \theta) = 0 \text{ ae, } l \in [t_0, t_1] \Rightarrow C = 0; C \in R^n.$$

If the system(9)is proper on each interval $[t_0, t_1]; t_1 > t_0$, we say that system(9) is proper in R^n .

Definition2.1.6 (Positive Definite)

The controllability grammian or map of the system(9)denoted by $W(t_1, t_0)$ is said to be positive definite if $W(t_1, t_0)$ varnishes only at the origin and $W(x) > 0$, for all $x \neq 0; x \in D$, where $D = \{x \in R^n : \|x\| \leq r ; r > 0\} \subset R^n$.

Definition2.1.7 (Complete Controllability)

The system(9) is said to be completely controllable on the interval $[t_0, t_1]$ if for every function ϕ and every state $x_1 \in R^n$, there exists an admissible control energy function $u \in U$ such that $x(t_1) = x_1$.

Definition2.1.8 (Complete State)

We denote the complete state of system(9) by $z(t) = \{x(t), u_t\}$

Then, the initial complete state of system(9) at time t_0 is $z(t_0) = \{x(t_0), u_{t_0}\}$

Definition2.1.9 (Null Controllability)

The system(9) is said to be null controllable on the interval $[t_0, t_1]$ if for every function $\phi \in B([t_0, t_1], R^n)$, there exists a time $t_1 \geq t_0, u \in L_2([t_0, t_1], P), P$ a compact convex subset of R^m such that the solution $x(t, t_0, \phi, f)$ of system(9) satisfies

$$x_{t_0}(t_0, \phi, f) = \phi \text{ and } x(t_1, t_0, \phi, f) = 0$$

Definition2.1.10 (Relative Controllability)

The system(9) is said to be relatively controllable on the interval $[t_0, t_1]$ if

$$A(t_1, t_0) \cap G(t_1, t_0) \neq \phi, \quad t_1 > t_0 \in [t_0, t_1].$$

3. MAIN WORK

The following theorems on controllability of system(9) are similar to the corresponding results for linear control systems of various types including some with delays and some without delays(see **Oraekie(2017),Onwuatu(1993),Hermes and La Salle(1963)**).

Theorem 3.1

The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The controllability grammian $W(t_1, t_0)$ of sysem(9)is non – singular
- (ii). System(9) is completely controllable on the interval $[t_0, t_1]. t_1 > t_0$
- (iii). System(9) is proper on the interval $[t_0, t_1]. t_1 > t_0$

Proof

The controllability grammian $W(t_1, t_0)$ of sysem(9)is nonsingular is equivalent to saying that it is positive definite, which in turn is equivalent to saying that the C^T of the controllability index of system(9)is equal to zero almost everywhere , implies that $C = 0$.

$$i.e . C^T \int_{-h}^0 T(t_1 - s) d_{\theta}H_{t_1}(l - \theta, \theta) = 0 \text{ a e } , l \in [t_0, t_1] \Rightarrow C = 0; C \in R^n. \text{ Thus, showing that (i) and (iii) are equivalent..}$$

Now consider

$$C^T \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left(\int_{-h}^0 T(t_1 - s) d_{\theta}H_{t_1}(l - \theta, \theta) \right) u(l) dl = 0 \text{ a e } , l \in [t_0, t_1].$$

For each l, then

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} C^T \left(\int_{-h}^0 T(t_1 - s) d_{\theta}H_{t_1}(l - \theta, \theta) \right) u(l) dl = C^T \left[\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left(\int_{-h}^0 T(t_1 - s) d_{\theta}H_{t_1}(l - \theta, \theta) \right) u(l) dl \right] = \mathbf{0}$$

It follows from this that C is orthogonal to the reachable set $\mathbf{R}(t_1, t_0)$.

If we assume the relative controllability of system(9) now, then

$\mathbf{R}(t_1, t_0) = R^n$, so that $C = 0$. Showing that (ii) implies (iii).

Conversely, assume that system(9) is not controllable so that

$$\mathbf{R}(t_1, t_0) = R^n, t_1 > t_0 .$$

Then there exists $C \neq 0, C \in R^n$ such that

$$C^T \mathbf{R}(t_1, t_0) = 0$$

It follows now that for all admissible controls $u \in U \subset L_2([t_0, t_1], R^n)$

$$0 = C^T \left[\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left(\int_{-h}^0 T(t_1 - s) d_{\theta}H_{t_1}(l - \theta, \theta) \right) u(l) dl \right]$$

$$\text{Hence, } C^T \mathbf{R}(t_1, t_0) = 0 \text{ a e } , l \in [t_0, t_1], C \neq 0.$$

This situation, implies that system(9) is not proper by the definition of properness since

$C \neq 0$. Hence, the system(9) is relatively controllable on $[t_0, t_1]$ and hence completely controllable.

Theorem 3.2

Assume for system (9) that:

(i). the constraint set U is an arbitrary compact subset of R^n .

(ii). the system(6) satisfies exponential estimate.

$$i.e. \|x(t, t_0, \phi, 0)\| \leq M e^{-\delta(t-t_0)} \|\phi\|, \quad \text{for some } \delta > 0, M > 0.$$

(iii). the linear control system – (system(5)), is proper in R^n .

(iv). the continuous function f satisfies

$$|f(t, x(\cdot), u(\cdot))| \leq \exp(-Nt) \pi(x(\cdot), u(\cdot)), \text{ for all } (t, x(\cdot), u(\cdot)) \in [t_0, \infty) \times \text{Ex}L_2,$$

where $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \pi(x(s), u(s)) ds \leq \lambda < \infty$ and $N - \delta \geq 0$, then system(9) is null controllable.

Proof

By (iii) – the linear base control system (system(5)), there exists an inverse of the controllability grammian say $W^{-1}(t_1, t_0)$ for each time $t_1 > t_0$. Suppose that the pair of functions x and u form a solution pair to the set of integral equations:

$$u(t) = - \left[\int_{-h}^0 T(t_1 - s) d_{\theta} H_{t_1}(l - \theta, \theta) \right]^T W^{-1}(t_1, t_0) \left[T(t_1)(x_0 - g(x)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} T(t_1 - s) f(s, x(s)) ds + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H_{\theta}} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t_0} T(t_1 - s) H(l - \theta, \theta) u_{t_0}(l) dl \right) \right]$$

Substituting equation (22) and (23) into the above, we have

$$u(t) = \frac{-Y^T(t_1)}{\int_{t_0}^{t_1} Y(t_1) Y^T(t_1)} \left[T(t_1)(x_0 - g(x)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} T(t_1 - s) f(s, x(s)) ds + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H_{\theta}} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t_0} T(t_1 - s) H(l - \theta, \theta) u_{t_0}(l) dl \right) \right] \tag{24}$$

$$x(t) = T(t)[x_0 - g(x)] + \int_{t_0}^t T(t - s) f(s, x(s)) ds + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H_{\theta}} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t_0} T(t - s) H(l - \theta, \theta) u_{t_0}(l) dl \right) + \int_{t_0}^t \left(\int_{-h}^0 T(t - s) d_{\theta} H_t(l - \theta, \theta) \right) u(l) dl \tag{25}$$

$$x(t) = \phi(t), t \in [t_0 - \lambda, t_0].$$

Then u is square integrable on $[t_0, t_1]$ and x is a solution of system(9) corresponding to u with the initial state $x(t_0) = \phi$.

Also, using u as expressed in equation (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(t_1) = & T(t_1)[x_0 - g(x)] + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} T(t_1 - s)f(s, x(s))ds \\ & + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H\theta} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t_0} T(t_1 - s) H(l - \theta, \theta)u_{t_0}(l)dl \right) \\ & + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left(\int_{-h}^0 T(t_1 - s) d_{\theta}H_{t_1}(l - \theta, \theta) \right) \frac{-Y^T(t_1)}{\int_{t_0}^{t_1} Y(t_1) Y^T(t_1)} \left[T(t_1)(x_0 - g(x)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} T(t_1 - s)f(s, x(s))ds \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H\theta} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t_0} T(t_1 - s) H(l - \theta, \theta)u_{t_0}(l)dl \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

But $Y(t_1) = \int_{-h}^0 T(t_1 - s) d_{\theta}H_{t_1}(l - \theta, \theta)$, therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(t_1) = & T(t_1)[x_0 - g(x)] + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} T(t_1 - s)f(s, x(s))ds \\ & + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H\theta} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t_0} T(t_1 - s) H(l - \theta, \theta)u_{t_0}(l)dl \right) \\ & + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (Y(t_1)) \left(\frac{-Y^T(t_1)}{\int_{t_0}^{t_1} Y(t_1) Y^T(t_1)} \right) \left[T(t_1)(x_0 - g(x)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} T(t_1 - s)f(s, x(s))ds \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H\theta} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t_0} T(t_1 - s) H(l - \theta, \theta)u_{t_0}(l)dl \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow x(t_1) = & T(t_1)[x_0 - g(x)] + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} T(t_1 - s)f(s, x(s))ds \\ & + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H\theta} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t_0} T(t_1 - s) H(l - \theta, \theta)u_{t_0}(l)dl \right) \\ & - \left(\frac{\int_{t_0}^{t_1} Y(t_1) Y^T(t_1)}{\int_{t_0}^{t_1} Y(t_1) Y^T(t_1)} \right) \left[T(t_1)(x_0 - g(x)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} T(t_1 - s)f(s, x(s))ds \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H\theta} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t_0} T(t_1 - s) H(l - \theta, \theta)u_{t_0}(l)dl \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$\Rightarrow x(t_1) = T(t_1)[x_0 - g(x)] + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} T(t_1 - s)f(s, x(s))ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H\theta} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t_0} T(t_1 - s) H(l - \theta, \theta) u_{t_0}(l) dl \right) \\
 - \mathbf{1} & \left[T(t_1)(x_0 - g(x)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} T(t_1 - s) f(s, x(s)) ds \right. \\
 & \left. + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H\theta} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t_0} T(t_1 - s) H(l - \theta, \theta) u_{t_0}(l) dl \right) \right] \tag{29}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow x(t_1) & = T(t_1)[x_0 - g(x)] + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} T(t_1 - s) f(s, x(s)) ds \\
 & + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H\theta} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t_0} T(t_1 - s) H(l - \theta, \theta) u_{t_0}(l) dl \right) \\
 & - [T(t_1)(x_0 - g(x))] - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} T(t_1 - s) f(s, x(s)) ds \\
 & - \int_{-h}^0 d_{H\theta} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t_0} T(t_1 - s) H(l - \theta, \theta) u_{t_0}(l) dl \right) = 0 .
 \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that the function $u: [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow U$ is an admissible control. That is, we need to show that $u: [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow U$ is in the arbitrary compact constraint subset of R^m . That is $|u| \leq \delta_1$, for some constant $\delta_1 > 0$. By (ii) of theorem 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \left[\int_{-h}^0 T(t_1 - s) d_{\theta} H_{t_1}(l - \theta, \theta) \right]^T W^{-1}(t_1, t_0) \right| < \lambda_1 \\
 \text{i.e. ; } & \left| \frac{Y^T(t_1)}{\int_{t_0}^{t_1} Y(t_1) Y^T(t_1)} \right| < \lambda_1, \quad \text{for some } \lambda_1 > 0 \text{ and}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$|T(t_1)[x_0 - g(x)]| \leq \lambda_2 \exp(-\delta(t_1 - t_0)) \quad , \text{ for some constant } \lambda_2 > 0$$

Hence,

$$|u(t)| \leq \lambda_1 [\lambda_2 \exp(-\delta(t_1 - t_0))] \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \lambda_3 \exp[-\delta(t_1 - s) \exp(-Ns) \pi(x(\cdot), u(\cdot))] ds$$

Thus,

$$|u(t)| \leq \lambda_1 [\lambda_2 \exp(-\delta(t_1 - t_0))] + \lambda \lambda_3 \exp(-\delta t_1) \tag{30}$$

since $N - \delta \geq 0$ and $s \geq t_0 \geq 0$.

Hence, by taking t sufficiently large, we have

$|u(t)| \leq \delta_1$, $t \in [t_0, t_1]$, showing that u is an admissible control.

Finally, we now prove the existence of a solution pair of the integral equations(24)and(25).

Let E be the Banach space of all functions $(x, u): [t_0 - h, t_1] \times [t_0 - h, t_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$,

where $x \in E([t_0 - h, t_1], \mathbb{R}^n)$; $u \in L_2([t_0 - h, t_1], \mathbb{R}^m)$ with the norm defined by

$$\|(x, u)\| = \|x\|_2 + \|u\|_2$$

$$\text{where, } \|x\|_2 = \sqrt{\int_{t_0-h}^{t_1} |x(s)|^2 ds}; \quad , \|u\|_2 = \sqrt{\int_{t_0-h}^{t_1} |u(s)|^2 ds}$$

We define the operator T by $T: E \rightarrow E$ by $T(x, u) = (y, v)$, where

$$v(t_1) = \frac{-Y^T(t_1)}{\int_{t_0}^{t_1} Y(t_1) Y^T(t_1)} \left[T(t_1)(x_0 - g(x)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} T(t_1 - s)f(s, x(s))ds + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H_\theta} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t_0} T(t_1 - s) H(l - \theta, \theta) u_{t_0}(l) dl \right) \right] \quad (24)$$

And $v(t) = \omega(t)$, for some $t \in [t_0 - \lambda, t_0]$

$$y(t_1) = T(t_1)[x_0 - g(x)] + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} T(t_1 - s)f(s, x(s))ds + \int_{-h}^0 d_{H_\theta} \left(\int_{t_0+\theta}^{t_0} T(t_1 - s) H(l - \theta, \theta) u_{t_0}(l) dl \right) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left(\int_{-h}^0 T(t_1 - s) d_\theta H_{t_1}(l - \theta, \theta) \right) u(l) dl \quad (25)$$

$y(t) = \phi(t), t \in [t_0 - \lambda, t_0]$.

We have already shown that $|u(t)| \leq \delta_1, t \in J = [t_0, t_1]$ and also for the function

$$v: [t_0 - h, t_0] \rightarrow U,$$

we have $|v(t)| \leq \delta_1$. Hence, $\|x\|_2 \leq \delta_1(t_0 + h - t_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} = N_0$.

Again, $|y(t)| \leq \lambda_2 \exp[-\delta(t_1 - t_0)] + \lambda_4 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} |v(s)| ds + \lambda \lambda_3 \exp(-\delta t_1)$, where

$$\lambda_4 = \sup \left| \int_{-h}^0 T(t_1 - s) d_\theta H_{t_1}(l - \theta, \theta) \right|.$$

Since $\delta > 0, t_1 \geq t_0 \geq 0$, we deduce that

$$|y(t)| \leq \lambda_2 + \lambda_4 \delta(t_1 - t_0) + \lambda \lambda_3 = N_1, t \in [t_0, t_1].$$

and , $|y(t)| \leq \sup|\phi| = \beta, t \in [t_0 - r, t_0]$.

Hence, if $M = \max[N_1, \beta]$, then , $\|y\|_2 \leq M(t_0 + h - t_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} = N_2 < \infty$.

Let $\rho = \max[N_0, N_2]$.

Then , if $G(\rho) = \{(x, u) \in E : \|x\|_2 \leq \rho, \|u\|_2 \leq \rho\}$, we have thus shown that the operator T maps G into its self. i.e, $T : G(\rho) \rightarrow G(\rho)$.

Since $G(\rho)$ is closed, bounded and convex, by **Riesz theorem** as contained in **Kantorovica** , **L. V and G. P. Akilov (1982), p297**, and **Oraekie(2017) . Onwuatu (1993)** it is relatively compact under the transformation of T . Hence, the Schauders' fixed point theorem implies that T has a fixed point. Thus, the system(9) is null controllable.

4 . CONCLUSION

The Set Functions upon which our studies hinged are also extracted from the mild solution which we cultivated. Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for the null controllability of the Semi linear differential systems with distributed delays in the control have been derived.

These conditions are given with respect to the controllability of the linear controlled base system of system(9) and the uniformly asymptotic stability of the uncontrolled linear system of the system(9), assuming that the perturbation f satisfies some smoothness and growth conditions. These results extended known results in the literature.

5. REFERENCES

- 1 Balachandran, K , Anandhi E.R (2004), Controllability of neutral functional Integrodifferential infinite delay systems in Banach spaces, Taiwan Journal of mathematics, 8 pp689-702
- 2 Chang Y.K, Nieto J.J and W.S.Li (2009); Controllability of Semilinear differential systems with nonlocal initial conditions in Banach spaces , Journal of Optimization Theory and Application ,42, pp267-273.
- 3 Oraekie, P.A (2017); Null Controllability of Nonlinear Infinite space of Neutral Differential Systems with Distributed Delays in the Control, Journal of Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics, vol.41, pp11-20.
- 4 Balachandran K. and KIM, J.H (2003) ; Remark on the paper, ' Controllability of Second Order Differential Inclusion in Banach spaces'' Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications , 285, pp537-550.

- 5 Xue,X (2008);Nonlocal Nonlinear Differential Equations with Measure of Noncompactness in Banach spaces,Nonlinear Analysis-doi:10.1016/j-na.2008.03.046
- 6 H.Hermes and J.P La Salle (1969);Functional Analysis and Time Optimal Control, Academic Press, NewYork.
- 7 Chukwu,E.N (1980);On the Null Controllability of Nonlinear Systems with Retarded Control, Journal of Mathematics and Applications,76,pp283-396.
- 8 Sinba, A.S.C (1985); Null Controllability of Nonlinear Infinite Delay systems with Restrained Control,International Journal of Control, 42,pp735-741.
- 9 Onwuatu, J.U (1993); Null Controllability of Nonlinear Infinite Neutral Systems,KYBERNETIKA,Vol.29,N04,pp325-336.
- 10 Oraekie, P.A (2018); Euclidean Null Controllability of NonlinearNeutral Systems with Multiple Delays in Control, Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics, Vol.44, pp-----xx-----
- 11 Byszewski, L .(1991);Uniqueness Criterion for Solution to abstract nonlocal Cauchy problem,Journal of Application of Mathematics,Stoch.Anal.162,49-54.
- 12 Fu.X.Ezzinbi (2003);Existence of Solutions for Neutral Functional Differential Evolution Equations with Nonlocal Conditions, Nonlinear Analysis,54,pp215-227.
- 13 Klamka (1980); Controllability of Nonlinear Systems with Distributed Delays in Control, International Journal of Control,Vo31,N0.5,pp811-819.
- 14 Kantorovica,L.V and G.P.Akilov (1982);Functional Analysis .Pergaman Press,Oxford.

Author's Brief Data



Prof. Paul Anaetodike Oraekie is of the Department of Mathematics, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Uli Campus, Anambra State, Nigeria.
Email: drsirpauloraekie@gmail.com