# CULTURAL EROSION IN LATIN AMERICA: ROLE OF GLOBALIZATION

**Alexander Nnaemeka AGBAENYI**, PhD
Department of Political Science,
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria
an.agbaenyi@unizik.edu.ng
&
**Samuel Chudi ORUCHALU**
Department of Political Science
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka
samueloruchalu@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

Globalization, marked by the growing interconnectivity and spread of Western culture, has adversely affected Latin America—a region shaped by indigenous roots, colonization, and cultural diversity. This study examines how globalization contributes to the erosion of cultural heritage, including the decline of indigenous languages, loss of traditional practices, weakening spiritual customs, displacement of crafts, and environmental harm. Using qualitative methods and a Cultural Imperialism framework, the research draws from published data to analyse these shifts. Findings show that dominant global influences have marginalized native languages like Quechua, diluted traditional arts and festivals, and weakened syncretic religions such as Santería. Mass production and urbanization have also displaced rural identities, while environmental damage threatens spiritual ties to the land. The study calls for revitalizing indigenous languages, supporting cultural education, protecting artisans and lands, and promoting sustainable development to help preserve Latin America's cultural identity amid globalization.

**KEYWORDS**: Cultural erosion, Cultural homogenization, Environmental degradation Globalization, Indigenous languages, Latin America.

## INTRODUCTION

Every human race and society has a culture. Culture is a dynamic and evolving system of shared knowledge, beliefs, values, and practices that shape a society's way of life (Oyekola, 2018; Adefarasin and Adefarasin, 2023). It also encompasses both material and non-material elements, transmitted through socialization and adapted to societal changes. However, this dynamic nature of culture also makes it vulnerable to external pressures such as globalization, especially in this age when many artificial boundaries that once existed between nations have been eliminated by technological innovations and advancements. These havecreated a rise in the erosion of cultures across societies, paving the way for the gradual weakening or disappearance of a community's distinct cultural elements, including traditions, language, and values, due to foreign influences, and internal neglect (Zaichkowsky, 2002; Akinnaso in Fakeye, 2014). This is particularly evident in Latin America, where the interplay between globalization and cultural identity has led to homogenization and hybridization of cultural practices (Oyekola, 2018; Kaul, 2012).

Globalization, a process characterized by the increasing interconnectivity of economies, societies, and cultures, has had profound implications for Latin America. Historically, rooted

in European colonial expansion led by Portugal and Spain, globalization in Latin America intensified in the late 20th century, bringing with it economic integration, technological advancements, and cultural exchange (Siddiqui et al., 2019; Theodore, 2014). While globalization has facilitated economic growth and access to global markets, it has also contributed to the erosion of indigenous cultures in Latin America, as seen in other developing countries of the world. The spread of Western consumer culture, media, and lifestyles has marginalized traditional practices, languages, and values, leading to what scholars describe as cultural imperialism (Fiveable, 2025; Armony& Lamy, 1999). For instance, the dominance of Hollywood films, fast food chains, trans-national corporations and global media platforms of Western origin across the globe has encouraged the adoption of Western norms at the expense of local traditions in Latin America, particularly among younger generations (Siddiqui et al., 2019). This cultural homogenization threatens the rich diversity of Latin America's indigenous and mestizo cultures, raising concerns about the loss of cultural authenticity and identity. It is against this backdrop that this study seeks to examine the role of globalisation in cultural erosion in Latin America.

This is because, the phenomenon of cultural erosion in Latin America, driven by the forces of globalization, represents a multifaceted research problem rooted in historical, economic, and sociocultural dynamics. From the early colonial expansions of the 15th century to the "ultramodernist globalization" of the 1980s, globalization has steadily transformed indigenous cultural landscapes (Siddiqui et al., 2019; Theodore, 2014). Economic shifts, including deindustrialization and rising transnational capitalism, have not only altered local economies but have also destabilized traditional social structures and cultural expressions (Robinson, 1999; Gang, 2019). Simultaneously, Western consumer culture, media, and technological advancements have accelerated cultural homogenization, marginalizing local identities and traditional practices (Siddiqui et al., 2019; Antón, 1998; Fiveable, 2025).

 This research seeks to critically examine how globalization has contributed to cultural erosion in Latin America. It also explores the resilience strategies employed by local communities to preserve cultural authenticity in an increasingly interconnected world.

## BACKGROUND HISTORY OF LATIN AMERICA

The historical background of Latin America is deeply intertwined with the influence of European colonization, indigenous civilizations, and cultural syncretism. The region, known as Latin America, is generally understood to include the countries of South America, Central America, Mexico, and some Caribbean islands where Spanish, Portuguese, and occasionally French are spoken. This cultural identity emerged largely due to the colonial expansion of European powers like Spain and Portugal. Before European colonization, the region was home to several advanced indigenous civilizations, including the Aztec Empire in present-day Mexico, the Maya civilization across parts of Central America, and the Inca Empire in the Andean region. These civilizations had developed complex social, political, and economic structures long before the arrival of European colonizers (Bethell, 1993). The Spanish and Portuguese conquests, starting in the late 15th and early 16th centuries, marked the beginning of significant cultural, religious, and demographic changes.

The term "Latin America" itself emerged in the mid-19th century, popularized by Chilean politician Francisco Bilbao and Colombian writer José María Torres-Caicedo in 1856. They coined the term in response to aggressive U.S. foreign policies that threatened Latin American sovereignty, calling for regional unity to resist further interventions. Latin America, as a term,

emphasizes the shared cultural and linguistic heritage stemming from Romance languages derived from Latin (Siddiqui et al., 2019). The Spanish and Portuguese colonists introduced Catholicism, transforming indigenous belief systems and shaping cultural practices throughout the region. The colonial rule also brought economic changes, as native populations were forced into labour systems like *encomienda and Mita,* which contributed to resource extraction and trade with Europe.

Latin America's colonial history was marked by social stratification that privileged European-born Spaniards (peninsular) over locally born Spanish descendants (criollos), indigenous populations, and African slaves. This hierarchical system created deep inequalities that persisted after independence movements swept across the region in the early 19th century. Countries such as Argentina, Mexico, and Chile played prominent roles in achieving independence, while figures like Simón Bolívar and José de San Martín became instrumental in unifying the region's efforts against colonial rule (Skidmore & Smith, 2005). Despite achieving independence, Latin American nations faced ongoing challenges, including economic instability, political unrest, and issues stemming from external influence, particularly from European and North American powers.

Geographically, Latin America is expansive, covering approximately 19.2 million square kilometres, or nearly 13% of the Earth's land surface (Siddiqui et al., 2019). Located in the Western Hemisphere, south of the United States, and lies between the Atlantic Ocean and the Pacific Ocean. Latin America currently includes 20 sovereign states and several territories – Argentina, Belize, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, El Salvador, Falkland Islands, French Guiana, Guatemala, Guyana, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Suriname, Uruguay, and Venezuela (Siddiqui et al., 2019). This vast territory includes diverse landscapes such as the Amazon Rainforest, the Andes Mountains, the Pampas grasslands, and the tropical islands of the Caribbean. The region's rich natural resources have historically attracted foreign interest and investment, contributing to its economic significance. Additionally, Latin America's demographic diversity stems from indigenous peoples, European settlers, African slaves, and immigrants from Asia and the Middle East (Siddiqui et al., 2019). This cultural fusion has resulted in a vibrant mix of traditions, languages, and religious practices. According to the World Bank, (2024), the region had a population of over 618,806,527, making it one of the most dynamic cultural landscapes in the world.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

**Concept of Culture:** The concept of culture has been explored extensively by various scholars from different academic backgrounds, each providing unique insights into its meaning, structure, and function in society. The study of culture is rooted in disciplines such as anthropology, sociology, political science, and cultural studies. Early anthropologists like Edward Tylor defined culture as a "complex whole" encompassing knowledge, beliefs, art, law, customs, and other societal norms. This foundational understanding evolved with contemporary scholars. Oyekola (2018) emphasizes culture as a dynamic and heterogeneous system that encompasses various elements, including perceptions, values, language, and behaviour. His approach is rooted in sociology, highlighting the role of socialization in transmitting cultural norms across generations. He underscores culture's dynamic nature, where material aspects like tangible artefacts and non-material elements like beliefs and customs interplay to shape societal progress. Oyekola's perspective aligns with globalization studies, particularly in illustrating how cultural adaptation and hybridization emerge from interactions across cultural boundaries. His emphasis on the social and adaptive nature of culture reveals

its evolving capacity to respond to environmental and societal changes.

Adopting a sociological and anthropological approach, Adefarasin and Adefarasin (2023, define culture as "the totality of the way of life evolved by people." Their emphasis on culture as a learned and transmitted system highlights its dynamic nature. According to them, culture is not inherited biologically but is acquired through socialization and human interaction. They stress the role of cultural exchange in generating new forms of cultural expression, often referred to as cultural change. This view aligns with Oyekola's argument on the fluidity and adaptability of culture. The scholars place particular focus on the social dimensions of culture, emphasizing shared norms, values, languages, and symbols as core components. By viewing culture as "the complex whole," they emphasize its encompassing influence on various aspects of societal life.

Ministry of Foreign Affairs (1988) offers a more policy-driven perspective, focusing on the practical implications of culture in governance, economics, and societal development. The policy defines culture as the " total of a people's way of life," distinguishing between material and non-material components. Material culture includes technology, clothing, and infrastructure, while non-material culture comprises values, beliefs, and institutions. This definition highlights the functional role of culture in sustaining social order and economic stability. The Nigerian Cultural Policy's emphasis on cultural manifestations, such as creative expressions, social institutions, and philosophical ideologies, underscores the breadth of cultural influence across multiple domains of life.

The UNESCO definition broadens the cultural framework by emphasizing both the tangible and intangible aspects that characterize a society. Their definition integrates spiritual, intellectual, and emotional dimensions, expanding beyond the conventional scope of material and social elements. UNESCO's focus on "modes of life," "value systems," and "fundamental rights" demonstrates how culture encompasses deeper moral and ethical dimensions that shape collective identity. This comprehensive perspective aligns with global cultural discourse, reinforcing the interconnectedness of culture with human rights, social cohesion, and identity politics.

Ukpokolo's (2018) perspective further enriches the discourse by examining culture through the lens of symbolic systems, social heritage, and individual practices. He emphasizes the role of cultural symbols, including language, art, music, and social practices, in shaping societal norms and values. By characterizing culture as "the way of life of a people," Ukpokolo underscores the symbolic and ideological dimensions of cultural identity. His exploration of cultural markers such as tattoos, folk stories, and ceremonies highlights the embodied and performative nature of cultural practices, reinforcing the complex interplay between tangible and intangible elements.

While the above perceptions of culture offer distinct perspectives, they converge on key themes such as the dynamic, learned, and adaptive nature of culture. Each scholar underscores culture's ability to evolve in response to social and environmental changes. Furthermore, they all highlight the role of socialization and human interaction in transmitting cultural knowledge, reinforcing the idea that culture is not static but constantly redefined by its practitioners. Based on these insights, culture can be defined as the dynamic and evolving system of shared knowledge, beliefs, values, symbols, and practices that shape a society's way of life. It is learned, transmitted through socialization, and adaptive to societal changes. Culture encompasses both material and non-material elements, serving as a framework through which

individuals and groups understand their environment and engage with one another. This comprehensive definition acknowledges culture's complexity, its role in shaping identity, and its capacity to adapt to new social realities.

## Concept of Cultural Erosion

The concept of "Cultural Erosion" has been extensively examined by scholars, who have understood and characterized it within the broader discourse of globalization, cultural change, and socioeconomic transformation. The scholarly expression reflects an evolving understanding of how cultures undergo gradual or abrupt loss of identity, traditions, and values. To explore the concept thoroughly, it is important to first assess the academic background of the scholars defining this term. Scholars such as Zaichkowsky (2002), Akinnaso in Fakeye (2014), Masoga and Kaya (2008), and Ghosh (2024) have offered valuable insights, each emphasizing distinct but interconnected dimensions of cultural erosion. Their definitions, while originating from varied academic disciplines, converge on key themes such as globalization, foreign influence, and social transformation as primary drivers of this cultural decline. Zaichkowsky (2002) introduces the concept of cultural erosion in the retail environment, emphasizing how the loss of contextual and compositional elements leads to diminished significance in consumer experiences. This retail-focused definition underscores that cultural erosion manifests in commercial spaces where global trends standardize consumer behaviour. Zaichkowskystresses the impact of foreign retail chains that often overshadow local businesses, compelling countries to adopt new retail models that diverge from traditional practices. While rooted in consumer behaviour studies, Zaichkowsky's analysis identifies globalization's role in diluting local cultural elements, emphasizing the economic and aesthetic consequences of cultural erosion. This perspective reveals how consumer habits and generational shifts exacerbate cultural loss, especially when younger populations favour global trends over local traditions.

Providing a broader socio-cultural perspective, Akinnaso, as cited in Fakeye (2014), defines cultural erosion as the systematic devaluation or deletion of cultural aspects due to external forces such as religion, colonization, and globalization. This interpretation positions cultural erosion within the historical context of imperialism and social change, demonstrating how foreign ideologies and practices can erase indigenous knowledge systems, languages, and worldviews. Akinnasoaccentuates the psychological and identity-related consequences of cultural erosion, emphasizing that it undermines a people's sense of history and self-perception. By linking cultural erosion to memory loss and the displacement of traditional values, Akinnaso's view emphasizes the profound societal consequences this phenomenon brings.

Similarly, Masoga and Kaya (2008) emphasize globalization's impact on cultural heritage, specifically in the African context. They argue that Africa's rich and diverse cultural heritage is increasingly at risk of disappearing under the dominance of Western ideologies and economic pressures. This interpretation echoes the concerns of postcolonial theorists, who view globalization as a tool for cultural homogenization. Masoga and Kaya's emphasis on Africa's endangered cultural resources heightens the vulnerability of marginalized cultures, especially in regions facing socio-economic instability and historical exploitation. Their focus on African identity underscores the power dynamics inherent in cultural erosion, demonstrating that Western influences disproportionately threaten the cultural foundations of economically weaker nations.

Further, Ghosh (2024) conceptualizes cultural erosion as a gradual yet inevitable process of cultural loss, driven not only by external pressures but also by internal neglect. Ghosh emphasizes that cultural erosion is not always abrupt; instead, it unfolds as communities

gradually shift away from traditional practices, beliefs, and values. The description aligns cultural erosion with processes like urbanization, political shifts, and environmental changes. Ghosh's perspective underlines cultural erosion as a multifaceted process in which both external and internal factors converge, suggesting that individuals' passive abandonment of cultural traditions can accelerate cultural decline.

Drawing from these scholarly insights, cultural erosion represents the progressive weakening or disappearance of a community's distinct cultural elements, including traditions, language, values, and social practices, resulting from a combination of globalization, foreign influence, and internal neglect. This definition emphasizes that cultural erosion is neither abrupt nor uniform; instead, it occurs at different paces depending on societal dynamics and generational attitudes. Cultural erosion is best understood as a process that challenges cultural identity, creating tension between preserving tradition and adapting to modern influences.

## Globalisation

Globalisation is a multifaceted and widely debated concept that has gained prominence in contemporary academic discourse. Its origins and interpretations are deeply rooted in various disciplines, including economics, political science, sociology, and cultural studies. Each discipline brings a unique perspective to the table, making globalisation an inherently interdisciplinary concept. Oyekola (2018) underscores this complexity, noting that globalisation has influenced cultures, economies, and societies in profound ways. While this view remains contested, Oyekola presents globalisation as a process that enables the exchange of views, values, norms, and practices across national boundaries. This process is facilitated by technological advancements, trade, and communication, which have collectively contributed to a more interconnected world.Building on this perspective, Oyekola (2018) also outlines the positive and negative consequences of globalisation. On the positive side, he identifies benefits such as cultural proximity, improved trading practices, and comparative cost advantages. Additionally, globalisation has contributed to reduced prices, enhanced product quality, expanded markets, and increased employment opportunities. Educational improvements and better communication systems are also credited to the forces of globalisation. However, Oyekola equally underscores the downsides, such as unemployment in industrialised countries, environmental degradation, and the underdevelopment of Third World nations. The spread of diseases, rising inequality, and the erosion of cultural authenticity are among the other adverse effects attributed to globalisation. This duality illustrates the dynamic and often contradictory nature of the globalisation process.

In further examining the forces driving globalisation, Ball, McCulloch, Geringer, Minor, and NcNett (2008) trace its origins to several key external factors. These include the rapid advancement of technology, trade liberalisation, the expansion of services, consumer pressure, and intensified global competition. Political changes and cooperative frameworks have also played a significant role. Furthermore, economic considerations such as cost reduction through economies of scale and the necessity for businesses to remain globally competitive have accelerated the globalisation process. These forces reflect a combination of structural, economic, and technological factors that have shaped the world into an increasingly interconnected system.

Leslie Sklair (2000) offers a sociological perspective by categorising the concept of globalisation into three distinct conceptions: international, transnational, and globalist. The international conception, often referred to as "globalisation denial," views the phenomenon as an extension of internationalisation, where nation-states remain the primary units of analysis.

In this framework, globalisation is seen as a continuation of existing state-centred dynamics rather than a transformative shift. The transnational conception, on the other hand, shifts the focus away from nation-states and toward transnational practices, institutions, corporations, and forces. Here, non-state actors are considered the ones influencing global processes. Lastly, the globalist conception goes a step further by asserting that the traditional role of the state is diminishing, suggesting that globalisation is dissolving national boundaries and reshaping global governance altogether. This points to boundless interaction among all state and non-state actors in a declining state of inter-state boundaries.

Drawing from the above perspectives, it becomes evident that globalisation is a dynamic and evolving concept that defies a singular definition. It is best understood as a process that transcends national boundaries, facilitated by technological advancements, economic integration, and cultural exchange. While some scholars emphasise the enduring role of the state in managing global interactions, others argue that transnational institutions and networks are becoming increasingly influential. In the authors' view, globalisation represents a multifaceted process that integrates economic, political, and cultural dimensions to create a more interconnected world for state and non-state actors' relations. This integration fosters opportunities for collaboration, innovation, and growth, while simultaneously posing challenges related to inequality, identity, and environmental sustainability. Ultimately, understanding globalisation requires a balanced approach that acknowledges both its transformative potential and its unintended consequences.

**Globalisation and Culture**
The connection between globalisation and cultures has been widely explored in academic discourse, with scholars offering diverse interpretations that highlight both the homogenizing and diversifying effects of globalisation. Kaul (2012) offers a significant contribution by situating globalisation within the broader historical context of colonialism and capitalism. He defines globalisation as a multifaceted process that propagates Western consumer culture, threatening traditional customs. Despite this, Kaul recognizes the resilience of local identities. While Western values often dominate, Kaul accentuates the power of cultural dialogue and adaptation, where communities actively resist cultural uniformity. His emphasis on the negotiation between tradition and modernity reveals that cultural identity is dynamic rather than static. Kaul's advocacy for cosmopolitan multicultural solidarity reflects his belief in preserving cultural heritage while promoting inclusive global engagement.In alignment with Kaul's ideas, Oyekola (2018) expands the discourse by categorizing globalisation's cultural impacts into three primary dimensions: homogenization, heterogenization, and hybridization. According to Oyekola, cultural homogenization promotes uniformity, driven by Western influence and commercial trends such as McDonaldization. Conversely, heterogenization emphasizes the endurance of cultural distinctions, despite global interactions. This concept reflects the persistence of regional customs and cultural resilience. Oyekola introduces the notion of hybridization, a process in which global and local cultural elements merge, producing new and dynamic cultural forms. His approach highlights the fluid and adaptive nature of culture, illustrating how globalization simultaneously fosters convergence and divergence.

Building on these themes, Taptiani et al. (2024) explore the multifaceted effects of globalization on cultural traditions, offering insights into both positive and negative outcomes. On one hand, they argue that globalization enhances cultural exchange, facilitates tourism, and revitalizes traditional practices. However, Taptiani et al. also emphasize globalization's threats, including the erosion of indigenous languages and the commercialization of cultural heritage. They trace globalization's historical roots to trade networks and technological advancements,

which accelerated the spread of cultural norms. Crucially, Taptiani et al. stress the role of youth in preserving cultural identities, arguing that educational programs and legal frameworks are essential tools in safeguarding heritage. Their focus on cultural resilience reinforces Kaul's notion that local traditions can thrive despite global pressures.

Adefarasin and Adefarasin (2023) further contribute to this discourse by analysing globalization's contested definitions and its specific impact on African culture. The authors critique the ambiguity surrounding globalization's meaning, noting its association with Westernization, liberalization, and deterritorialization. They underscore globalization's capacity to promote interconnectedness and economic progress but caution against its neocolonial tendencies, which risk marginalizing African traditions. Adefarasin and Adefarasin argue that the uncritical adoption of Western norms erodes cultural authenticity. They advocate for leveraging globalization's benefits while preserving indigenous values, citing successful examples from China and Japan as models for integrating global trends with cultural heritage. Their call for culturally conscious engagement underscores the need for Africa to develop sustainably within its unique cultural frameworks.

Again, Daramola and Oyinade (2015) adopt a similarly balanced perspective, framing globalization as both empowering and coercive. They emphasize the dualistic nature of globalization's influence on Africa. While proponents credit globalization for economic integration, improved access to goods, and societal advancement, critics warn of cultural imperialism. The influx of Western media, they argue, has destabilized traditional African values and fostered social fragmentation. Daramola and Oyinade acknowledge globalization's capacity to stimulate positive change while simultaneously challenging scholars to critically assess its impact on cultural identity and tradition.

A common theme across these perspectives is the recognition that globalization is neither wholly destructive nor universally beneficial. While Kaul (2012) highlights the commercial pressures threatening cultural distinctiveness, Oyekola (2018) emphasizes the blending of cultures through hybridization. Similarly, Taptiani et al. (2024) stress that globalization fosters both cultural revitalization and erosion, while Adefarasin and Adefarasin (2023) warn against adopting Western ideals at the cost of indigenous authenticity. Daramola and Oyinade (2015) reinforce this nuance perspective, underscoring globalization's dual potential to empower and undermine cultural identity.

The interplay between globalization and cultural identity underscores the complex nature of cultural adaptation. While globalization has contributed to the diffusion of Western media, consumer trends, and social norms, scholars consistently underline the resilience of local identities. Cultural hybridization, as outlined by Oyekola (2018), serves as a compelling framework to understand how communities creatively merge global and local elements. Furthermore, the emphasis on educational initiatives and youth involvement, as proposed by Taptiani et al. (2024), reinforces the idea that cultural preservation requires proactive engagement.

## THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study is anchored on Cultural Imperialism Theory. Cultural Imperialism Theory, propounded by Herbert Schiller in 1976, is a critical framework that examines how dominant cultures, particularly Western cultures, impose their values, ideologies, and practices on less powerful societies, often leading to the erosion of local cultures. Schiller, a prominent media

and communication scholar, argued that cultural imperialism is a byproduct of global capitalism, where powerful nations, especially the United States, use media and cultural products to perpetuate their economic and political dominance. This theory gained traction during the Cold War era, as the U.S. expanded its cultural influence through Hollywood films, television programs, and news networks. Schiller's work was further developed by scholars such as John Tomlinson, who explored the nuances of cultural globalization, and Edward Said, whose concept of "Orientalism" complemented the critique of Western cultural dominance (Schiller, 1976; Tomlinson, 1991; Said, 1978).

The basic tenet of Cultural Imperialism Theory is that media and cultural products from dominant nations serve as tools for maintaining hegemony over weaker nations. Schiller argued that this process leads to the homogenization of global culture, where local traditions, languages, and identities are overshadowed by Western norms and values. The theory emphasizes the role of transnational corporations and global media conglomerates in disseminating these cultural products, often at the expense of indigenous cultures. For instance, the proliferation of American fast food, fashion, and entertainment in Latin America has been cited as evidence of cultural imperialism. Critics of the theory, however, argue that it oversimplifies the dynamics of cultural exchange, neglecting the agency of local populations in adapting, resisting, or reinterpreting foreign cultural influences. Some scholars, like Arjun Appadurai, have proposed alternative frameworks, such as the concept of "cultural flows," which highlight the complex and multidirectional nature of globalization (Appadurai, 1996; Sparks, 2007).

Applying Cultural Imperialism Theory to the study "Cultural Erosion in Latin America: Globalisation Perspective" reveals how globalization has facilitated the spread of Western cultural products, leading to the marginalization of Latin American traditions. For example, the dominance of Hollywood films and American television shows in Latin America has reduced the visibility of local media, while the popularity of fast-food chains has altered dietary habits and culinary traditions. However, the theory also faces criticism in this context, as it may underestimate the resilience and adaptability of Latin American cultures. Many communities have embraced globalization selectively, blending foreign influences with local practices to create hybrid cultural forms. This dynamic suggests that while cultural imperialism poses a significant threat to cultural diversity, it is not an entirely one-sided process. The study could benefit from incorporating perspectives that acknowledge both the pressures of cultural homogenization and the creative responses of local populations (Miller, 2007; Martín-Barbero, 1993).

## METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative research approach to explore the phenomenon of cultural erosion in Latin America, focusing on the role of globalization. The documentary method of data collection is utilized, which involves gathering and analysing a wide range of secondary data, including academic publications such as books and journal articles, government and institutional reports, conference papers, and internet materials. These sources provide rich, contextual insights into the ways globalization has influenced Latin American cultural practices, values, and identities over time. Content analysis is applied as the primary analytical tool, enabling the systematic examination of textual materials to identify recurring themes, patterns, and shifts in cultural expression. This methodological approach ensures a comprehensive and in-depth exploration of the complex interplay between globalization and cultural dynamics in Latin America.

**GLOBALISATION AND CULTURAL EROSION IN LATIN AMERICA**

Academic discourse on the relationship between globalisation and indigenous cultures in Latin America abound.Siddiqui et al. (2019) tracing the historical origin of globalisation in Latin America, affirm that the increasing interconnectedness and integration of global economies, has had significant cultural implications for Latin America. They stress that this process began as early as the 15th century with European colonial expansion, laying the groundwork for cultural exchanges and disruptions. The "ultra-modernist globalization" that emerged in the 1980s intensified these influences, profoundly altering social structures, economic models, and cultural identities. Theodore (2014) expands this historical view, noting that globalization in Latin America gained momentum in the 1970s, facing initial resistance from conservative societies that feared the erosion of national identity. Thus, globalization's role in Latin America has deep historical roots that shape contemporary cultural challenges.

The economic impact of globalization has been notable in Latin America, resulting in both growth and instability. Robinson (1999) argues that globalization has driven Latin America into a transnational phase of capitalism, transforming economic systems and reshaping cultural landscapes. He highlights how globalization-induced economic changes have undermined traditional social structures, resulting in what he describes as "polyarchy," where political power is dominated by elite groups. Gang (2019) similarly emphasizes globalization's economic effects, particularly Latin America's struggles with deindustrialization. He observes that despite economic integration efforts, countries like Argentina and Chile have seen shrinking manufacturing sectors, intensifying reliance on primary exports. This economic dependency has contributed to socio-political instability, further destabilizing traditional cultural                                                                                                         frameworks.

Siddiqui et al. (2019) and Fiveable (2025) both highlight the role of media and consumer culture in accelerating cultural erosion. Siddiqui et al. identify Western consumer patterns, fast food chains, and lifestyle changes as key factors contributing to the dilution of indigenous cultural practices in Latin America. Fiveable (2025) emphasizes that cultural imperialism, particularly through Hollywood films, television, and internet platforms, has marginalized local art, languages, and traditions. This imposition of global cultural standards has encouraged the adoption of Western values at the expense of traditional cultural expressions.

Armony and Lamy (1999) provide a comprehensive analysis of how global media has reshaped cultural consciousness in Latin America. They argue that television and mass media have penetrated Indigenous communities, altering social norms and transforming traditional perceptions of space, time, and social roles in the region. Their study of the Yucatec Maya and Brazilian Amazon illustrates how cultural frameworks have shifted as television consumption encouraged conformity with global cultural trends. Moreover, they highlight that globalization encourages a more rigid, quantifiable experience of time, replacing traditional social structures with consumer-driven habits of the people.

Despite globalization's homogenizing effects, Fiveable (2025) and Armony and Lamy (1999) note that resistance movements have emerged to preserve indigenous identities and promote cultural authenticity. Fiveable (2025) discusses how marginalized groups in Latin America have actively resisted cultural imperialism by revitalizing indigenous languages, celebrating local traditions, and rejecting foreign cultural dominance. Armony and Lamy further argue that

cultural resilience is evident in how traditional belief systems continue to influence interpersonal and workplace relationships, even in urbanized, globalized settings. These localized forms of resistance demonstrate how cultural identities in Latin America persist despite globalization's pervasive influence.

Robinson (1999) and Gang (2019) emphasize the political ramifications of globalization, especially its role in widening economic inequality and social unrest. Robinson (1999) critiques the dominance of transnational elites in Latin America's political sphere, which restricts the political agency of marginalized groups. Gang (2019) reinforces this point, linking Latin America's reliance on raw material exports to a weakened capacity for social investment and welfare development. Both scholars highlight how globalization's economic and political effects have exacerbated inequality, destabilising social structures and further contributing to cultural erosion.

Antón (1998) explores the role of technological advancements in driving globalization's cultural impacts. He emphasizes that innovations in communication, transportation, and information processing have accelerated the spread of global cultural influences in Latin America. While these technological changes have enhanced access to global knowledge and empowered marginalized voices, they have also homogenized cultural practices. Antón highlights the increasing adoption of European traditions, languages, and belief systems as evidence of globalization's cultural impact. This technological wave has reinforced Western dominance, pushing Latin America's indigenous and traditional cultures further to the margins.

The collective scholarly insights from these scholars demonstrate that globalization has played a pivotal role in reshaping Latin America's cultural landscape. While some scholars, such as Theodore (2014), view globalization as a catalyst for economic growth and integration, others, including Siddiqui et al. (2019) and Robinson (1999), highlight its destabilizing effects on cultural identities. The erosion of indigenous languages, art forms, and traditional practices remains a central concern, yet the persistence of localized cultural resistance offers hope for maintaining cultural diversity in the face of global homogenization. These perspectives collectively highlight the complex relationship between globalization, economic change, and cultural identity in Latin America.

### a. Loss of Indigenous Languages and Knowledge in Latin America

The loss of indigenous languages and knowledge in Latin America is a profound consequence of globalization and the dominance of European cultural traditions. The spread of global mainstream culture, rooted in Spanish, Portuguese, and English, has marginalized native languages and local traditions, leading to their gradual erosion. Antón (1998) highlights how indigenous languages like Quechua and Guarani are increasingly overshadowed by Spanish, which is perceived as more economically advantageous in a globalized world. For instance, in Mexico, indigenous languages such as Nahuatl and Maya are being replaced by Spanish, particularly among younger generations who view fluency in dominant languages as essential for social mobility and economic opportunities. Similarly, in Peru, the number of Quechua speakers is declining as Spanish becomes the primary language for education and commerce. This linguistic shift not only threatens the survival of indigenous languages but also undermines the transmission of traditional knowledge systems tied to these languages, such as ecological practices, medicinal knowledge, and oral histories (Antón, 1998).

The dominance of global languages like English, coupled with the spread of Western cultural norms, has further exacerbated the decline of indigenous languages and practices. Brodowicz

(2024) argues that the rise of global media and entertainment often prioritizes Spanish or English over indigenous languages, leading to their diminished use and transmission to younger generations. For example, the proliferation of Hollywood movies, American music, and digital platforms has created a cultural environment where indigenous languages are seen as outdated or irrelevant. This trend is particularly evident in urban areas, where younger generations are more likely to consume globalized media and adopt dominant languages. The loss of indigenous languages not only diminishes cultural diversity but also weakens the connection between communities and their heritage, as language is a key carrier of cultural identity and collective memory (Brodowicz, 2024).

The promotion of technically oriented education systems over humanistic ones has further contributed to the decline of indigenous knowledge systems. Antón (1998) notes that the shift toward education systems aligned with global economic demands often sidelines traditional knowledge and cultural education. For example, in many Latin American countries, curricula prioritize STEM subjects and foreign languages over indigenous history, literature, and cultural practices. This educational shift reflects broader societal values that prioritize economic productivity over cultural preservation. As a result, younger generations are increasingly disconnected from their cultural roots, leading to the erosion of traditional knowledge systems that have sustained indigenous communities for centuries (Antón, 1998).

Despite these challenges, there are efforts to revitalize indigenous languages and knowledge systems. For instance, in Bolivia, the government has implemented policies to promote the use of indigenous languages like Quechua and Aymara in education and public administration. Similarly, in Mexico, grassroots organizations are working to preserve and promote indigenous languages through community-based education programs and cultural festivals. These initiatives highlight the resilience of indigenous communities and their commitment to preserving their linguistic and cultural heritage in the face of globalization (Brodowicz, 2024; Mahmood, 2025).

### b. Homogenization of Latin American Culture and Western Culture

The rise of a global mainstream culture, amplified by telecommunications, the internet, and media, has led to cultural uniformity in Latin America, often at the expense of local customs, values, and artistic expressions. Antón (1998) argues that the development of a "much larger, global and virtual mainstream culture" has marginalized traditional Latin American music, art, and festivals. For example, traditional music genres like salsa, cumbia, and tango are increasingly overshadowed by global pop and hip-hop, while local festivals like Día de los Muertos (Day of the Dead) are often replaced or diminished by the celebration of Halloween and Valentine's Day. This cultural homogenization reflects the pervasive influence of globalized norms, which prioritize Western cultural products over local traditions (Antón, 1998).

The spread of U.S. cultural products, such as fast food, Hollywood movies, and consumer goods, has further contributed to the homogenization of Latin American culture. Brodowicz (2024) highlights how the global dominance of U.S. fast food chains like McDonald's and Starbucks has led to a decline in the consumption of traditional foods, eroding local culinary practices. Similarly, the ubiquity of Hollywood movies and American music has shifted entertainment preferences, often at the expense of local artistic expressions. This process, often referred to as "Americanization," has sparked nationalist resistance in Latin America, as seen in the backlash against U.S. cultural dominance. For instance, in Mexico, there has been a renewed interest in traditional cuisine and artisanal products as a form of cultural resistance to

globalization (Brodowicz, 2024).

While cultural hybridization can lead to new and dynamic cultural forms, it often involves the loss of traditional elements. Brodowicz (2024) notes that globalization has facilitated the revitalization of some local traditions, such as Afro-Peruvian and Andean music, which have gained international audiences. However, this hybridization often comes at the cost of traditional practices, as seen in the case of Mexican Santos sculptures, which are increasingly replaced by cheap, mass-produced items. This shift not only undermines the cultural significance of these artefacts but also threatens the livelihoods of local artisans, who struggle to compete with global markets (Brodowicz, 2024).

The homogenization of culture also has implications for cultural identity and community cohesion. As globalized norms replace local traditions, communities may experience a loss of cultural distinctiveness and a weakening of social bonds. For example, the rise of consumerism and individualistic lifestyles, driven by global brands like Coca-Cola and Levi's, often comes at the expense of communal practices and values. This shift reflects broader societal changes that prioritize material wealth and global trends over cultural heritage and community ties (Antón, 1998; Brodowicz, 2024).

## c. Weakening of Religious and Spiritual Practices

The Catholic Church, which has historically been a dominant cultural force in Latin America, is losing influence due to the spread of other religions and secular global values. Antón (1998) highlights a "gradual change of religious outlook," including the weakening of the Catholic Church and the rise of other Christian denominations, Afro-Latin American religions, and syncretic practices. For example, in Brazil, the growth of Protestant evangelical churches has eroded the cultural dominance of Catholicism, particularly among younger generations. Similarly, in Guatemala, the influence of globalized secular values has led to a decline in religious participation, particularly among urban populations (Antón, 1998).

Syncretic religions, which blend indigenous, African, and Catholic traditions, are also facing challenges as younger generations adopt more globalized spiritual practices or secularism. Antón (1998) notes that religions like Santería and Candomblé, which have historically served as important cultural and spiritual practices for Afro-Latin American communities, are increasingly marginalized. This shift reflects broader societal changes that prioritize globalized norms over traditional religious identities. For example, in Cuba, the younger generation is more likely to identify as secular or adopt globalized spiritual practices, leading to a decline in the practice of Santería (Antón, 1998).

The weakening of religious and spiritual practices also has implications for social and cultural cohesion. Macias (2023) argues that religion plays a significant role in shaping public opinion and policy, particularly about marginalized groups like the LGBTQ+ community. In countries where Catholicism remains influential, such as Honduras, queer identities are often stigmatized, leading to discriminatory policies and social exclusion. Conversely, in countries like Argentina, where secularism is more prevalent, there is greater acceptance of LGBTQ+ rights and identities. This highlights the complex interplay between religion, culture, and social change in Latin America (Macias, 2023).

Despite these challenges, there are efforts to preserve and revitalize traditional religious and spiritual practices. For example, in Brazil, Afro-Latin American religions like Candomblé have gained greater visibility and recognition in recent years, reflecting a broader cultural movement

to reclaim and celebrate African heritage. Similarly, in Mexico, indigenous spiritual practices are being integrated into broader cultural and political movements, highlighting the resilience of traditional religious identities in the face of globalization (Antón, 1998; Macias, 2023).

## d. Displacement of Traditional Craft and Rural Cultural Identity

Globalization has had a profound impact on traditional handicraft industries in Latin America, blending cultural traditions with modern economic pressures. Islam and Burmester (2020) argue that while globalization has facilitated market expansion for some artisans, it has also intensified competition from mass-produced goods, threatening the survival of traditional crafts. For example, in Brazil, skilled trades like typewriter repair, blacksmithing, and shoemaking have seen reduced demand as modern technologies and consumer habits evolve. This shift has not only altered the livelihoods of artisans but also diminished the cultural significance these trades once held in their communities (Islam &Burmester, 2020).

The loss of traditional crafts represents a broader erosion of cultural identity, as these items often carry deep symbolic and historical meanings for local communities. Brodowicz (2024) stresses how globalization has introduced cheaper, mass-produced goods that often replace traditional artisanal products. For instance, traditional Mexican Santos wooden sculptures are increasingly replaced by cheap, multi-coloured injection-moulded items, undermining their cultural significance and threatening the livelihoods of local artisans. This trend reflects broader societal changes that prioritize convenience and global trends over local markets and artisanal products (Brodowicz, 2024).

The displacement of traditional farming systems, driven by the promotion of high-productivity monoculture crops for export, has further disrupted rural cultural practices. Antón (1998) notes that traditional farming techniques, such as the Andean "three sisters" agriculture (maize, beans, and squash), are being replaced by monoculture farming for export crops like soybeans. This shift not only affects the environment but also disrupts traditional ways of life tied to sustainable farming practices. For example, in Colombia and Bolivia, rural communities are shrinking as people move to cities for work, leading to the abandonment of communal farming practices and local festivals (Antón, 1998).

Rapid urbanization, driven by global economic forces, has further contributed to the loss of rural cultural identity. Antón (1998) highlights how the growth of large cities often leads to the degradation of coastal environments and the displacement of rural communities. As people move to cities, they often abandon traditional lifestyles and cultural practices, leading to a disconnect between urban populations and their rural cultural roots. This trend is particularly evident in megacities like São Paulo and Mexico City, where the influx of rural migrants has created a cultural divide between urban and rural populations (Antón, 1998).

## e. Environmental Degradation and Loss of Cultural Identity

Environmental degradation and cultural loss in Latin America are deeply intertwined phenomena, driven by the activities of transnational corporations, economic globalization, and the exploitation of natural resources. These processes disproportionately affect indigenous communities, whose cultural identities and livelihoods are intrinsically linked to their natural environments.

Transnational corporations, often supported by multilateral banks, have significantly influenced Latin America's environmental and cultural landscapes through land privatization and resource extraction. Antón (1998) accentuates how these corporations acquire land for

forestry, mining, and agriculture, leading to the privatization of public lands and the displacement of indigenous communities. For instance, in Chile, mining operations in the Atacama Desert have disrupted the cultural heritage of the Atacameño people, who have inhabited the region for millennia. Similarly, the expansion of industrial agriculture in Brazil has resulted in widespread deforestation, particularly in the Amazon rainforest, threatening the livelihoods of indigenous tribes (Antón, 1998; Toti, 2024).

The exploitation of natural resources for global markets has led to severe environmental degradation, disproportionately affecting indigenous communities whose cultures are deeply rooted in their natural environments. Deforestation, soil erosion, and water contamination are among the most pressing issues, as highlighted by Antón (1998). In the Amazon rainforest, illegal logging, mining, and agricultural expansion have caused deforestation rates to soar, with indigenous territories experiencing a 129% increase in deforestation between 2013 and 2021 (Imolore, 2023). This environmental destruction not only compromises indigenous peoples' access to clean water, air, and food but also undermines their traditional ecological knowledge, which has sustained these ecosystems for generations. For example, the Yanomami people of Brazil and Venezuela have practised sustainable resource management for over 10,000 years, but their way of life is now threatened by external forces (Imolore, 2023; Toti, 2024).

The Amazon rainforest holds profound cultural and spiritual significance for indigenous communities, serving as the foundation of their identity, traditions, and livelihoods. Implore (2023) emphasizes that the rainforest is more than a geographical location; it is a sacred entity intertwined with the spiritual beliefs and cultural heritage of over 385 indigenous groups. Sacred sites, such as waterfalls and ancient trees, are central to their rituals and cosmologies, reflecting a deep respect for the natural world. Indigenous art, music, and storytelling also draw inspiration from the rainforest, celebrating its abundance and beauty. However, the threat of deforestation and climate change looms large, jeopardizing both the rainforest and the cultural practices it sustains. For instance, the loss of sacred sites due to deforestation not only disrupts spiritual practices but also erases the cultural memory embedded in these landscapes (Imolore, 2023).

Also, economic globalization exacerbates environmental degradation and cultural loss, posing significant challenges to indigenous resilience and well-being. Toti (2024) argues that the expansion of industrial agriculture, logging, and mining operations in countries like Brazil has led to widespread environmental pollution and deforestation, particularly in the Amazon rainforest. These disruptions compromise indigenous peoples' access to essential resources and undermine their sustainable practices, making them more vulnerable to environmental crises. However, indigenous communities have also demonstrated remarkable resilience, advocating for their rights and participating in global environmental movements. For example, the International Work Group for Indigenous Affairs (IWGIA) has documented how indigenous groups in the Amazon are using traditional knowledge to combat deforestation and promote sustainable development (Imolore, 2023; Toti, 2024). These efforts emphasise the importance of integrating Indigenous perspectives into environmental policies to address the dual crises of environmental degradation and cultural loss.

**DISCUUSSION**
From the reviewed works, it was evident that globalization has significantly contributed to the cultural erosion in Latin America through the loss of indigenous languages and knowledge systems. As dominant global languages like English, Spanish, and Portuguese spread, indigenous languages such as Quechua, Guarani, and Nahuatl face rapid decline. This is,

especially,true among younger generations seeking social mobility through dominant linguistic proficiency (Antón, 1998). The widespread adoption of globalized media and digital content has marginalized traditional knowledge systems tied to these languages, leading to a disconnect between communities and their ancestral practices (Brodowicz, 2024). Furthermore, formal education systems have increasingly prioritized technical and economicallydriven curricula over Indigenous cultural education, weakening the intergenerational transmission of local knowledge and identity (Antón, 1998).

Cultural homogenization, driven by Western consumer culture and media, has also undermined traditional artistic expressions and local customs in Latin America. The dominance of U.S. cultural exports—fast food, Hollywood films, and pop music—has diminished the visibility of traditional cultural forms such as cumbia, tango, and local artisanal crafts (Brodowicz, 2024). This has resulted in a dilution of regional cultural identities and the commodification or outright replacement of authentic traditions with mass-produced goods, as seen in the replacement of handmade Santos sculptures with plastic imitations (Brodowicz, 2024). While cultural hybridization has revived some local practices on global stages, it often does so at the cost of traditional values and economic stability for artisans (Antón, 1998).

The impact of globalization extends deeply into the spiritual and environmental realms, further eroding Latin America's cultural fabric. Traditional religious practices—such as Catholicism and syncretic Afro-Indigenous religions—are increasingly challenged by global secular values and the rise of new religious movements (Antón, 1998; Macias, 2023). Simultaneously, economic globalization has led to the displacement of rural communities, the degradation of sacred landscapes, and weakening of ecological knowledge rooted in indigenous traditions (Antón, 1998; Toti, 2024). The destruction of the Amazon rainforest and the loss of sacred sites not only threaten biodiversity but also sever the spiritual and cultural connections that sustain indigenous identities (Imolore, 2023). Despite these adversities, indigenous groups are actively resisting cultural erosion through grassroots revitalization efforts, advocacy, and the preservation of traditional ecological knowledge, demonstrating resilience amid global pressures (Imolore, 2023; Mahmood, 2025).

**CONCLUSION**

Globalization, while fostering economic integration and technological advancements, has also accelerated the erosion of indigenous languages, traditional knowledge, and cultural practices in Latin America. The dominance of colonial languages like Spanish, Portuguese, and English in the Latin America region, coupled with the spread of Western media and consumer culture, has marginalized indigenous languages such as Quechua, Guarani, and Nahuatl. This linguistic shift not only threatens the survival of these languages but also undermines the transmission of traditional ecological and medicinal knowledge tied to them. Furthermore, the homogenization of culture, driven by the proliferation of global media, fast food chains, and consumer goods, has led to the decline of local artistic expressions, festivals, and culinary traditions. The rise of global mainstream culture, often at the expense of local customs, reflects the pervasive influence of Western norms, which prioritize economic productivity and global trends over cultural preservation. This cultural homogenization has sparked nationalist resistance in some areas, as communities strive to reclaim and celebrate their heritage in the face of globalization's sweeping changes.

Also, globalisation is linked to environmental degradation and cultural loss in Latin America, particularly in indigenous communities whose identities are deeply rooted in their natural

environments. The activities of transnational corporations, supported by economic globalization, have led to deforestation, soil erosion, and water contamination, disproportionately affecting indigenous populations. The Amazon rainforest, a sacred entity for over 385 indigenous groups, faces unprecedented threats from illegal logging, mining, and agricultural expansion, jeopardizing both the ecosystem and the cultural practices it sustains. Again, the weakening of religious and spiritual practices, the displacement of traditional crafts, and the disruption of rural cultural identities in Latin America further illustrate the complex and often detrimental effects of globalization on Latin America's cultural heritage. Despite these challenges, indigenous communities have demonstrated resilience by advocating for their rights and integrating traditional knowledge into environmental conservation efforts.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations were made:
1.	Governments and NGOs in Latin America should support the teaching of indigenous languages in schools. They can fund community programs, promote native-language media, and use digital tools to help young people reconnect with their heritage.
2.	Schools should include indigenous history, literature, and culture in their lessons. Partnerships with indigenous communities and public events like festivals can help preserve and celebrate these traditions.
3.	Local artisans need financial and technical help to keep traditional crafts alive. Cooperation, product certification, and protection from mass-produced goods can support authentic craftsmanship.
4.	Governments must protect indigenous land rights and stop harmful resource extraction. Traditional ecological knowledge should be used in environmental management to protect both culture and nature.
5.	Spiritual traditions like Santería and Candomblé should be celebrated through festivals and workshops. Interfaith programs can help increase respect for indigenous beliefs.
6.	Governments should support traditional farming and invest in rural areas to reduce migration. This helps protect cultural identity while improving food security and quality of life.
7.	Funding local films, music, and literature can help preserve cultural identity. Platforms like community radio and streaming services can highlight Indigenous voices and traditions.

## REFERENCES

Adefarasin, V. O., &Adefarasin, V. A. (2023). Impact of globalization on African culture. *Philosophy International Journal, 6*(2), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.23880/phij-16000295

Akinnaso, N. (2012, August 28). Cultural erosion. *The Punch Newspapers*.

Antón, D. (1998). Latin America: Five centuries of globalization. *Macalester International, 6*(1), Article 8. http://digitalcommons.macalester.edu/macintl/vol6/iss1/8

Appadurai, A. (1996). *Modernity at large: Cultural dimensions of globalization*. University of Minnesota Press.

Armony, V., & Lamy, P. (1999). Latin American culture and the challenge of globalization. *Ciencia Ergo Sum, 6*(3), 243–252. https://www.redalyc.org/pdf/104/10401603.pdf

Ball, D. A., McCulloch, W. H., Geringer, M. J., Minor, M. S., &McNett, J. M. (2008). *International business: The challenge of global competition* (11th ed.). McGraw-Hill Irwin.

Bethell, L. (Ed.). (1993). *The Cambridge history of Latin America*. Cambridge University Press.

Brodowicz, M. (2024, June 30). The impact of globalization on culture and politics in Latin America. *Aithor*. https://aithor.com/essay-examples/the-impact-of-globalization-on-culture-and-politics-in-latin-america

Daramola, I., &Oyinade, B. (2015). Impact of cultural globalization on Africa: The role of Western media. *International Journal of Education and Research, 3*(3), 31–45.

Fakeye, D. O. (2014). Globalization and cultural erosion: Impact on sexuality in Nigeria. In *SlovakEdu Conference Proceedings* (pp. 194–204). https://7df6ec12f8.clvaw-cdnwnd.com/f1163102c0d0e0a3aae255c61d630629/200001294-2367e245fc/LLCE%202014%20-%2019.pdf

Federal Government of Nigeria. (1988). *Cultural policy for Nigeria*. Federal Government Press.

Fiveable. (2025). Latin American history – 1791 to present review: Cultural imperialism. *Fiveable Library*. https://library.fiveable.me/key-terms/latin-america-1791-present/cultural-imperialism

Gang, D. (2019, November 20). Latin American woes stem from globalization. *Global Times*. https://www.globaltimes.cn/content/1170649.shtml

Ghosh, S. (2024). Cultural erosion in India: Cultural loss and a threat to the nation's traditions and ethnicity. *International Journal of Social Science and Economic Research, 9*(4), 1092–1101. https://doi.org/10.46609/IJSSER.2024.v09i04.014

Imolore, D. (2023, November 20). Indigenous people and the Amazon: An ancient connection. *Fund the Planet*. https://blog.fundtheplanet.net/amazon-rainforest/indigenous-people-and-the-amazon-an-ancient-connection/

Islam, M. R., &Burmester, C. F. (2020). Impact of globalization on local traditional handicraft industries in Brazil. *Journal of Globalization Studies, 11*(2). https://doi.org/10.30884/jogs/2020.02.08

Kaul, V. (2012). Globalisation and crisis of cultural identity. *Journal of Research in International Business and Management, 2*(13), 341–349.

Macias, E. (2023). How queer social movements adapt to change in Latin America. In *LGBTQ+ social movements of Latin America and how they differ from the United States*. Claremont Colleges Pressbooks. https://pressbooks.claremont.edu/id001po12/chapter/lgbtq-social-movements-of-latin-america-and-how-they-differ-from-the-united-states/

Mahmood, T. (2025, February 9). How has globalization changed local culture? *Quora*. https://www.quora.com/How-has-globalization-changed-local-culture

Martín-Barbero, J. (1993). *Communication, culture and hegemony: From the media to mediations*. SAGE Publications.

Masoga, M. A., & Kaya, H. O. (2008). Globalisation and African cultural heritage erosion: Policy implications. *Indilinga: African Journal of Indigenous Knowledge Systems, 7*(2), 141–154.

Miller, T. (2007). *Cultural citizenship: Cosmopolitanism, consumerism, and television in a neoliberal age*. Temple University Press.

Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Nigeria. (1988). *Culture*. Retrieved April 13, 2025, from https://foreignaffairs.gov.ng/nigeria/nigeria-culture/

Oyekola, I. A. (2018). Culture and globalisation. In O. A. Ogunbameru, A. L. Adisa, & D. S. Adekeye (Eds.), *Cross-cultural management: A multi-disciplinary approach* (pp. 81–102). Obafemi Awolowo University Press. https://eprints.lmu.edu.ng/1410/1/Culture%20and%20GLobalisation%20CHAPTER%206.pdf

Richard, P., & Jamal, N. (2002). *Politics and culture in the developing world: The impact of globalization*. Priscilla MacGeenon.

Robinson, W. (1996). *Promoting polyarchy: Globalization, US intervention, and hegemony*. Cambridge University Press.

Robinson, W. (1999). Latin America and global capitalism. *Race and Class, 40*(2/3), 111–131. Said, E. (1978). *Orientalism*. Pantheon Books.

Schiller, H. (1976). *Communication and cultural domination*. International Arts and Sciences Press.

Schwartzman, S., de Souza Jr, C., &Santilli, M. (2019). Brazil's indigenous peoples struggle to protect their forest homeland. *Indigenous Affairs, 1*, 64–71. https://doi.org/10.22261/IA.2019.1.05

Siddiqui, S. A., Tasnim, N., Ibne Afzal, M. N., & Dutta, S. (2019). Aspects of globalization: Spotlight on Latin America. In N. Faghih (Ed.), *Globalization and development* (p. 411). Springer Nature Switzerland AG. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-14370-1_18

Skidmore, T. E., & Smith, P. H. (2005). *Modern Latin America*. Oxford University Press.

Sklair, L. (2000). The transnational capitalist class and the discourse of globalization. *Global Dimensions*. http://www.globaldimensions.net/articles/sklair/LSklair.html

Sparks, C. (2007). *Globalization, development and the mass media*. SAGE Publications.

Taptiani, N., Mahadi, A., Romadhon, I., Pratama, A., Muhammad, R., Purwanto, E., Sari, D., &Isbandi, F. (2024). The impact of globalization on local culture. *International Journal of Progressive Sciences and Technologies, 45*(1), 92–102. http://dx.doi.org/10.52155/ijpsat.v45.1.6233

Theodore, J. D. (2014). The process of globalization in Latin America. *International Business & Economics Research Journal (IBER), 14*(1), 193–198. https://doi.org/10.19030/iber.v14i1.9044

Tomlinson, J. (1991). *Cultural imperialism: A critical introduction*. Johns Hopkins University Press.

Toti, F. (2024). The effects of economic globalization on indigenous communities. *International Journal of Humanity and Social Sciences, 2*(3), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.47941/ijhss.1782

Ukpokolo, I. (2004). *Philosophy interrogates culture*. Hope Publication.

World Bank. (2024). Population, total. World Development Indicators. Retrieved April 13, 2025, fromhttps://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.TOTL?start=1960&end=2023&view=chart

Zaichkowsky, J. L. (2022). Cultural erosion through retail globalization. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research: E Marketing, 22*(1), 1.0. https://doi.org/10.2249/2249-4588