

4

Assessment of the Causes and Consequences of International Migration in Nigeria

Albert Mabayoje **SENNUGA**

Department of Economics

Akin Mabogunje School of Arts and Social Sciences

Sikiru Adetona College of Education

Science and Technology, Omu-Ajose

Email: albertsennuga@gmail.com

Michael Oluwabukunmi **LAWAL**

Department of Geography

Akin Mabogunje School of Arts and Social Sciences

Sikiru Adetona College of Education

Science and Technology, Omu-Ajose

Email: mike2013.lawal@gmail.com

Adebola TALABI

Department of History

Akin Mabogunje School of Arts and Social Sciences

Sikiru Adetona College of Education

Science and Technology, Omu-Ajose

Email: talabiadebola2012@gmail.com

and

Oluwabunmi Christianah **BALOYE**

Department of Economics

Akin Mabogunje School of Arts and Social Sciences

Sikiru Adetona College of Education

Science and Technology, Omu-Ajose

Email: bunmiosibodu@gmail.com

Introduction

Human migration is not a recent phenomenon. Since the beginning of recorded history, migration has been an element of the human condition. The phenomena of migration dates to the mercantile period, when, through merchant capitalism, people from Europe traveled to Africa between 1500 and 1800 to establish protectorates and live on vast tracts of land for more than 300 years (Golden & Reinert, 2006). Additionally, according to the National Geographic Society (2005), migration has occurred throughout the history of mankind, beginning with the earliest groups of people moving from East Africa to their current location in the universe. Because of how widespread migration is, both industrialized and developing nations view it as their top national security threat.

According to the International Organization for Migration (IOM), migration is the process of migrating, either within a country or over an international border. It is a population movement that includes any sort of migration of people, regardless of their size, make up, or causes; this includes economic migrants, displaced persons, refugees, and people uprooted from their homes (IOM, 2004).

Additionally, migration is a response to a crisis that has economic, political, and socio-cultural components by individuals or groups of individuals to enable them to survive the situation. International migrants primarily aim to enter developed economies. According to Rohr Moser and Wachter (2008), colonial ties between Europeans and Africans had an impact on the current global migration movements. In addition, the majority of economies throughout the universe have high rates of unemployment, a lack of social amenities, poverty, economic crises, political instability, insecurity, low wages, and other unfavorable conditions, which all contribute to external migration. Youths in Nigeria have no choice but to immigrate because the country's labor sector has failed to offer them employment. Global economies, both established and developing, are affected by external migration on the economic, socio-cultural, and political levels.

In order to address the obstacles of external migration, for example, some international migrants had to deal with the stringent immigration rules implemented by the governments of top countries of destinations. In addition, a majority of these immigrants have lost their rights to health care, freedom of travel, employment, and education, among other rights, as a result of inadequate documentation. Once more, some immigrants may adopt hedonistic lifestyles to make ends meet. According to Yang (2008), these improper lifestyles include armed robberies, rising crime rates, prostitution, and pickpocketing. Some of the employments created by these lifestyles are referred to as “3D jobs.” These tasks are challenging, hazardous, and nasty. These difficulties typically undermine the economic, political, and social drivers of migration.

According to statistics from the World Migration Report of 2020, there were 272 million international migrants in the world in 2019. (McAuliffe & Khadria, 2019). This is equivalent to 3.5% of the world's population. Additionally, male and female migrants made up 52% and 48% of the total international migrant population, respectively. Additionally, 74% of immigrants from abroad were between the ages of 20 and 64 when they began working. Additionally, with 17.5 million migrants, India was the top country of origin for international migrants. With 11.8 and 10.7 million foreign migrants, respectively, Mexico and China are in second and third place.

However, with 50.7 million international migrants, the United States continued to be the top destination for migration. Again, according to the IOM, more than 600,000 African migrants have entered Italy since 2014 via the perilous Central Mediterranean route (Kirwin & Anderson, 2018). However, just in 2017, about 120,000 African migrants arrived in Italy. It is understandable why, according to Ranjana (2015), “It has become a serious issue of the twenty-first century because of rapid globalization, industrialization, urbanization, and related migration patterns which are forcing people to move from one place to another in search of livelihood options and employment opportunities.”. However, according to Abedi-Lartey (2016), migration has been recognized as a survival tactic used by the poor, particularly the rural population, as a catalyst

in the transformation process of not only the destiny of individual migrants, but also the conditions of family members left behind, local communities, and the wider regions through remittances.

In order to understand the potential push and pull forces contributing to Nigeria’s high rate of foreign migration, it is necessary to examine the causes and effects of this migration. Understanding the primary drivers of international migration and illustrating the complex repercussions of external migration in Nigeria would help policy makers make judgments about this matter and shed light on the best economic development measures to utilize. The Federal, State, and Local governments, Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs), and other stakeholders have made numerous attempts to stop the never-ending stream of migration in Nigeria, but the situation has persisted unabatedly. Nigerian nationals have continued to travel abroad despite the difficulties associated with doing so in order to seek out employment possibilities that will allow them to support themselves and their families back home. Low-intensity warfare, a lack of job possibilities, and a sizable youth population had combined to cause a high number of illegal migrants to try to leave Nigeria for the perilous journey to Europe. It makes sense given that IOM (2016) noted that migration has several economic, social, political, and cultural repercussions.

In light of the foregoing, this study examined the main factors causing international migration as well as its main repercussions in the context of Nigeria. The study answered the following questions: What are the main causes of immigration from Nigerians abroad? What are the main consequences of immigration from outside Nigeria? This study’s main goal is to investigate the main reasons why people migrate outside Nigeria. The study will also look into the main effects of migration from abroad on Nigeria.

Conceptual Review and Theoretical Framework

Numerous academics have investigated the origins and effects of migration with varying conclusions and abstractions. For instance, De Haas (2007) evaluated the impact of international migration on the social and economic development of regions that migrants

contribute to Morocco through a review of the body of available knowledge. These empirical studies provide evidence that migration and remittances have greatly improved education, living circumstances, and income. Additionally, economic activity has been promoted due to agriculture, investments in enterprises and real estate, which indirectly affects non-migrants. Additionally, previously migratory regions like the Rif, Sous, and Southern Oases have transformed into wealthy hubs that draw “reverse” internal migration. The increasing potential of migration was not fully realized due to several structural limitations. Again, the impact of migration was variable between socio-ethnic, gender, and cosmological groups and prone to change over time. People have the opportunity to invest in regional economic activities through migration and remittances. Surprisingly, to a certain extent, development in migrant-sending countries appears to be a prerequisite for investment and homecoming rather than a reaction to migration.

Similar to this, Ratha, Mohapatra, and Scheja (2011) started a literature review on how migration has affected the social and economic development of South Asian origin and destination countries. The analysis revealed that both the sending and receiving economies suffered significantly as a result of international migration. Additionally, migration and the remittances that follow lead to higher wages, a decrease in poverty, better health, better educational attainment, and a boost in the sending economies’ economic progress. However, the realization of these gains may come at significant social costs that are unavoidable for the migrants and their families. Many growing economies struggle with issues like the integration of immigrants, the financial burden of providing social services to the migrants, and competition for jobs between migrant and native workers because they receive substantial international migrants.

Adepoju (2011) examined the connection between global migration and development in Sub-Saharan Africa in a related study. The study found that the main factors influencing migration are poverty, unreliable political systems, and rapid population increase.

As Sub-Saharan African nations grow, increasing remittances are a vital source of money and a lifeline for the provision of essential services, tuition for education, access to healthcare, and increased agricultural productivity. The fundamental problem for Sub-Saharan African economies is retaining, luring, and effectively employing the distinctive capabilities of these citizens in diaspora for indigenous development.

Additionally, Weda (2012) used the qualitative study methodology to examine the variables influencing the migration of teachers from Zimbabwe to South Africa. A grounded theory, 13 Zimbabwean teachers who have lived in South Africa for one to five years, and documented data from the body of literature were all employed in the study. The data collection process was divided into two stages. In phase one, participants were asked to describe vocally or in writing their experiences migrating to South Africa. They were questioned in step two to discuss the issues raised in phase one. After three phases of coding were used in the data analysis, the grounded theory of teachers' migration was produced. These are the early, middle, and late stages. The research revealed that teachers' decision to migrate from Zimbabwe to South Africa was based on their desire to achieve and retain the best or ideal living standards, working conditions, and social standing. According to the substantive theory, the Ideal Status is the culmination of these connected components in their ideal configuration. The sampled teachers view this situation as optimal. Furthermore, the grounded theory demonstrated that relocation was the most effective strategy for Zimbabwean teachers to achieve their ideal status.

Ajaero and Onokala (2013) explored the connection between rural communities in South-Eastern Nigeria and rural-urban migration in relevant literature. Six Local Government Areas (LGAs) in rural South-Eastern Nigerian states were chosen based on population density and spatial justice. Imo and Abia States were those in question. The LGAs were Nkwerre in Imo State, Onuimo in Imo State, Owerri West in Imo State, Ikwuano in Abia State, Isikwuato in Abia State, Ukwa East in Abia State. Each of the six rural LGAs had a sample of fifty migrant-sending homes. The results

showed that rural-urban migration contributes significantly to the development of the rural communities in South-Eastern Nigeria through remittances and the participation of these rural-urban migrants in the community's development projects. These results were obtained using multiple regression methodology, Chi-square, hierarchical cluster analyses, and a mixed-methods approach for the collection of data.

Similar to this, Anjofui (2018) focused on the Cameroonian and Congolese migrants in Cape Town while examining the push and pull elements of international migration in South Africa. The snowball sampling method was used to choose the migrants from Cameroon and the Congo who reside in Cape Town. The results of a descriptive research design using semi-structured interviews showed that economic factors are the primary forces behind migration. The impact of immigrant networks and political considerations are then discussed. Aspirations and exposure were shown to be among the elements influencing migration decisions in most of the migrants. They weren't specifically mentioned as influencing reasons for migration in the literature, though. Additionally, the findings demonstrated that many migrants' migration aspirations were not realized. This is a result of the little opportunities that migratory policies have given them. Additionally, the current immigration policy has had a negative impact on migrants, leaving them in a terrible situation. However, most migrants decided not to go back because the objectives of migration were not achieved.

Kirwin and Anderson (2018) looked at what drives West Africans to migrate, relying on focus group and public opinion data collected in West Africa using a descriptive research design. Additionally, Nigeria was highlighted in the study because it is the home country of 25% of all African migrants that use the Central Mediterranean route. The six West African nations of Burkina Faso, Cote d'Ivoire, Mali, Niger, Nigeria, and Senegal provided the respondents. The justification for migration was determined to be economic factors. However, respondents were seen to want to stay in their own nations for reasons related to family and patriotism. Evidence from the poll showed that, if given the chance, 50%, 27%, and 27% of Nigerians,

Ivoirians, and Senegalese, respectively, would be interested in leaving their country of origin. In contrast to Nigerians, Ivoirians, and Senegalese, fewer Malians and Burkinabe expressed an interest in leaving their countries if given the chance. Additionally, the results indicated that urban, highly educated Nigerians are the class most likely to travel overseas. Muslims in Nigeria are less likely to want to immigrate overseas based on the role that religion plays in migration. Additionally, the desire of Nigerians to emigrate was not significantly influenced by their economic situation. Instead, the desire of Nigerians to immigrate overseas and a lack of confidence in local security are both closely tied to how robust the democracy is in Nigeria.

In another study of a similar nature, Bedasso, Weldesenbet, and Obikili (2018) examined the impact of family migration on educational attainment using data from a household survey on migration and remittance carried out by the World Bank. According to the findings, family members of migrants are more likely to complete their secondary education and enroll in select post-secondary institutions. Once more, people who live in migrant households are more likely to leave their country. Additionally, the migration of family members of migrants may result in the attainment of education.

To investigate the migration accounts of Nigerians who traveled to Europe via the Libya-Mediterranean route and stopped in Sweden, Dimkpa (2019) used a qualitative research design, four migrants from Nigeria who had traveled to Europe via the Libya-Mediterranean route, and the Push and Pull methodological framework developed by Everett Lee. This study outlined the reasons why migrants from Nigeria go to Europe via the Libya-Mediterranean route, how they arrived in Sweden, and why they chose Sweden to apply for asylum over other nations in Europe, all based on Everett Lee's Push and Pull theory. Additionally, the Swedish government's immigration and asylum policies were questioned in relation to Nigerian migrants. According to the research, economic hardship, political unrest, terrorism, and strict anti-homosexuality legislation may lead Nigerians to take the Libya-Mediterranean route

to Europe in search of a better life.

Evidence from the prevalent migration literature showed that, few research has been conducted in the industrialized nations to understand the causes and effects of international movement. However, there aren't many of these studies throughout Africa, and there aren't many at all in Nigeria. Few earlier studies have focused on the sociocultural, political, and economic elements that lead to human trafficking, which is a type of migration. The study by Nwalutu (2016) focused on the migrant youth from Nigeria in Malta. Oyebanji (2017), on the other hand, emphasized the experiences of young women who overcame trafficking in Nigeria. Bakke (2013) investigated the role that religion plays in the trafficking of Nigerian women. In the context of rural-urban migration, Ajaero and Onokala (2013), Olajide (2014), and Bukar, Mohammed, and Ngada (2021) explored internal migration. Wajim (2019) concentrated on the reasons behind and trends in Nigerian labor migration.

Industrialization, entrepreneurship, and the cyclical migrant patterns between Ghana and Nigeria were the main topics of Adebowale's (2014) study. The human rights perspective for the handling of migrants in Nigeria was extensively discussed by Ozuru and Nwajah in 2019. Muhammad (2021) investigated the issue of irregular migration and its effects on security issues in North-Western Nigeria in a different study. Enigbokan, Edkins, and Ogundele (2015) examined the significance of migration theories in identifying the driving forces behind migrants from Zimbabwe and Nigeria in South Africa. As far as we are aware, there hasn't been any research done specifically on the reasons for and effects of foreign migration in Nigeria. Again, there are little facts about how people in Nigeria weigh the benefits and risks of emigrating abroad and how that influences their decisions. By thoroughly analyzing the push and pull aspects of global migration as well as the effects of this issue in the Nigerian context, this study seeks to close this gap.

Theoretical Framework

Numerous theories have been developed by researchers in the numerous fields that study migration, including economics, law, demography, history, geography, sociology, anthropology, and political science, among others, to understand the dynamics, origins, and causes of migration. These theories include the theory of migration developed by Lee in 1966, the neo-classical theory of migration developed by Harris and Todaro in 1970, the theory of migration systems developed by Mabogunje in 1970, the theory of mobility transitions developed by Zelinsky in 1971, the new economics theory of migration developed by Stark in 1978, and the cumulative causation theory developed by Massey in 1990. For the theoretical underpinning of this study, Everett Lee’s push and pull neoclassical economic theory was utilized.

This is predicated on the idea that the dominant theory in the study of the causes of migration at the moment is the neoclassical theory, which makes the fundamental assumption that the primary driving force behind migration is economic analysis of comparative benefits and costs, which is largely financial and psychological and is the result of deliberate choices Todaro and Smith (2006). As a result, it will be employed in this study to learn about foreign migrants’ migration choices. This theory asserts that economic considerations are the primary causes of migration because it views migration as an economic phenomenon (Nkamleu & Fox, 2006). Additionally, it assumes that trade and migration provide a foundation for economies and labor markets to progress toward a long-run steady state. It holds that immigrants are only intelligent agents. According to this idea, people migrate from civilizations with an abundance of labor and low pay to those with a shortage of labor and high wages. The decision to migrate is also made on an individual basis. As a result, migrants essentially relocate from civilizations with a large labour force and low wages to countries with a small labour force and high salaries. It is thought that eventually, greater profits will outweigh moving costs and relocation risk, which affects the decision to relocate.

The push and pull analytical paradigm contend that although high incomes and better standards of living in more industrialized regions draw the labor force in, low wages and subpar living conditions in less developed nations drive it out Harzig, Hoerder, and Gabaccia (2009). According to the push and pull factor model, people will eventually move from a region with low labor demands and low salaries to one with high labour demands and high salaries, bringing salaries and living conditions between the sending and receiving regions into line, resulting in migration that is advantageous to both (Nkamleu & Fox, 2006; Weiner, 1995). The labor supply in the local labor market is reduced as a result of workforce emigration, increasing its value and driving up wages. Consequently, the emigration of labor from a region is advantageous for the local workforce since it raises local wages (Weda, 2012).

The push-and-pull model is not without its drawbacks. This model is static. As a result, it omitted to mention how migration affects the initial structural circumstances. It is a descriptive model that explains migration via a post-hoc mechanism. Once more, factors that affect migration typically duplicate themselves in origin and destination regions. It holds that the only factors influencing migration are those at the macro-scale. However, it disregards other reasons why people may migrate. Despite these flaws, the hypothesis is nevertheless popular in migration literature (De Haas, 2007; McDowell & De Haan, 1997).

It was altered, though, to include some extra pull and push variables. According to Dovlo (2003), the supply factors are the unfavorable conditions in the source nations or areas that cause professionals and skilled individuals to emigrate and look for work elsewhere. The literature identified the following push factors: low pay, low per capita income, unemployment or a lack of jobs, crime and conflict, political repression, subpar educational systems, subpar working conditions, climate change (including extreme weather events), lack of career advancement, inadequate or limited urban services and infrastructure (including healthcare, education, utilities, transport, and water), a lack of necessary technology, crop failure, and (Boswell, 2002; Dovlo, 2003; El-Khawas, 2004; World

Economic Forum & PwC, 2017).

The socio-economic conditions that tempt professionals to leave their home economies or regions and go to destinations economies or regions are called pull factors or demand factors (El-Khawas, 2004). In the literature, the following pull factors have been identified: higher wages for the same jobs in the receiving countries; family reunion; better service conditions; independence and freedom; advanced technology and the availability of resources that make work easier and safer; integration and social cohesion; the state of the labor market in the receiving countries; food security; greater opportunities for professional and personal improvement; and affordable and accessible housing (Boswell, 2002; El-Khawas, 2004; World Economic Forum & PwC, 2017).

Methodology

For this study, a descriptive research design was used. Both primary and secondary sources of data were used in the investigation. A formal questionnaire was used to gather first-hand data. On the other side, secondary data consisted of material that was already available on international migration from books, the internet, reports, journal articles, and other pertinent sources. This study employed the judgmental or purposive sample method. For this survey, 100 Nigerian respondents were specifically chosen. These respondents were chosen as a result based on the researcher's assessment that they understood both push and pull phenomena in addition to having knowledge of external migration. Respondents were given questionnaires to complete to gauge their thoughts on the reasons behind and effects of Nigeria's external migration. Data analysis was done using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS). Descriptive statistics were used to analyze the data that had been gathered.

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of respondents

Variable	Items	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Age	Less than 20 years	11	11.0
	21-30 years	32	32.0
	31-40 years	30	30.0
	41-50 years	17	17.0
	51-60 years	8	8.0
	61 years and above	2	2.0
	Total	100	100.0
Gender	Male	69	69.0
	Female	31	31.0
	Total	100	100.0
Marital Status	Married	50	50.0
	Single	44	44.0
	Widow	1	1.0
	Never Married	1	1.0
	Engaged to be Married.	4	4.0
	Total	100	100.0
Education	No Education	0	0.0
	Primary Education	0	0.0
	Secondary Education	12	12.0
	Polytechnic Education	7	7.0
	Tertiary Education	81	81.0
	Total	100	100.0
Occupation	Farming	2	2.0
	Artisan	1	1.0
	Trading/Business	16	16.0
	Public/Civil Servant	41	41.0
	Handicraft Industries	0	0.0
	Other	40	40.0
	Total	100	100.0

Data Presentation, Analysis and Discussion of Results

The respondents' demographic profile is shown in Table 1. It was revealed that 11 or 11% of the respondents were under the age of 20, 32 or 32% were between the ages of 21 and 30 years, 30 or 30% were between the ages of 31 and 40 years, 17 or 17% were between the ages of 41 and 50 years, 8 or 8% were between the ages of 51 and 60 years, and only 2% were between the ages of 61 and above. While 69 or 69% of the population was male, and 31 or 31 % was

female. When it came to their marital status, 50 or 50% of them were married, 44 or 44 % were singles, 1 or 1% were widows, 1 or 1% were never married, and 4 or 4% were engaged to be married. Regarding their education, 81 or 81 % of them had university degrees, 7 or 7% had polytechnic degrees, and 12 or 12% had secondary certificates, while none had primary school leaving certificates with them. Regarding their line of work, 2 or 2% of them were farmers, 1 or 1% were artisans, 16 or 16% were traders/businessmen, 41 or 41% were public/civil servants, none of them worked in the handicraft industry, and 40 or 40% were in other occupations.

The reasons for overseas migration in Nigeria are shown in Table 2. Twenty-eight push and pull variables were found and included in the questionnaire; respondents were asked to choose the option that best reflected their viewpoint. A thorough literature research, in-depth interviews, and participant observation were used to gather this push and pull components. The level of importance of the push and pull variables reported in the questionnaire was measured using a 5-point Likert style scale, with 5 being the most important and 1 being the least important. The standard for choosing any view point was the 3.00 mean of a five-point rating system. Any view was formed based on the actual bounds of real numbers. The lower limit of 3.00 points was utilized to determine whether respondents agreed based on the various rankings that the item was a source of international migration in Nigeria since the average of five points rating scale equals the agreed or possessed opinion, 2.50. Therefore, every opinion that had a mean rating of 2.50 or higher was shared or held. Views with a mean rating of less than 2.50, however, were unpopular.

The findings indicated that in Nigeria, employment opportunities were the main driver of overseas migration. It has the highest frequency of 464 and a mean score of 4.64; it is then followed by unemployment, which has a mean score of 4.58; wealth prospects, which have a mean score of 4.42; safety and security, which has a mean score of 4.17; better working conditions, which has a mean score of 4.16; low pay, which has a mean score of 4.12; and higher

standards of living, which has a mean score of 4.09. The average rating for all the views was higher than 3.00. All the respondents concurred that there were major factors influencing migration abroad in Nigeria. It is essential to note that all other major causes of international migration in Nigeria were economic factors, except for safety and security, which was a sociopolitical component. Therefore, the main motivation for migration is economic. Kirwin and Anderson act as an advocate for this outcome (2018).

A scale was developed in Table 3 to determine the main effects of foreign migration in Nigeria. In-depth interviews, participant observation, and a thorough assessment of the literature were used to gather the factors. The scale runs from 5 to 1, with 5 representing the most essential factor and 1 the least. The main effects of international migration in Nigeria were integrated development, with a mean score of 3.92, increase in remittances, cheap and surplus labor, under stress urban services and social infrastructure, with a mean score of 3.67, stricter immigration norms, with a mean score of 3.62, multiethnic society and increased tolerance, with a mean score of 3.60, xenophobia, with a mean score of 3.58, closes gaps in education, with a mean score of 3.50. The average rating for all of the views was higher than 3.00. Therefore, all of the respondents concurred that there were both major good and negative effects of migration from abroad in Nigeria. These effects were political, social, and economic.

Table 2: Distribution of respondents based on the causes of international migration in Nigeria.

Opinion	Opinion Status	Most Important (X5)	Important (X4)	Neutral (X3)	Less Important (X2)	Least Important (X1)	Sum	Mean
Job opportunities	Economic	370	84	6	2	2	464	4.64
Wealth prospects	Economic	265	148	27	2	0	442	4.42
Lack of necessary technology	Economic	130	132	60	34	4	360	3.60
Poor educational systems	Economic	125	156	57	22	6	366	3.66
Unemployment	Economic	380	48	21	8	1	458	4.58
Rural poverty	Economic	180	152	51	12	3	398	3.98
Unsustainable livelihood	Economic	160	148	66	18	0	392	3.92
An abundance of natural resources	Ecological	85	88	54	46	20	293	2.93
Favourable climate	Ecological	75	60	45	52	29	261	2.61
Climate change	Ecological	35	36	57	54	38	220	2.20
Crop failure/food scarcity	Ecological	90	68	60	50	20	288	2.88
Family reunification	Sociopolitical	60	60	45	52	32	249	2.49
Independence and Freedom	Sociopolitical	115	88	60	46	12	321	3.21
Food security	Sociopolitical	125	140	42	30	11	348	3.48
Affordable and accessible urban services	Sociopolitical	115	160	51	24	8	358	3.58
Political instability	Sociopolitical	110	124	75	30	7	346	3.46
Safety and security	Sociopolitical	235	128	42	10	3	417	4.17

Conflicts or threats	Sociopolitical	150	124	72	20	5	371	3.71
Better conditions of services	Economic	230	144	24	16	2	416	4.16
Slavery or bonded labour	Sociopolitical	70	56	78	58	17	279	2.79
Inadequate/limited urban services & infrastructure	Sociopolitical	135	148	51	26	6	366	3.66
Low salaries	Economic	215	148	33	14	2	412	4.12
Low per capita income	Economic	190	164	24	22	2	402	4.02
Lack of progression within a career	Economic	140	140	60	26	4	370	3.70
Limited chances of self-advancement	Economic	145	128	72	16	7	368	3.68
Poor conditions of services	Economic	175	168	54	8	1	406	4.06
Political repression	Sociopolitical	115	124	57	38	8	342	3.42
Higher standards of living	Economic	235	108	51	12	3	409	4.09

Table 3: Distribution of respondents based on the consequences of international migration in Nigeria

Opinion	Opinion Status	Most Important (X5)	Important (X4)	Neutral (X3)	Less Important (X2)	Least Important (X1)	Sum	Mean
Increase in remittances	Economic	185	92	66	32	2	377	3.77
Urban services and social infrastructure under stress	Social	110	168	60	26	3	367	3.67
Xenophobia	Social	145	104	75	28	6	358	3.58
Cultural dilution	Social	105	128	81	32	4	350	3.50
Stricter immigration norms	Political	100	176	51	32	3	362	3.62
Cheap & surplus labour	Economic	125	144	69	30	1	369	3.69
Closes gaps in skills	Economic	100	144	66	42	1	353	3.53
Push for inclusive policy-making	Political	70	148	96	24	5	343	3.43
Integrated development	Political	160	168	42	20	2	392	3.92
Multi-ethnic society and increased tolerance	Social	90	164	81	22	3	360	3.60
New services from country of origin	Social	95	136	60	46	4	341	3.41

Conclusion and Recommendations

Using a descriptive research design, this study investigated the main reasons for and effects of international migration in Nigeria. It gave a comprehensive picture of the push and pull variables influencing migration outside of Nigeria. According to the study's findings, job possibilities, unemployment, financial prospects, safety and security, improved working conditions, low pay, and greater standards of life were the main drivers of foreign migration in Nigeria. These main drivers of migration abroad in Nigeria were primarily economic ones. However, in Nigeria, the only socio-political issue that ranked among the top reasons for moving outside was safety and security. The results also demonstrated that integrated development, growth in remittances, cheap and surplus labor, stress on urban services and social infrastructure, stricter immigration norms, multi-ethnic society and increased tolerance, Xenophobia, close skill gaps, and cultural dilution were the most significant positive and negative effects of international migration in Nigeria. Economic, social, and political ramifications resulted. Remittance inflows from Nigerians living abroad are a potential tool for economic growth, according to IOM (2016). With approximately 65% of the region's officially recognized remittance flows and 2% of worldwide inflows, Nigeria is sub-Saharan Africa's top recipient of remittances. Based on the findings of this investigation, the following is advised:

- The push forces of unemployment, lack of safety and security, and poor wages should be addressed, as should the pull elements of job opportunities, wealth potential, better working conditions, and higher standards of living, as these are what drive international migration in the first place;
- The federal government, states, and non-governmental organizations should concentrate their interventions on other push and pull factors, such as fewer opportunities for self-advancement, unfavorable working conditions, poverty, insecurity of the food supply, and conflicts, which increase susceptibility to international migration.

- Because economic, socio-political, and ecological factors contribute to international migration and have an impact on development at both the micro and macro-levels, migration policies should not only be restrictive but should also consider how changes in the governance structure of migration may impact these factors.
- The government and non-governmental organizations should create entrepreneurship centers and programs to offer livelihood options, such as basic education, literacy, and skills training to populations who are often disadvantaged, such women, and more vulnerable to overseas migration.
- To support the growth of skills and acquisition, infrastructure development should be a government priority in terms of funding.
- The Federal, State, and Local Governments of Nigeria need to mainstream migration, a long-standing strategy for human development and poverty reduction.
- To slow the rate at which young people are fleeing Nigeria, the government should make significant investments in projects that can create jobs for the hordes of unemployed people there.
- IOM (2016) argued that due to migration’s “clear far-reaching repercussions,” “there is also a need to integrate migration into national and regional agendas for security, stability, development, and cooperation as well as the acknowledgement of migrants’ contributions to the world economy.”

References

- Abedi-Lartey, A. (2016). *Causes of rural-urban migration on Sankana in the Nadowli-Kalio District of Ghana and its effects on community development*. An Unpublished Bachelor’s Degree Thesis in Natural Resource Management of Nonia University of Applied Sciences, Raseborg.
- Adebowale, A. (2014). Industrialization, Entrepreneurship, and the Cyclical Migration Flows between Ghana and Nigeria. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*, 16(4), 102-114.

- Adepoju, A. (2011). Reflections on international migration and development in Sub-Saharan Africa. *African Population Studies*, 25(2), 298-319.
- Ajaero, C. K., & Onokala, P. C. (2013). The effects of rural-urban migration on rural communities of Southeastern Nigeria. *International Journal of Population Research*, 1-10. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/610193>.
- Anjofui, P. A. (2018). Investigating the push and pull factors of international migration in South Africa, The case of Cameroonian and Congolese migrants in cape town. *An Unpublished Master's Degree Thesis of the Department of ISD, Faculty of Economic and Social Sciences, University of the Western Cape, Bellville*.
- Bakke, M. (2013). How does religion affect trafficking in Nigerian women? An analysis of the relations between religious beliefs and human trafficking. *An Unpublished MA in Anthropology of Health and the Body in the 21st Century Dissertation of the Department of Anthropology, Goldsmiths, University of London*.
- Bedasso, B., Weldesenbet, E. G., & Obikili, N. (2018). Emigration and education: the schooling of the left behind in Nigeria. ERSA Working Paper No. 759.
- Boswell, C. (2002). New issues In Refugee Research - Addressing the causes of migratory and refugee movements: The role of the European Union. Working Paper No. 73. Institute for Peace Research and Security Policy, University of Hamburg, Germany.
- Bukar, H. M., Mohammed, H. I., & Ngada, B. J. (2021). Causes and consequences of rural-urban migration in Damaturu, Yobe State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Management Studies and Social Science Research*, 3(3), 282-287.
- De Haas, H. (2007). The impact of international migration on social and economic development in Moroccan sending regions: A review of the empirical literature. IMI Working Paper No. 3, International Migration Institute, University of Oxford.
- De Haas, H. (2007). *The Myth of Invasion: Irregular migration from West Africa to the Maghreb and the European Union*. Oxford: International Migration Institute, University of Oxford.
- Dimkpa, P. (2019). Africa-Europe migration: A qualitative analysis of Nigerian Migration to Europe via the Libya-Mediterranean route. *An Unpublished Master's Thesis in African Studies of the Dalarna University Centre for African Studies*.
- Dovlo, D. (2003). The Brain Drains and Retention of Health Professionals in Africa. Paper presented at the Improving Tertiary Education in Sub-Saharan Africa: Things that Work.
- El-Khawas, M. A. (2004). Brain drain: Putting Africa between a rock and a

- hard place. *Mediterranean Quarterly*, 15(4), 37-56. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1215/10474552-15-4-37>.
- Enigbokan, O., Edkins, B., & Ogundele, O. (2015). *Relevance of migration theories in the identification of influencing factors for Nigerian and Zimbabwean migrants in South Africa*. Paper presented at the Economic Society of South Africa Conference, Cape Town.
- Golden, I., & Reinert, A. (2006). *Globalization for Development: Trade, finance, aid, migration, and policy*. United States: World Bank Publications.
- Harris, J. R., & Todaro, M. P. (1970). Migration, unemployment, and development: A two-sector analysis. *American Economic Review*, 60(1), 126-142.
- Harzig, C. Hoerder, D. & Gabaccia, D. (2009). *What is migration history?* Cambridge: Malden, Polity Press.
- IOM (2004). *International migration law: Glossary on migration*. Geneva: IOM.
- IOM (2016). *Migration in Nigeria: A country profile 2014*. Geneva: IOM.
- Kirwin, M., & Anderson, J. (2018). Identifying the factors driving West African migration. West African Papers, No.17. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- Lee, E. S. (1966). A theory of migration. *Demography*, 3(1), 47-57.
- Mabogunje, A. L. (1970). Systems approach to a theory of rural-urban migration. *Geographical Analysis*, 2(1), 1-18.
- Massey, D. S. (1990). Social Structure, Household Strategies, and the Cumulative Causation of Migration. *Population Index*, 56(1), 3-26. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.2307/3644186>.
- McAuliffe, M., & Khadria, B. (2019). Report Overview: Providing Perspective on Migration and Mobility in Increasingly Uncertain Times. In M. McAuliffe & B. Khadria (Eds.), *World Migration Report 2020* (pp. 1-498). Geneva: IOM.
- McDowell, C., & De Haan, A. (1997). Migration and sustainable livelihoods: A critical review of the literature. Institute of Development Studies (IDS) Working Paper NO. 65.
- Muhammad, Y. U. (2021). Irregular Migration and Security Challenges in North-Western Nigeria: Trends, issues, and the way forward. *The International Journal of Social Sciences World*, 3(1), 1-14.
- National Geographic Society (2005). Human migration guide. 6-8. Retrieved from: http://geographymonkey.com/uploads/3/5/2/1/35215747/migration_nat_geo.pdf.
- Nkamleu, G. B., & Fox, L. (2006). *Taking stock of research on regional migration in Sub-Saharan Africa*. Munich: Personal RePEc Archive.
- Nwalutu, M. O. (2016). From Africa to Europe, Youth, and transnational migration: Examining the lived experiences of Nigerian migrant youth

- in Malta. An Unpublished Doctor of Philosophy Thesis of the Department of Social Justice Education, OISE, University of Toronto.
- Olajide, O. A. (2014). *Migration: Its causes and consequences in South-East Nigeria* In A. L. Sa (Ed.), *African Dynamics in a Multipolar World*. Paper presented at the 5th European Conference on African Studies, Lisbon University Institute.
- Oludayo, F. M. (2019). *A global trend of child trafficking among girls experiencing violence and neglect in the Yoruba ethnic group in Nigeria: A transnational feminist approach*. An Unpublished Master of Arts Degree Thesis from Oregon State University.
- Oyebanji, K. F. (2017). Human trafficking across a border in Nigeria: Experiences of young women who have survived trafficking. An Unpublished Master of Arts Degree from the Department of Women's and Gender Studies, University of the Western Cape.
- Ozuru, G., & Nwajah, P. O. (2019). Towards a human rights approach for the treatment of migrants in Nigeria. *Nnamdi Azikiwe University Journal of International Law and Jurisprudence*, 10(1), 91-100.
- Piore, M. J. (1979). *Birds of Passage: Migrant Labour and Industrial Societies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ranjana, D. (2015). *Human trafficking: A study exploring its causes, current efforts and challenges*. An Unpublished Master's Degree in Development Studies of the Department of Humanities and Social Sciences, National Institute of Technology Rourkela, Odisha, India.
- Ratha, D., Mohapatra, S., & Scheja, E. (2011). Impact of migration on economic and social development: A review of evidence and emerging issues. Policy Research Working Paper No. 5558.
- Rohrmoser, F., & Wachter, M. (2008). Migration at the Southern borders of the European Union: "The EUs migration policy towards the Mediterranean and the case of Spain.
- Skeldon, R. (1990). *Population Mobility in Developing Countries: Reinterpretation*. London: Belhaven Press.
- Stark, O. (1978). *Economic-demographic interactions in agricultural development: The case of rural-to-urban migration*. Rome: FAO.
- Stark, O. (1991). *The migration of labour*. Blackwell: Cambridge and Oxford.
- Todaro, M. P., & Smith, S. (2006). *Economic development*. Boston: Addison Wesley.
- Wajim, J. (2019). Labour migration: Causes and patterns in Nigeria. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 3(10), 116-123.
- Weda, Z. L. (2012). Factors Influencing the Migration of Teachers from Zimbabwe to South Africa. An Unpublished Doctor of Education Degree Thesis in Socio-Education of the University of South Africa.

- Weiner, M. (1995). *The Global Migration Crisis: Challenges to States and to Human Rights*. New York: Harper Collins.
- World Economic Forum & PwC (2017). *Migration and its Impact on Cities*. Retrieved from: http://www3.weforum.org/docs/Migration_Impact_Cities_report_2017_low.pdf.