Telemedicine in the post-COVID era: benefits, challenges, and implications

^{1,2}Sani N., ³Aliyu W., ⁴Muhammed A. A., ⁵Adeleke I. T., ³Dandume M. S., ²Ibrahim A. ¹Nigerian Metereological Agency, Abuja Nigeria; ²Department of Health Information Management, Faculty of Health Sciences, Nasarawa State University University Keffi Nigeria, ³Department of Health Records, National Hospital Abuja, Nigeria; ⁴J.D Amin Library, Federal University Dutse, Nigeria; ⁵Department of Health Records, Federal Medical Centre Bida, Nigeria.

Corresponding author:

Sani N. Nigerian Metereological Agency, Abuja Nigeria. Email: <u>nasirusanimusa@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

Background: Telemedicine and eHealth have become integral components of modern healthcare, particularly in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. These technologies leverage information and communication technology (ICT) and high-speed telecommunications systems to deliver, manage and monitor healthcare services remotely. As the pandemic catalyzed the widespread adoption of telemedicine, it is crucial to evaluate its effectiveness, identify the barriers and facilitators influencing its use, and assess its potential in a post-pandemic healthcare landscape.

Methodology: This review employed a rapid evidence synthesis approach to examine the application and impact of telemedicine during and after the COVID-19 pandemic. A systematic search was conducted in major academic databases, including Google Scholar, PubMed and ScienceDirect, focusing on studies published between 2019 and December 2022. Keywords such as "telemedicine," "eHealth," "telehealth," "mobile health," "COVID-19" and "pandemic" were used to retrieve relevant studies. After screening 500 articles for relevance and removing duplicates, 50 high-quality studies were selected for in-depth analysis. These studies include a mix of conceptual papers, literature reviews, quantitative and qualitative research and experimental studies.

Results: Telemedicine has proven to be a vital tool during the pandemic, offering an alternative to traditional healthcare delivery. Its use has expanded globally, with significant uptake even in developing countries. Telemedicine has reduced healthcare delivery costs, minimized hospital visits, and enabled remote patient monitoring and follow-up care. The growth of telemedicine has however been hampered by restrictive regulations, inadequate legal frameworks, and limited technological infrastructure, particularly in low and middle-income countries. Additionally, challenges such as patient privacy concerns, the need for training on telemedicine platforms and unreliable internet connectivity have hindered its widespread adoption.

Conclusion: The rapid adoption of telemedicine during the COVID-19 pandemic underscores its potential to transform healthcare delivery. While telemedicine offers numerous benefits, its continued growth requires addressing the regulatory, legal, and technological challenges that impede its broader implementation, particularly in developing regions. Strengthening the legal framework, improving technological infrastructure, and enhancing training programs for healthcare providers and patients are essential to fully realize the benefits of telemedicine in a post-pandemic world.

Keywords: Telemedicine, eHealth, COVID-19, healthcare delivery, pandemic, remote monitoring, legal frameworks, technological infrastructure

Introduction

Telemedicine and eHealth have become pivotal in modern healthcare, utilizing information and communication technology (ICT) embedded in software programs alongside high-speed telecommunications systems to deliver, manage and monitor healthcare services. While telemedicine specifically refers to clinical healthcare

REVIEW

applications, the broader term "telehealth" encompasses both clinical and non-clinical applications, such as health education, administration, and research [1]. These technologies employ real-time interactive visual, textual. audio and data communications to facilitate medical care, diagnoses, guidance, the consultations, transfer of medical data and treatment [2]. Telemedicine and eHealth platforms are deployed using various mediums, including telephone, Internet Protocol (IP) over the Internet, voice calls, and video discussions [3].

The onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus and first reported to the WHO on December 31, 2019, triggered a seismic shift in global healthcare delivery [4]. The virus spreads through small liquid particles expelled from an infected person's mouth or nose, when they cough, sneeze, speak, sing or breathe, with these particles ranging from larger respiratory droplets to smaller aerosols [4]. As of August 18, 2022, there have been over 590 million confirmed cases of COVID-19 worldwide, resulting in more than 6.4 million deaths [5]. The rapid spread and severe impact of the virus necessitated a rethinking of traditional face-to-face healthcare interactions, leading to the accelerated adoption of telemedicine as a safer, more efficient alternative [6,7].

In 2020, many countries reported significant disruptions to essential health services, with nearly half of these services affected on average [8]. To mitigate the risks of face-toface healthcare interactions and reduce the spread of COVID-19, telemedicine emerged as a crucial alternative, helping to minimize unnecessary exposure, control the virus's spread and manage patient surges in hospitals and clinics globally [9]. For instance, in the United States, over 50 medical centers have adopted eHealth platforms to support physicians in managing outpatient care remotely [10]. Moreover, various trials and

studies, such as the SB-TEAM randomized controlled trial in New York, have demonstrated the effectiveness of telemedicine in specific contexts, like asthma management in children [11].

Application of telemedicine extends beyond real-time interactions, with both synchronous and asynchronous systems being utilized [12]. Synchronous telemedicine platforms enable real-time video sessions between patients and physicians, facilitating remote visual examinations and consultations [13]. Asynchronous telemedicine, on the other hand, allows for communication that does not require simultaneous connection, such as the interpretation of diagnostic tests or email correspondence, making it ideal for nonurgent medical cases or routine follow-ups [14].

The pandemic also spurred advancements in robotic technologies, mobile health (mHealth) applications and mobile integrated healthcare programs. These innovations have provided critical support in delivering healthcare services during times of social isolation and quarantine, particularly in regions with limited access to healthcare [7,15,16]. Health and fitness applications also gained popularity, helping have individuals maintain physical and psychological well-being during lockdowns [17,18]. Furthermore, medical applications and contact tracing apps have become essential tools in managing the pandemic, offering remote access to healthcare and helping track the spread of the virus [19].

As we transition into the post-COVID-19 era, telemedicine is anticipated to continue influencing healthcare providers, policymakers and patients alike [20]. The widespread adoption of telemedicine platforms has shown potentials in reducing resource use, such as Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), enhancing access to healthcare and decreasing the risk of direct

person-to-person transmission of COVID-19 [21,22]. Additionally, telemedicine offers significant benefits for the healthcare workforce, including reducing burnout, supporting workforce sustainability, and minimizing exposure to infectious diseases [23]. The full integration of telemedicine into global healthcare systems has however faced challenges, particularly in low and middleincome countries, where new health inequalities may arise based on income and access to technology [24].

Despite the proven effectiveness of telemedicine in healthcare delivery, its adoption remains limited in many settings. This review aims to explore the barriers and facilitators influencing of the use technological innovations in telemedicine, assess its utilization in the post-COVID era, and analyze its benefits and drawbacks as we move forward in a rapidly changing healthcare landscape [25].

Methodology

This review employs a rapid evidence synthesis methodology to explore the application and impact of telemedicine during and after the COVID-19 pandemic. The focus is on secondary data sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings and official reports, to comprehensively evaluate the integration and effectiveness of telemedicine in clinical practice.

A systematic search was conducted across major academic databases, including Google Scholar, PubMed and ScienceDirect. to identify relevant studies published between 2019 and December 2022. The search strategy was designed to capture the breadth of literature on telemedicine, utilizing a combination of keywords such as "eHealth," "telemedicine," "telehealth," "mobile health," "digital care," "COVID-19," "coronavirus 2019" and "pandemic."

Boolean operators (AND/OR) were employed to refine the search and ensure the inclusion of studies that specifically address the research questions related to telemedicine's role during the pandemic and its subsequent evolution.

From the initial search, a total of 500 articles were identified. These articles were then screened for relevance, with duplicates removed and studies not directly related to the research objectives excluded. This rigorous screening process resulted in the selection of 50 high-quality studies that were deemed most relevant for this review. The selected studies encompass a range of research designs, including conceptual papers, literature reviews, quantitative and qualitative research and experimental studies, each contributing empirical evidence and/or academic firmness on the application of telemedicine in clinical settings during and post-COVID-19.

Eligibility Criteria

To ensure the inclusion of only the most pertinent studies, the following eligibility criteria were applied:

Inclusion Criteria:

- i. Studies published in the English language.
- ii. Peer-reviewed journal articles,conference proceedings and officialdocument reports.
- iii. Publications dated between 2019 andDecember 2022.
- iv. Studies that directly address application of telemedicine during and after the COVID-19 pandemic, as indicated by the title and abstract.
- v. A variety of study types, including conceptual literature, systematic reviews, quantitative and qualitative research and experimental studies that

provide empirical evidence on telemedicine.

Exclusion Criteria:

i. Duplicated or similar studies, with preference given to the most current and comprehensive versions.

ii. Studies that lack theoretical, empirical, or statistical evidence.

iii. Studies that do not specifically address the application of telemedicine during or after the COVID-19 pandemic.

Results/Discussion

Telemedicine has been recognized as an essential tool during the COVID-19 pandemic, providing a critical alternative to traditional healthcare delivery methods. Although telemedicine had been gradually integrated into healthcare systems worldwide for over a decade, the pandemic significantly accelerated its adoption. Healthcare practitioners globally are now utilizing telemedicine and eHealth applications to continue providing care amid restrictions and challenges posed by the pandemic [9]. The use of telemedicine is expected to persist beyond the pandemic, even in developing countries, where it has the potential to reduce healthcare delivery costs, decrease hospital visit times and enable remote monitoring and follow-up care [26].

Moreover. telemedicine supports the advancement of the right to health and contributes to the achievement of the United Nations Universal Health Coverage (UHC) Agenda under the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 3.8, which seeks to improve access to healthcare services for all [4]. The growth of telemedicine notwithstanding been has impeded by restrictive administrative regulations and the absence of solid legal especially developing frameworks, in countries. These challenges, along with

limited economic investment in technological resources and reluctance from both providers and patients to adopt telemedicine, have significantly slowed its expansion [8,27].

While telemedicine offers substantial benefits, such as remote assessment and continuity of care, it also presents some challenges. Some healthcare providers have raised concerns regarding patient privacy policies and whether telemedicine assessments meet the necessary standards for comprehensive medical examinations [28,29]. Additionally, the technology's reliance on smart devices necessitates that both patients and healthcare providers learn to use these platforms effectively, which can be a barrier to widespread adoption [30]. In developing countries, the lack of a fully developed legal framework to regulate the use of telemedicine and other innovative IT solutions in healthcare poses a significant barrier [31]. Furthermore, the absence of supportive legislation, inadequate healthcare infrastructure and financial barriers such as high treatment costs and insufficient insurance coverage for telemedicine services exacerbate these challenges [32,33]. These issues are particularly pronounced in remote and rural areas, where the penetration of smart devices and the expansion of 3G/4G internet networks are limited, making the full adoption of telemedicine difficult [34].

Technical challenges, such as unreliable internet access, weak Wi-Fi signals, and insufficient bandwidth, further hinder the effective use of telemedicine [35,36]. In some cases, healthcare providers have had to rely on phone calls for consultations due to unstable videoconferencing signals, highlighting the need for improved technological infrastructure to support telemedicine fully.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The widespread adoption of telemedicine during the COVID-19 pandemic has

REVIEW

highlighted its transformative potentials in healthcare delivery. By enabling remote consultations, reducing the necessity for inperson visits, and ensuring continuity of care during global disruptions, telemedicine has proven to be an effective alternative to traditional healthcare methods. For telemedicine to sustain its growth and become a long-term solution, especially in low and middle-income countries, several significant barriers must be addressed. To foster the continued expansion and integration of telemedicine into global healthcare systems, it is crucial to strengthen legal frameworks, particularly in developing regions, to regulate its use with clear guidelines on patient privacy, data security, and practice standards. Additionally, there is pressing need for investments in а technological infrastructure to enhance internet connectivity and expand digital access in remote areas. Furthermore, training and education programs are essential for both

healthcare providers and patients to effectively use telemedicine platforms, making them accessible and user-friendly. Lastly, addressing financial barriers such as insufficient high treatment costs and insurance coverage is vital to encourage the broader adoption of telemedicine. Through these targeted actions, telemedicine can continue to play a pivotal role in improving healthcare access, reducing costs, and enhancing patients' outcome in the evolving post-pandemic world.

References

- 1. Bokolo AJ. Application of telemedicine and eHealth technology for clinical services in response to COVID-19 pandemic. Health Technol. 2021;11(2):359–66.
- 2. Davies B, Kenia P, Nagakumar P, Gupta A. Paediatric and adolescent asthma: A narrative review of telemedicine and emerging technologies for the post-COVID-19 era. Clin Exp Allergy. 2021;51(3):393–401.
- 3. Okereafor K, Adebola O, Djehaiche R. Exploring the potentials of telemedicine and other non-contact electronic health technologies in controlling the spread of the novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19). Int J IT Eng (IJITE). 2020;8(4).
- 4. World Health Organization. WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard With Vaccination Data. [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2022 [cited 2022 Aug 18]. Available from: https://covid19.who.int/
- 5. NCDC. COVID-19 Situation Report: Situation Report 95. Nigeria Centre for

Disease Control. 2022;95(June):1–4. Available from: https://ncdc.gov.ng/themes/common/files/sit reps/fe61a5d7bba46e835a7f6d09bd5343c8. pdf

- Moazzami B, Razavi-Khorasani N, Moghadam AD, Farokhi E, Rezaei N. COVID-19 and telemedicine: Immediate action required for maintaining healthcare providers well-being. J Clin Virol. 2020;126:104345.
- 7. Adeleke IT, Suleiman-Abdul QB. Research, communication and education for health information in the New Normal: the pains and gains of COVID-19 in Nigeria. Intl J Health Recs & Info Mgt. 2020;3(1):1-3.
- Matamala-Gomez M, Bottiroli S, Realdon O, Riva G, Galvagni L, Platz T, et al. Telemedicine and Virtual Reality at Time of COVID-19 Pandemic: An Overview for Future Perspectives in Neurorehabilitation. Front Neurol. 2021;12:646902.
- Hollander JE, Carr BG. Virtually perfect? Telemedicine for COVID19. N Engl J Med. 2020;382:1679–81.
- Kotian RP, Faujdar D, Kotian SP, Dsouza B. Knowledge and understanding among medical students and interns about telemedicine in India: A questionnaire-based cross-sectional study. Indian J Public Health. 2020;64(3):260–4.
- Halterman JS, Fagnano M, Tajon RS, Tremblay PJ, Wang H, Butz AM, et al. Effect of the School-Based Telemedicine Enhanced Asthma Management (SB-TEAM) Program on Asthma Morbidity: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Pediatr. 2018;172(3).
- Chauhan V, Galwankar S, Arquilla B, Garg M, Somma SD, El-Menyar A, et al. Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19): Leveraging Telemedicine to Optimize Care While Minimizing Exposures and Viral Transmission. J Emerg Trauma Shock. 2020;13(1):20–4.
- Shokri A, Lighthall GK. Telemedicine in the era of the COVID-19 pandemic: Implications in facial plastic surgery. Facial Plast Surg Aesthetic Med. 2020;22(3):155–6.
- 14. Shen Y. Digital Technology-Based Telemedicine for the COVID-19 Pandemic. 2021;1–56.
- Wang X, Wang L. A literature survey of the robotic technologies during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Manuf Syst. 2021;60:823–36.

- Abbaspur-Behbahani S, Monaghesh E, Hajizadeh A, Fehresti S. Application of mobile health to support the elderly during the COVID-19 outbreak: A systematic review. Health Policy Technol. 2020;100595.
- Banskota S, Healy M, Goldberg EM. 15 Smartphone Apps for Older Adults to Use While in Isolation During the COVID-19 Pandemic. West J Emerg Med. 2020;21(3):514–25.
- Sani N, Adeleke IT, Muhammad AA, Mu'azu S. Psycho-social impacts of COVID-19 pandemic in developing countries: a scoping review. Int J Health Recs & Info Mgt. 2021;5(1):3-9.
- Kondylakis H, Haridimos D, Kouroubali D, Logothetidis A, Triantafyllidis F, Kalamaras A. COVID-19 mobile apps: a systematic review of the literature. J Med Internet Res. 2020;22(12).
- 20. Whaibeh E, Mahmoud H, Naal H. Telemental health in the context of a pandemic: the COVID-19 experience. Curr Treat Options Psychiatry. 2020;7(2):198–202.
- Kapoor A, Guha S, Das MK, Goswami KC, Yadav R. Digital healthcare: The only solution for better healthcare during COVID-19 pandemic?. Indian Heart J. 2020;72(2):61–4.
- 22. Pecchia L, Piaggio D, Maccaro A, Formisano C, Iadanza E. The inadequacy of regulatory frameworks in time of crisis and in low-resource settings: Personal Protective Equipment and COVID-19. Health Technol. 2020;10(6):1375–83.
- Neubek L, Hansen T, Jaarsma T, Klompstra L, Gallagher R. Delivering healthcare remotely to cardiovascular patients during COVID-19: A rapid review of the evidence. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2020;1474515120924530.
- 24. Golinelli D, Boetto E, Carullo G, Landini MP, Fantini MP. How the COVID-19 pandemic is favoring the adoption of digital technologies in healthcare: a rapid literature review. medRxiv. 2020 Jan 1.
- 25. Li W, Jalali MS. How Telemedicine Integrated into China's Anti-COVID-19 Strategies: Case from a National Referral Center. Telemed e-Health. 2020;26(9):1028– 34.
- Adejumo OA, Adejumo OA. Prospects of telemedicine during and post COVID-19: Highlighting the environmental health

implications. Malawi Med J. 2020;32(4):235–8.

- 27. Dorsey ER, Topol EJ. Telemedicine 2020 and the next decade. Lancet. 2020;395(10227):859.
- Triantafillou V, Rajasekaran K. A commentary on the challenges of telemedicine for head and neck oncologic patients during COVID-19. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2020;163(1):81–2.
- 29. Adeleke IT, Adekanye AO, Adebisi AA, James JA, Omokanye SA, Babalola A. Patients' health records in research: perceptions and preferences of Nigerian patients. IMAN Medical Journal. 2018;4(1):16-28.
- Shankar M, Fischer M, Brown-Johnson CG, Safaeinili N, Haverfield MC, Shaw JG, et al. Humanism in telemedicine: Connecting through virtual visits during the COVID-19 pandemic. Ann Fam Med. 2022;20(1):62–5.
- 31. Golinelli D, Boetto E, Carullo G, Landini MP, Fantini MP. How the COVID-19 pandemic is favoring the adoption of digital technologies in healthcare: A rapid literature review. medRxiv. 2020.
- 32. Hong YR, Lawrence J, Williams D Jr, Mainous AG. Population-level interest and telehealth capacity of US hospitals in response to COVID-19: Cross-sectional

analysis of Google search and National Hospital Survey Data. JMIR Public Health Surveill. 2020;6(2).

- 33. Keshvardoost, S., Bahaadinbeigy, K., & Fatehi, F. Role of Telehealth in the Management of COVID-19: Lessons Learned from Previous SARS, MERS, and Ebola Outbreaks. Telemedicine and e-Health, 2020.
- 34. Loeb AE, Rao SS, Ficke JR, Morris CD, Riley LH 3rd, Levin AS. Departmental experience and lessons learned with accelerated introduction of telemedicine during the COVID-19 crisis. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2020;28(11)–e476.
- Elkaddoum R, Haddad FG, Eid R, Kourie HR. Telemedicine for cancer patients during COVID-19 pandemic: Between threats and opportunities. Future Oncol. 2020;16(18):1225–7.
- Chou E, Hsieh YL, Wolfshohl J, Green F, Bhakta T. Onsite telemedicine strategy for coronavirus (COVID-19) screening to limit exposure in ED. Emerg Med J. 2020;37(6):335–7.

Nil conflict of interest

