

# The Great Tripartite Values (Matt. 23:23-24): Neglected But More Important for the Sustainability of any Relationship

Ezeogamba Anthony

(revtonyiyke@coou.edu.ng)

Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University (COOU)  
Anambra State

**Abstract:** *No matter how big a box appears, only a right key, no matter how little, inserted at the right place opens it. According to Biblical account, the Law which God gave to mankind through Moses (Exod. 24:12) is not just for fun but for their togetherness with one another and with God. The Law of God is useful to the extent that its spirit is kept. Law kept without the right motive is as useless as the breaking of the said law. Jesus knows that the Scribes and Pharisees occupy the chair of Moses and rarely practice what they teach with the right motive. Their irresponsibility in the face of the law is responsible for the battered image of the Law and scattered nature of the people of God and their subsequent appearance like sheep without shepherds (Matt. 9:36-37; 23:37-39). This is because they neglected the weightier part of the law namely justice, mercy, and faithfulness. The aim of this paper is to show that the divisions we have today in our relationships with one another and with God are because of a lack of justice, mercy, and faithfulness in keeping promises. The paper argues that if these tripartite values are invoked anew in our relationships with God and ourselves, we would be better for it. Significantly, this work will be of immense benefit to everyone who is in a relationship. The method we shall employ is library research which is mainly the exegesis of Matt. 23:23-24.*

**Keywords:** Justice, Mercy, Faithfulness, and Relationship

## Introduction

Relationships<sup>1</sup> must necessarily occur between God and humanity as well as between persons. Hence, the existence of the vertical and horizontal relationships. Genesis to Revelation is a true history of God's relationship with humanity and portrays God's impeccable faithfulness to humanity and humanity's unfaithfulness to him in their relationship at various periods in the history of the existence of persons on the surface of the earth (vertical relationship). The binding wire that keeps God and human beings in a relationship from its inception till now is based on the unconditional love God has for humanity. The relationship between human beings can be described as the connection between two or more people or groups and their involvement with one another, especially as regards the way they behave towards and feel about one another (horizontal relationship). This embraces the way people are related by birth, adoption, and or marriage<sup>2</sup> as well as every other relationship including friendship and in fact every level of relationship between people.

An authentic relationship can only be sustained by justice, mercy, and faithfulness. A relationship that lacks any of these is heading to doom. These virtues are inseparably related to the extent that to miss one is to miss the rest. A tripod stand can't stand on one or two legs but on three for optimal functioning. It is no exaggeration to say that humanity lost her relationship with God and subsequently lost the comfort of the Garden of Eden (Gen. 2:15-25) as a result of losing those tripartite values that had sustained the original relationship God had with mankind (Gen 3:6-11). Hence, humanity fell apart with God and with one another as a result of leaving outside of those values. This is the origin of divisions and wars between people. Jesus, the eternal Word of God took flesh and dwelt among us (John 1:1, 14) with the sole aim of returning them back to their original root; to make them appreciate anew those values they have been neglecting. Jesus was the only one who could do this because he was in good communion with God. The implication is that his relationship with God was familial and unshakable. This is obvious because Jesus has as his armor these tripartite values namely, justice, mercy, and faithfulness to his credit. It is these tripartite values that he struggled throughout his earthly sojourn to impart to his followers and thus change the statuesque that is being sustained among the Jews by Scribes and Pharisees. These two groups were the interpreters of the Law and those who took it upon themselves to put into full practice what the interpreters have interpreted. This they ostensibly practiced but in actual fact, they fundamentally missed the essence of keeping the interpreted rules. The essences of the law they failed to practice were what Jesus summarized as justice, mercy, and faithfulness.

## The Scribes, Pharisees, and Relationship

---

<sup>1</sup> Ezeogamba Anthony, "Reconciliation (Matt. 5: 21-26): Inevitable Condition for Authentic Worship of God" In Ngozi Chuma-Udeh (ed.), ANSU Journal of Arts And Humanities, Vol. 2. No. 2 (July 2015), 214-222.

<sup>2</sup> Microsoft Encarta 2009. 1993-2008 Microsoft Corporation.

Jesus met three main Jewish sects during his earthly ministry and including the Pharisees, Sadducees, and Essenes. Each of these has its schools and followers. Our interest is restricted to the first sect, that is, the Pharisees for the sake of this article. Scribes are not actually a sect in Judaism but lawyers and professionals that read and interpret the law. One can rightly say without any fear of contradiction that most scribes were Pharisees adhering to the strict interpretation of the law (Matt 23:2,13-15). Hence, they were normally seen or grouped together. While the Scribes are the interpreters of the law and Pharisees are those that put it into practice by strictly following the instructions of Deut. 6:4-7. The Pharisee sect is rightly called the Law experts and quarrelled with Jesus more than any other group because of their blind obedience to the Law or rather because they saw Jesus as a threat to their position. They never had it easy with Jesus and hence received the highest condemnations from Jesus (Matt 6:2, 5,16; 15:7; 23). A Pharisee is like an 'I' specialist, singing his own praises, off-key, of course! The Pharisee of Luke 18:9-14 was telling God about all the good things he was doing for him, "praying, fasting, tithing, keeping laws" (Luke 18:12). He was almost demanding God to admire and approve of him.<sup>3</sup> They are the group John the Baptist called "brood of vipers" who rested complacently in their having Abraham as their progenitor (Matt 3:7-8). They are exact observers of the Law of Moses. They have a lot to do with the Hasidaeans. The Hasidaeans, a transcription of the Hebrew chasideim, - (Hasidim) i.e., pious ones, were a society of men zealous for religion, who acted under the guidance of the Scribes, in opposition to the godless Hellenizing party (Sadducees); they scrupled to oppose the legitimate High Priest even when he was on the Greek side. Their fundamental principle was complete separation from non-Jewish elements, they were the strictly legal party among the Jews, and were ultimately the more popular and influential party. Hence, legalism is their watchword.<sup>4</sup> It is no exaggeration to say that they follow the law sheepishly. They follow Law without understanding the spirit of the Law or the Lawgiver. Their interest is in the letters of the Law. It is this aspect of their life that made them always to be in opposition to Jesus' ministry. Josephus observed that when the Jewish people faced an important decision, they relied on the opinion of the Pharisees rather than on that of the king or high priest.<sup>5</sup> They are not completely bad but their problem was the problem of ignorance but never know it. Jesus even in the midst of his anger against them recognized that they are righteous but that their righteousness was not enough hence he urged his followers that their righteousness must surpass that of the Scribes and Pharisees (Matt. 5:20). Jesus was not against them for keeping the minutia aspect of the law (Matt. 5:18) but for not doing even the most essential. Let us not forget that Paul (Saul) was a member of this sect before his conversion to Christianity (Phil 3:4-7; Gal 1:14). It is a fact that the most bitter enemies the apostles met during their missionary enterprise were the Hellenist Jews, who were mostly proselytes (Acts 13:45; 14:2-19; 17:5; 18:6). For instance, Paul, a disciple of the Pharisees, was exceedingly mad against the Christians during his struggle to uphold the traditions of the elders (Acts 26:11). In resume', Matthew Henry has this to say about the Pharisees, they "did not like a religion which insisted so much on humility, self-denial, contempt of the world, and spiritual worship."<sup>6</sup>

As it affects the Scribes, it was Jeremiah who wrote, "The false pen of the scribes has turned the law of Yahweh into a lie."<sup>7</sup> This reveals that their deviation from the law did not start from today. In the NT era "a scribe is a scholar and the intellectual of Judaism, who receives the title rabbi. His scholarship was the knowledge of the law, which he regarded as the sum of wisdom and the only true learning. His position in the Jewish community was a respected position of leadership."<sup>8</sup> That is why as teachers of the law, how they taught was used to compare how Jesus taught his audience (Matt. 7: 29). In resume', they are sworn enemies of Christ this is to the extent that they were among the arresting party that Jesus faced (Mark 14:43). We shall still mention other qualities of Scribes and Pharisees in the course of this work.

Anyone who reads Matt. 23 alone without reading the preceding chapters and the ones that are following after it may most likely describe Jesus as an erratic man. No wonder Barclay in his effort to explain the anger of Jesus in Matthew 23 says,

If a man is characteristically and temperamentally an irritable, ill-tempered, and irascible creature, notoriously given to uncontrolled outbursts of passionate anger, his anger is neither effective nor impressive. Nobody pays any attention to the anger of a bad-tempered man. But when a person who is characteristically meek and lowly, gentle and loving, suddenly erupts into blazing wrath, even the most thoughtless person is shocked into taking thought. That is why the anger of Jesus

---

<sup>3</sup> Vima Dasam, His Word Lives (Mumbai: St Paul Press, 1999), 464-465.

<sup>4</sup> W.E.Vine, Vine's Expository Dictionary of Old & New Testament words (Nashville: Thomas Nelson Pub., 1997), 853

<sup>5</sup> J.I. Packer and M.C. Tenney (Eds.), Nelson's Super Value Series: Illustrated Manners and Customs of the Bible (Nashville: Thomas Nelson Pub., 1980), 506.

<sup>6</sup> Matthew Henry, "Pharisees" in [www.biblestudytools.com](http://www.biblestudytools.com). (accessed on 6th October, 2016)

<sup>7</sup> John L. Mckenzie, "Scribes" in Dictionary of the Bible (Bangalore: St Paul's Press, 2002), 780.

<sup>8</sup> Mckenzie, "Scribes," 780

is so awe-inspiring a sight. It is seldom in the literature that we find so unsparing and sustained an indictment as we find in this chapter when the wrath of Jesus is directed against the Scribes and Pharisees.<sup>9</sup>

Jesus was angry because, to him whom much is given, much is expected from him. Jesus knows that Scribes and Pharisees were sitting on Moses' seat (Matt. 23:2). But they were not behaving like the one they were representing hence he was disappointed and warned his followers ". . . never to do as they were doing for they do not practice what they preach" (23:3b). Jesus did not, therefore, mince words in enumerating all the things he had against them (23:4-36). One of the things the scribes and Pharisees never joked about was the paying of tithe, even before the arrival of Christ on earth. As a separated group, they don't encourage relationships either with God or others, they only know themselves.

**Tithe and Relationship:** Tithe comes from the Hebrew word 'āšar 'to tithe', and 'to be rich.' The word 'ten' is its derivative. The basic principle in tithing is the acknowledgment that everything rightly belongs to God, including a man's own property, and that men are only stewards. The tithe is a token brought to honour and to recognize him as the owner (Lev. 27:30-33).<sup>10</sup> Tithe resembles the taxes we pay today.

It is a responsibility that is not ethnically bound. It transcends Judaism. Tithing was very common throughout the ancient Near East, especially in Mesopotamia where Neo-Babylonian texts from the sixth century BC discuss the collection of tithes as a means of supporting a sanctuary. Where sanctuary included a sacred banquet and the personnel namely the Levites and Chief Priests.<sup>11</sup> In the OT the tithe appears as a religious tax; the practice is old and not limited to Israel, the tithes paid to Melchizedek by Abraham (Gen. 14:20) and promised to Yahweh by Jacob (Gen. 28:22) may well represent old cultic practices. The oldest certain allusion to tithes is Amos 4:4 which mentions tithes paid at Bethel.<sup>12</sup> Deut. 14:22-29 spelt out clearly what tithe is all about. It presents tithe also as the tenth part of one's annual income which was mainly farm products. It was meant to be presented to a place designated by Yahweh as his dwelling place but it was the presenter of the tithe that makes merry with the tithe as he wishes, but without neglecting the Levites. Furthermore, during the time of Ezra and Nehemiah, the tithe precisely was a tax collected at the Temple to support the priests and Levites (Neh. 10:37-38; 12:44; 13:5, 12).

Deut. 26: 12-15 carried this further by expressing clearly those who are to benefit from the paid tithe especially the ones paid in the third year. Those to benefit from the tithe include the Levites, the resident aliens, the orphans, and the widows. Deut. 26:13 says after paying the tithe to speak thus, "I have removed the sacred portion from the house, and I have given it to the Levites . . ." The tithes people paid then were also meant to furnish the Temple. Numbers 18:21-32 mandates the Levites to collect all tithes from Israelites and pay the tithe of tithes to Aaron and other ways of making use of it. This may tell us why the scribes and Pharisees are always in good relationships with the Levites and the Chief Priests. They were partners because they enjoy the fruit of tithing together. Anyone therefore who attacks the scribes and Pharisees was indirectly touching the pockets of the Levites and Chief Priest. Thus, a Pharisee does not joke with his tithes (Luke 18: 11,12; Matt. 23:23). He sees the payment of tithes as keeping God's commandment, and not to do that was seen as stealing from God (Mal. 2:8-10). Jesus never condemned the payment of tithes but was against taking the payment of tithes as supreme legislation or as the only thing that is necessary.

**Exegesis of Matthew 23:23,24.** Our interest here is to exegetically study Matt. 23:23-24 so as to understand the true meaning of its contents.

### **The Unity of Matt. 23:23-24**

As regards the structure of Matthew, scholars vary so much. There is, therefore, no generally accepted structure of this Gospel among scholars. For this write-up, we shall adopt a six-fold structure without minding the five discourses and five narratives that appear alternatively with one another in the Gospel. This structure is based on the geographical location of the Messiah and his followers as he evangelized.<sup>13</sup> They include: (1) The Introduction of the Messiah (1:1-4:11); (2) The revelation of the Messiah in words and deeds in Galilee (4:12-16:20); (3) From Galilee to Jerusalem, the Messiah and his followers prepare for the confrontation

---

<sup>9</sup> William Barclay, *The Gospel of Matthew, Volume Two - Chapters 11-28* (Bangalore: Theological Pub. 1997), 280-281

<sup>10</sup> Robertson Irvine, "Tithe" in Charles F. Pfeiffer, Howard F. Vos, John Rea (Eds.), *Wycliffe Bible Dictionary* (Massachusetts: Hendrickson Pub. 2005), 1718

<sup>11</sup> Marvin A. Sweeney, "Tithe" in Paul J. Archtemeier (Ed.), *Harper's Bible Dictionary* (Bangalore: Theological Pub. 1994), 1078.

<sup>12</sup> McKenzie, "Scribes," 894.

<sup>13</sup> Anthony Ezeogamba, "If All Christians Were Really Salt and Light (Matt 5:13-16): Corruption Would Have Been Eradicated From the World" in *The International Journal of Arts and Sciences*, Vol. 8, No. 7. 2015 (<http://universitypublications.net/ijas/087/index.html>), 269

(16:21-20:34); (4) the Messiah is seen in confrontation with the religious authorities in Jerusalem (21:1-25:46); (5) The rejection, killing and vindication of the Messiah in Jerusalem (26:1-28:15); (6) the launching of the Messianic mission in Galilee (28:16-20).<sup>14</sup>

Our interest here lies in the fourth section which deals with Jesus' confrontation with the religious authorities namely the scribes and Pharisees as well as sanctuary officers. This section can still be broken down into five subsections namely: (1) the beginning of the confrontation (21:1-27); (2) the three polemical parables (21:28-22:14); (3) the three challenges and a counterchallenge (22:15-46); (4) Jesus' verdict on Jerusalem and its leadership (23:1-24:2); (5) and finally, the end of the old order and the reign of the Son of man (24:3-25:46). The fourth subsection where the unit we want to analyse lies can also be divided further into four sections namely (a) warning against the Scribes and Pharisees (23:1-12); (b) seven woes on the Scribes and Pharisees (23:13-36); (c) judgement on Jerusalem (23:37-39); (d) Jesus leaves the temple and predicts its destruction (24:1-2). Again the present writer is not dealing with the whole woes Jesus heaped on the Scribes and Pharisees but the very smaller unit vv 23-24 which deals with the fourth woe. The two verses are closely knit together to the extent that they melt into each other. One throws more light on the understanding of the other. We shall now treat them verse by verse.

#### The Fourth Woes (Vv 23-24)

This is a smaller unit that deals also with the meticulous concern for detail which leaves the essential principle of relationship (with God and man) undone. Put differently, this section exposes the strictness and preciseness with which the Scribes and Pharisees carry out small matters of the law and how careless and loose they are in weightier matters. Thus, it is good to note that chapter 23 began by acknowledging the exalted social and religious position of the Scribes and Pharisees (v.2). Part of the anger of Jesus was that they have not been able to use it to effect justice, hence should be humbled (v.12; 9:36; cf. Ezek 34).<sup>15</sup> Jesus was annoyed against them because they felt to use their exalted position to show mercy and understand the plight of people around them, hence they went about interpreting the law wrongly (Matt. 12:1-14; 15:1-20). Hence, "It is bad to keep away from Christ ourselves, but it is worse to keep others from him . . . they do not love that any should go beyond them in religion or be better than they. Their not going in was a hindrance to many; for, they have so great an influence on the people, multitudes rejected the gospel only because their leaders did."<sup>16</sup> This reminds one of the questions the Sanhedrin asked the officers who were asked to go and arrest Jesus, ". . . have any of the rulers, or of the Pharisees, believed on him?" (John 7:47-49).

So verse 23 like the previous verses (vv 13 and 14) has *ouai humin* - "woe to you". These verses parallel Isa. 10:1,2. 'Woe' is an expression of dissatisfaction by one who has a better knowledge of what the other has been doing blindly and feels that he has been doing well. It is also a feeling of disappointment by a supervisor/superior over one who ought to have known the right thing. Jesus abused Scribes and Pharisees not because they pay tithes, no! but because in doing that they neglected and indeed did away with the true meaning of the 'prophets and law' which is 'love of God and love of neighbour' (Math. 7:12; 22:37-40). The implication is that religious practices must necessarily elicit cordial relationships. One who worships God must have been one who is at home with his fellow men and women. Jesus eventually through his death on the cross reconciled the Jews and Gentiles, thus condemning and destroying all barriers that negative religious understanding had previously caused. Through this means, he made those who believed in him to be members of the same family (Eph. 2:11-22). It is in the process of achieving this goal that he had to pronounce 'woe' to whoever he feels that had been blocking this achievement.

The *ouai humin* was directed against the *grammateis* and *Pharisaioi* (scribes and Pharisees). Both nouns are in the plural which tells us that Jesus was not actually addressing a particular scribe or Pharisee but all of them because the action he was fighting against was very rampant among them. He called them *hupokritai* (hypocrites). This is also in the plural hence he addresses all of them as such. Thus presenting them as people who are feigning being highly principled yet they are far from that. One who pretends to have admirable qualities, principles, and beliefs but unfortunately behaves otherwise or differently. A "hypocrite is a stage player, in religion, he who personates or acts the part of one that he neither is nor may be. Their religion is vain."<sup>17</sup> This explains the meaning of this prayer by a Pharisee, "God, I thank you that I am not like other people: thieves, rogues, adulterers, or even like this tax collector. I fast twice a week; I give a tenth of all my income" (Luke 18: 11-12). In the light of the above, Henry describes the Pharisees thus,

For a pretence they made long prayers; very long indeed, if it is true which some of the Jewish writers tell us, that they spent three hours at a time in the formalities of meditation and prayer and did it thrice every day, which is more than an

<sup>14</sup> R. T. France, The New International Commentary on the New Testament, The Gospel of Matthew (Michigan: Grand Rapids 2007), vii-xv.

<sup>15</sup> Carter Warren, Matthew and the Margins, A Sociopolitical and Religious Reading (India: Theological Pub. 2007), 459

<sup>16</sup> Matthew Henry, "Exegesis of Matt. 23:23-24" in www.biblestudytools.com (accessed on 6th October, 2016)

<sup>17</sup> Matthew Henry, "Exegesis of Matt. 23:23-24"

upright soul, that makes conscience of being inward with God in the duty, dares pretend ordinarily to do; but to the Pharisees, it was enough, who never made a business of the duty, and always made a trade of the outside of it. Through this craft, they got their wealth and maintained their grandeur.<sup>18</sup>

The scribes and Pharisees are hypocritical like circumcision was the clock of the Shechemites' covetousness (Gen. 34:22-26); again the payment of a vow in Hebrew the cover of Absalom's rebellion (2 Sam. 15:7) against his father; furthermore, a fast was declared in Jazreel so as to cover Naboth's murder, and easy acquisition of his vineyard (1 Kings 21: 9-15); a celibate with a hidden child and invariably a hidden wife. These are all hypocritical actions.

Why did Jesus address them as hypocrites? This Jesus portrays with the verb *apodekatoute* - "you tithe", Second person plural, present active indicative from the verb *apodekatoō* 'to tithe'. It implies giving a tenth part of one's annual earnings. Jesus never quarrelled with the idea of tithing but with *modus operandi*. They were very exact in paying tithes which ordinarily would have received commendations if they were not doing it just to be seen and admired by all and if they were doing that together with other things that are commanded by the law. Alternatively, if they had followed the spirit of paying tithe, they would have been admired positively. It is wrong to be just to the priests and Levites that receive the tithes and at the same time cheat and defraud every other person. To act and behave that way is to mock God.

In trying to attract people to themselves they tithe even things that were never prescribed by the law for instance, 'mint,' the Ethiopic version of the manuscript reads 'hyssop.' Mint is a garden herb. It was used to sprinkle the floors of their houses and synagogues to produce a pleasant fragrance. Another herb they devoted their time to tithing is dill or anise. Instead of 'anise' Munster's Hebrew Gospel has 'rue' which in the Mishna is mentioned along with 'mint' as it is by Luke 11:42. 'Anise' has a fine aromatic smell, and is used by confectioners and perfumers. Another garden herb that attracts their attention is 'cummin' - a sort of anise.<sup>19</sup> This is a plant of the same genus, as 'fennel' and is used for similar purposes. From the explanations already given, it is clear that these are all herbs of little value. In Deut. 14:22-29; 26:12-15; Lev. 27:30-33; Num. 18:21-32; Mal 3:8-12 the law of Moses states that they should pay tithes of the fruits of the earth and never precisely or specifically did any of the above-mentioned herbs listed above passages.<sup>20</sup> But despite the fact that they were not mentioned, the scribes and Pharisees maintained that they ought to be tithed hence their constant boast, "I give tithes of all that I possess" (Luke 18:12). Jesus was like saying, "they were precise in doing small matters which the law had not expressly commanded, while they omitted the greater things of which it had enjoined."<sup>21</sup> Again, Jesus has no objection to tithing to the extent of minutiae, "what he objects to is the unbalanced piety which sets great store by these relatively insignificant rules but misses the things that really matter."<sup>22</sup> They were obsessed with the most trifling products of the earth and missed the most essential. This verse has its parallel in Luke 11:42 where 'rue' was added and all manner of herbs as well. Their rigid interpretation no doubt was based on Lev 27:30.

This over-emphasis on the law of tithing and even on over-tithing made them to *aphēkate* which is second person plural first aorist, active indicative from the word *aphiēmi* "to have left or neglected". According to France, by the use of the word, "neglect' emphasis is placed on the 'weightier matters' as the primary obligation, leaving the acceptance of the tithing rules as the minor element, perhaps to be read more as a concession than as enthusiastic endorsement."<sup>23</sup> Thus, Jesus regarded other things that have been occupying their minds as trivial and sees the ones they left undone as the essences of the law. He sees those they have neglected as the weightier matters. 'Weightier or heavier matters' do not indicate that they were more difficult or harder to practice than the ones he termed trifles. Weightier from the point of view of encouraging more the relationship that ought to exist between God and mankind and trifles from the point of view that they encourage relationship only between the scribes, Pharisees, and Levites, and not even among all of them.

Jesus went ahead to list the heavier or weightier matters and they include *krisin*, *eleos*, and *pistin*. These are partially rendered into the English language as judgement, mercy, and, faithfulness respectively. It is good to mention rightly that no English word captures the full implications of those Greek words. The ones we have is an attempt to interpret them. There are other aspects of the law that appear also very important are even equivalent to the above-mentioned three and they include: "In everything do to others as you would have them do to you; for this is the law and the prophets" (Matt. 7:12). Acknowledge the one who comes in the name of the Lord (Matt. 23:37-39). And he said to him, "You shall love the Lord your God with all your heart, and with all your soul, and with all your mind,' This is the greatest and first commandment. And a second is like it, 'You shall love your neighbour as

---

<sup>18</sup> Matthew Henry, "Exegesis of Matt. 23:23-24"

<sup>19</sup> Grill's Exposition of the Entire Bible. [www.biblehub.com](http://www.biblehub.com) (accessed on 6th October, 2016)

<sup>20</sup> Warren, Matthew and the Margins, 458.

<sup>21</sup> Matthew Henry, "Exegesis of Matt. 23:23-24"

<sup>22</sup> France, The Gospel of Matthew, 872-873

<sup>23</sup> France, The Gospel of Matthew, 873.

---

yourself.' on these two commandments hang all the law and the prophets." (Matt. 22:37-39). This captures clearly the vertical and horizontal relationship we have already mentioned above which mercy, justice and faithfulness capture clearly.

*krisis*: This term readily translates the Hebrew *mišpat* which refers to fair judicial processes for the great, and little, wealthy and poor (Lev. 19:15; Deut 1:17), the rescue for the oppressed, and advocacy and protection for the widow, orphan, weak and poor (Isa. 1:17, 21-23; 3:13-15). These are nuances to the meaning of the word *krisis*. This is part of the reason why we said above that judgment or justice can't conveniently translate it. This word also denotes the eschatological day of accountability for doing or neglecting God's will (Matt. 5:21-22; 10:15; 11:22, 24; 12:36, 41-42).<sup>24</sup> One can say without equivocation that *krisis* can mean any of the following though not fully - justice, judgement, and condemnation.

The second heavier demand of the law is *pistis*: This is readily translated as 'faith' in English. But faith here does not necessarily mean only faith in God but also faithfulness, sincere and honest dealings with men, in opposition to fraud, cheating, and circumventions. It simply means saying yes and sticking to your yes-ness. It demands consistency in action. What a faithful one says in the morning is what he says all day long. What he says in the dark is what he repeats in the light. In covenant relationships as expressed in OT, from Genesis to the last book of OT, God is presented as the faithful one and mankind fluctuates, thus breaking the relationship from time to time.<sup>25</sup> This can be fully conceptualized if one reflects the promises a man and a woman make to one another at the altar during their wedding "I will be faithful to you, in both good and in bad times", but it is only God that knows what happens behind the scene after the wedding. *Pistis* also stands for openness to Jesus which seeks his power, trusting it to overcome obstacles, and transform situations of need (Matt. 8:10; 9:2, 22, 29; 15:28; 17:20; 21:21). It is piety towards God, and confidence in him. It means giving God his due.<sup>26</sup> Here, the word *pistis* means 'faithfulness' or 'trustworthiness' to God or fellow citizens.

The third of the heavier matters is *eleos*: This translates the Hebrew word - *hesed*: It can mean compassion or mercy. Mercy brings money, community, food, healing, forgiveness, and a new life (Matt. 9:13; 12:7). Religious leaders exhibit its lack in Matt 9:10-13; 12:1-8.<sup>27</sup> Mercy can mean charitable behaviour in helping the miserable and afflicted. The scribes and Pharisees have not shown mercy or faith. There are numerous signs that they resisted and contested Jesus' display of merciful power among the poor and needy (Matt 9:3, 11, 34; 12:2, 14, 23-24). All the merciful healings Jesus did on a Sabbath were contested by the scribes and Pharisees.<sup>28</sup>

The greatest problem the scribes and Pharisees had was that they did not devote the same care to working out the practical implications of these basic principles as they did to the minutiae of tithing herbs. If equal energy had been given to these three heavier values, Jesus would not have complained against them. The implication of these three values that were neglected by the leaders of the people is that their practice of the law of tithing 'lacks a human face.'

### Blind Guides (v. 24)

Tuphloi hodēgoi: These are the same words Jesus used already for the Scribes and Pharisees in v.16 - "blind guides". This shows that they are leaders that know nothing. In a certain state in Nigeria, for instance, a sitting governor calls for certificate verification and qualification of teachers in both primary and secondary schools. It was very unfortunate that one of the teachers could not read the contents of her own certificates. Only God knows the quality of pupils she has been churning out. Those that received the baptism of John claimed that they have never heard that there is anything like the Holy Spirit. The present writer passed through a primary school where in primary one they were thought in Mathematics that 2 divides 3 was an impossibility but 2 divides 4 was a possibility. Later in primary three, they were thought in school that 2 divides 3 there is a remainder one. Once one arrives at the remainder, he claims that he has arrived at the final answer. It was in their primary five and six that teachers broke the remainder into a fraction. Only God knows the fate of pupils that dropped out after primary one and what they will teach those close to them. The question every Nigerian should ask is, what type of appointment do you expect from a president who has no knowledge of the military? What type of vice chancellor do you expect from a president who has never entered and sat for lectures in any University or any higher institution of learning if left alone to appoint one? What do you expect from a leader who is dogmatic as regards obedience to tradition? What type of leadership or output does one expect from a catholic priest whose knowledge is not grounded on the doctrines of the church or even ignorance of the code of Canon Law, documents of the church, and papal pronouncements especially when such a priest happens to be in charge of an adoration ground? Proper reflections and answers to these rhetorical

---

<sup>24</sup> Warren, Matthew and the Margins, 459

<sup>25</sup> Grills Exposition of the Entire Bible.

<sup>26</sup> Warren, Matthew and the Margins, 459

<sup>27</sup> Warren, Matthew and the Margins, 459.

<sup>28</sup> Warren, Matthew and the Margins, 459

questions will help one to appreciate the reason why Jesus suddenly changed the name of the Scribes and Pharisees to "Blind Leaders."

They were branded 'blind leaders' because their teachings were misleading; they were unreliable guides who led people not into the empire of God but into hell (Matt. 23:13-15). They were blind leaders both in teaching and behaviour. In v. 16, Jesus called them blind guides for their corrupt teaching but here in v. 24, he calls them that again because of their corrupt living. It is good to note that though the condition of those whose guides are blind is very sad, that of the blind guides themselves is yet more woeful.<sup>29</sup> This is because ". . . the one who did not know and did what deserved a beating will receive a light beating. . . and from the one to whom much has been entrusted, even more, will be demanded" (Luke 12:47-48). "If anyone of you put a stumbling block before one of these little ones who believe in me, it would be better for you if a great millstone were fastened around your neck and you were drowned in the depth of the sea" (Matt 18: 6). In summary, a blind cannot lead a blind otherwise both will fall into a ditch (Matt.15:14; Luke 6:39). Matthew 23 presents us with the litany of woes Jesus pronounced against the Scribes and Pharisees for living below expectations and for struggling to lead all astray. Jesus had expected them to be models in showing justice, in being merciful and faithful.

To drive his point home Jesus employed picturesque kind of words. He exaggerated facts to stress an idea. It is a deliberate exaggeration for the sake of emphasis. This is called hyperbole. Amos used it when he described an Amorite warrior as one "whose height was like the height of the cedars" (Amos 2:9). Other examples include 1 Kings 1:40; Gen. 22:17. In the verse under review, Jesus used hyperbole to express his idea well. He says, "You blind guides, straining out a gnat and swallowing a camel" (v.24). They went about *diulizontes* their drinks of gnat and yet they swallow camels. That word is a present active participle, nominative plural masculine from the word *diulizō* meaning to 'strain'. Another funny word used is *katapinontes* which also presents an active participle, nominative plural masculine from the word *katapinō* meaning to swallow. You can imagine one who is very meticulous trying to strain out a gnat or tiny flies and at the same time closing his eyes and swallowing a camel. Commenting on the above, Barclay says, "This is a humorous picture which must have raised a laugh, of a man carefully straining his wine through gauze to avoid swallowing a microscopic insect and yet cheerfully swallowing a camel. It is the picture of a man who has completely lost his sense of proportion."<sup>30</sup> The expression is proverbial and which signifies that the Pharisees pretended to be exceedingly afraid of the smallest faults as if sin had been bitter to them like death, while they indulged themselves secretly in the unrestrained commission of the grosser of immoralities.<sup>31</sup>

The grotesque imagery of straining out the gnat from wine or water before drinking and swallowing the camel belongs to the same class of burlesque as the splinter and the plank in Matt. 7:3-5 or the camel going through the eye of a needle in Palestine. The language is witty, and hyperbolic and ridicules the elite. It is derived from several important pieces and closely fits v. 23. Hence, while the Pharisees and scribes seek to be obedient in the tiniest detail, they err by overlooking and thereby neglecting big matters.<sup>32</sup> They will not see the camel that blocks their view yet they will observe the little gnat in other people's eye. The gnat, as an insect was among the things proscribed as an unclean insect by the Jewish law (Lev. 11:20-23), the same thing holds for swarming creatures of Lev. 11:41-44 and therefore must be rejected, but then the camel was no less unclean (Lev. 11:4) and a lot bigger. The sweetness of the joke has been actually lost in translation. This is because the pun is lost in translation. Joke was helped by an Aramaic wordplay between *qalmâ* (gnat) and *gamlâ* (camel).<sup>33</sup> Considering the size of a camel and gnat one discovers that it is very easy to swallow a gnat without knowing it, but no one can swallow a camel, if possible, without a fully conscious effort. The Joke is that you carry out even harder tasks but to do the most simple ones that make a relationship to be eternal, you are found seriously wanting.

In summary, these are following ways through which they swallow a camel, "When they devoured widows' houses, they did indeed swallow a camel; when they gave Judas the price of innocent blood, and yet scrupled to put the returned money into the treasury (27:6); when they would not go into the judgment-hall, for fear of being defiled, and yet would stand at the door, and cry out against Jesus (John 18:28); when they quarreled with disciples for eating with unwashed hands, and yet, for the filling of the corban, taught people how to break the fifth commandment, they strained out gnats, or lesser things, and yet swallowed a camel.<sup>34</sup> These are hypocritical actions. They know how to bend the rule to suit them to the detriment of others. They go for bigger ones and neglect the ones that are most essential. The idea Jesus wants to portray with the swallowing of camel and straining out a gnat or paying tithe and yet not observing the law of justice, mercy, and faithfulness can be likened to the analogy Paul gave in 1 Cor 13:1-13. Whatever you do without love, you are just wasting your time and yourself. Again it is very clear that at the foundation of the

<sup>29</sup> Matthew Henry, "Exegesis of Matt. 23:23-24"

<sup>30</sup> Barclay, The Gospel of Matthew, 294

<sup>31</sup> Matthew Henry, "Exegesis of Matt. 23:23-24"

<sup>32</sup> Warren, Matthew and the Margins, 459.

<sup>33</sup> France, The Gospel of Matthew, 824.

<sup>34</sup> Matthew Henry, "Exegesis of Matt. 23:23-24"

above-named indispensable tripartite values is love. Judgment or justice without love is useless, mercy without love is mockery, and faithfulness without love is monumental deceit.

**Evaluation:** From all that we have analyzed above, it is clear that Jesus was not against the paying of tithe as such but was against unbalanced spirituality. He does not accept 99% but 100%. If one can avoid swallowing a gnat considering how little it is, it will even be easier for such a person to avoid swallowing bigger things like a camel. Tithes are paid with the product of one's labour and therefore paid by those who are working and not by those who are not working. Levites are mandated to pay the tithe of tithes. That means, he is only permitted to pay tithe when he has received tithes. But the practice of justice/judgment, mercy, and faith need no effort to accomplish. The only thing one needs is the practical natural gifts from God like reasoning and understanding. To live in a community where injustice, wickedness, and hypocrisy are the order of the day and one keeps quiet and at the same time claims to be in good communion with God is guilty of swallowing a camel and straining out gnats. It is said that why evil thrives unabated is because those who ought to talk are not talking.

### **Recommendations:**

It is a fact that some of those who claim to be leaders lack basic training and hence do not know things that they ought to have known ordinarily. What is applicable in religion is also applicable in academics, politics, business, and the social world. In Nigeria, for instance, the source of corruption is the absence of justice, mercifulness, and faithfulness in dealing with one another.

- This work recommends seriously the formation of a healthy conscience.
- Taking Catholic priesthood for instance, this article recommends that before one can be said to have qualified to be a leader he must have been properly groomed in the Sacred Scripture, contents of the Code of Canon Law, Contents of the Vatican II documents, other Magisterial teachings of the church as well as training in leadership. In the pages of these, he will discover justice, mercy, and faithfulness.
- To be a president of Nigeria for example, after training in leadership, acquiring of necessary academic qualifications, a firm grip on the constitution, knowledge of the contour of Nigeria. These will arm him with a sense of justice, mercy, and faithfulness as it affects the application of the Nigerian constitution; because law enforcement must have a human face.

### **Conclusion:**

It is my conviction that why there is conflict, corruption, and deceit in the world today is because we are not living according to the demands of Matthew 23:23-24. A proper understanding of mercy, faithfulness, and justice and their proper application in any relationship ensures the sustainability of that relationship be it a vertical or horizontal relationship.

### **Works Cited**

- Barclay, W., *The Gospel of Matthew, Volume II - Chapters 11-28*. Bangalore: Theological Pub. 1997.
- Ezeogamba, A. I., "If All Christians Were Really Salt and Light (Matt 5:13-16): Corruption Would Have Been Eradicated From the World" in *The International Journal of Arts and Sciences*, Vol. 8, No. 7. 2015 (<http://htuniversitypublications.net/ijas/087/index.html>).
- Ezeogamba, A. I., "Reconciliation (Matt. 5: 21-26): Inevitable Condition for Authentic Worship of God" In Ngozi Chuma-Udeh (ed.), *ANSU Journal of Arts And Humanities*, Vol.2. No. 2 (July 2015), 214-222.
- France, R.T., *The New International Commentary on the New Testament, The Gospel of Matthew*. Michigan: Grand Rapids 2007.
- Grill's Exposition of the Entire Bible. [www.biblehub.com](http://www.biblehub.com) (accessed on 6th October, 2016)
- Irvine, R., "Tithe" in Charles F. Pfeiffer, Howard F. Vos, John Rea (Eds.), *Wycliffe Bible Dictionary*. Massachusetts: Hendrickson Pub. 2005.
- Matthew Henry, "Exegesis of Matt. 23:23-24" in [www.biblestudytools.com](http://www.biblestudytools.com) (accessed on 6th October, 2016)
- Mckenzie, J.L., "Scribes" in *Dictionary of the Bible*. Bangalore: St Paul's Press, 2002.
- Packer, J.I. and Tenney, M.C.(Eds.), *Nelson's Super Value Series: Illustrated Manners and Customs of the Bible*. Nashville: Thomas Nelson Pub., 1980.
- Sweeney, A. M., "Tithe" in Paul J. Archtemeier (Ed.), *Harper's Bible Dictionary*. Bangalore: Theological Pub. 1994.
- Vima D., *His Word Lives*. Mumbai: St Paul Press, 1999.



Vine, W.E., Vine's Expository Dictionary of Old & New Testament words. Nashville: Thomas Nelson Pub., 1997.

Warren, C., Matthew and the Margins, A Sociopolitical and Religious Reading. India: Theological Pub. 2007.